CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE CITIZEN PERCEPTION SURVEY JANUARY 2021



TABLE OF CONTENTS

Methodology	3
Executive Summary	5
Summary of Results	11
DATA TABLES	34
DEMOGRAPHICS	80
QUESTIONNAIRE	82

METHODOLOGY

This research study was commissioned by the City of Albuquerque in order to assess residents' satisfaction with various City services, response to the Coronavirus, and issues relating to crime, homelessness, and public safety.

THE INTERVIEW

A random sample of 300 adult residents residing within the Albuquerque city limits were interviewed by telephone. All interviews were conducted between November 16th through November 21st, 2020.

Given the prevalence of cell phone users, 72% of the interviews were completed by random digit dialing cell phone number prefixes that have been issued in Albuquerque.

The telephone interviewers are professionals who are brought together for a training session prior to each survey. This ensures their complete and consistent understanding of the survey instrument. A total of 300 adult Albuquerque residents completed interviews.

MARGIN OF ERROR

A sample size of 300 at a 95% confidence level provides a maximum margin of error of approximately 5.6%. In theory, in 95 out of 100 cases, the results based on a sample of 300 will differ by no more than 5.6 percentage points in either direction from what would have been obtained by interviewing all adult residents within the City of Albuquerque.

SAMPLE BIAS

In any survey, there are some respondents who will refuse to speak to the professional interviewer. A lower response rate among certain types of individuals can result in a sample wherein certain types of individuals are over-represented or under-represented. The potential for sampling bias increases as the response rate decreases. Research & Polling, Inc. often sets quotas and/or weights for various segments of the population who are historically undercounted. This has the effect of minimizing, but not necessarily eliminating, sampling bias.

THE REPORT

This report summarizes the results from each question in the survey and reports on any variances in attitude or perception, where significant, among demographic subgroups. The subgroups examined in this report include.

- Region
- Gender
- Ethnicity
- Age
- Household income
- Education attainment level
- Length of residency

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

QUALITY OF LIFE

Although the City of Albuquerque is facing many serious challenges in light of the COVID-19 pandemic and resulting economic downturn, the majority of City residents (59%) rate the overall quality of life as being either *good* (48%) or *excellent* (11%), while 27% give a *fair* rating. Just 12% of residents rate the quality of life in Albuquerque poorly. It should be noted the percentage of residents who rate the quality of life in Albuquerque as being either *good* or *excellent* has improved slightly from 54% in 2018 to 59% currently.

The results also show that half of the residents surveyed are either *somewhat hopeful* (33%) or *very hopeful* (17%) about the direction of the City, while 49% say they are either *somewhat concerned* (26%) or *very concerned* (23%). The 50% of residents who say they are hopeful about the direction of the City is a slight improvement over the 46% observed four months ago.

CITY SERVICES AND PRIORITIES

Residents were read a list of local government services and programs provided by the City of Albuquerque and asked to rate how much of a priority each service should be when Albuquerque officials plan for the City's budget on a 5-point scale where a score of 5 means a *very high priority* and a score of 1 means a *very low priority*.

- 85% rate economic development programs to create jobs as being a high priority, with 62% who rate this as a very high priority.
- 84% rate improving programs for homeless individuals and families, substance abuse, and individuals with mental health issues as a high priority, with 58% who rate this as a very high priority.
- 73% feel offering more summer school and after-school programs for kids should be a high priority, with 44% who rate this as a very high priority.
- 72% of residents feel hiring more police officers and funding public safety needs is a high priority, with 52% who rate this as a very high priority.
- 68% rate **improving roads and streets as** a high priority when planning the City budget (39% say this should be *a very high priority*).
- 57% say **improving parks and recreational services** should be a high priority (28% believe it should be a *very high priority*).
- 55% feel reducing environmental impact by investing in more energy efficient facilities and expanding renewable energy use should be a high priority (33% feel this should be a very high priority).
- 44% believe **improving public transportation services** should be a high priority when planning for the City's budget, with 25% saying this should be a *very high priority*.

On a more general level, it should be noted that approximately half (48%) of residents agree the Albuquerque City Government is responsive to community needs, while 26% have neutral or mixed feelings. One-in-four residents do not believe City Government is responsive to community needs. This is a significant improvement when compared to previous studies.

COVID-19

Like all municipalities, the City of Albuquerque has faced a daunting task in dealing with the COVID-19 pandemic. The majority of residents (54%) give City Government positive reviews for the way it has handled the pandemic. In comparison, 20% are critical of the way the pandemic has been handled by City Government, while 26% give a neutral or mixed rating.

Residents were asked to rate how strongly they either agree or disagree with various statements pertaining to Albuquerque City Government and COVID-19 using a 5-point scale where 5 is *strongly agree* and 1 is *strongly disagree*.

- 79% of residents agree Albuquerque City Government should provide more financial assistance and aid programs to residents who have been unable to pay for housing and other necessities due to the pandemic, with 54% who *strongly agree*. Less than one-in-ten (9%) residents disagree.
- 79% also agree Albuquerque City Government should **provide direct payments to businesses that have been hurt economically by the pandemic,** with 53% who *strongly agree*. Just 5% of residents disagree, while 14% have neutral or mixed feelings.
- 61% agree City Government has done a good job of communicating with the public during the outbreak, compared to just 18% who disagree, while 19% have neutral or mixed feelings.
- 60% agree City Government has done a good job keeping City services running during the outbreak (28% strongly agree), compared to 15% who disagree.
- 27% of residents agree City Government has done a good job helping business owners during the outbreak, though 41% disagree and 28% have a neutral opinion.

Although Albuquerque City Government has been assertive in developing and implementing programs and services to help businesses owners, there appears to be a feeling among some residents that businesses need more help. This may be due to a lack of awareness of the programs that have been put in place. It is also an indication of just how damaging the pandemic has been on businesses, particularly small businesses and local food/drinking establishments.

While most residents do believe City Government has done a good job communicating with the public, the City needs to continue to find ways of reaching more people to inform them of the programs and services that are available to residents and how these initiatives are helping area businesses and the public in general.

ALBUQUERQUE POLICE DEPARTMENT

Approximately half (48%) of residents agree the Albuquerque Police Department (APD) is respectful in its treatment of citizens. However, 17% disagree, while 31% have neutral or mixed feelings. Overall, these results are similar to those observed in the 2018 survey, though lower than ratings observed in 2015 and 2016 when public opinion of the Albuquerque Police Department was at a peak.

Furthermore, when asked how much trust they have in the police officers in Albuquerque, 37% of City residents say they have a *great deal of trust*, while 45% say they have *some trust* and 16% say they have *hardly any trust* in Albuquerque police officers.

City residents were informed that APD is working with the U.S. Department of Justice to implement new policies and reforms designed to reduce the use of force and encourage policing that ensures residents' constitutional rights. City residents were then asked to rate how well of a job they believe APD is doing making these changes. Overall, 48% of City residents say APD is doing a good job implementing new policies and reforms. Twenty-nine percent of residents have a neutral opinion, and 16% say APD is doing a poor job implementing these changes.

Overall, the 48% of residents who give APD positive reviews is an improvement compared to previous studies, rising from 44% observed in 2018 and 40% in 2019.

SAFETY AND CRIME

Crime and feelings of personal safety are important components to perceived quality of life. To this end, 84% of residents say they feel either *very safe* (60%) or *somewhat safe* (24%) **alone in their neighborhood during the day**, compared to just 9% who feel unsafe.

It is also observed that approximately two-thirds (68%) of residents say they feel either *very safe* (30%) or *somewhat safe* (38%) **alone in their neighborhood at night**, though 24% say they feel either *somewhat unsafe* (14%) or *very unsafe* (10%).

City residents were read various things that can be done to make neighborhoods safer and asked to rate the effectiveness of each one using a 5-point scale where a score of 5 is *very effective* and a score of 1 is *very ineffective*.

- 77% of residents believe **neighbors working together to report crime and suspicious activity** is an effective way of making neighborhoods safer, with 52% who feel this is *very effective*.
- 76% of residents feel more street lighting is an effective way to make neighborhoods safer, with 55% who rate this as very effective.
- 69% feel more police officers patrolling your area either by car, walking or biking would be effective.
- 44% of City residents rate **more neighborhood social events** as an effective way to make neighborhoods safer, while 29% have a neutral opinion and 27% feel this is ineffective. It is important to note that the current COVID-19 crisis and lockdowns may be impacting residents' feelings about having more neighborhood social events as an effective strategy.
- 36% of residents believe having more nearby retail stores and restaurants is an effective way to make neighborhoods safer, while 33% have a neutral opinion and 30% rate this as being ineffective.

Residents were also asked if they feel different types of crimes in Albuquerque are *going up*, *going down*, *or not changing*. Overall, relatively few residents believe any of the different types of crime are going down in Albuquerque. With the exception of home burglaries, the majority of residents believe each of the following types of crime are increasing.

- 66% of residents believe violent crimes are going up, while just 10% say violent crimes are going down in Albuquerque and 21% feel it is not changing.
- 61% believe that **crimes involving guns** are *going up*, compared to 12% who feel crimes involving guns are *going down* and 23% say it is *not changing*.
- 56% believe **auto thefts** are *going up*, while 17% say auto thefts are *going down* and 24% feel this is *not changing*.
- 53% of the residents surveyed believe crimes against children are going up, compared to 9% who feel these are going down and 25% who feel this is not changing.
- 49% feel **home burglaries** are *going up* in Albuquerque, compared to 22% who say home burglaries are *going down* and 24% say this is *not changing*.

These results are similar to those observed last year, indicating that public perception of crime is not changing, and most residents believe crime is increasing in the city. It is important to note that according to APD official crime reports, most crimes including auto thefts, home burglaries, and homicides have actually gone down in the past year. Dealing with crime related issues is a major challenge for City Government, not just in actually reducing crime, but communicating to the public what is being done to bring crime down, and also changing perceptions of crime in the City.

HOMELESSNESS

Homelessness is a major issue facing all large cities, particularly with the economic downturn that has taken place over the last year and higher levels of unemployment. The survey results show that 29% of residents feel the City is doing a good job addressing homelessness. This is a significant improvement compared to the 13% who felt the same way in 2019. However, over one-third (36%) feel the City is doing a poor job of addressing homelessness, while 34% give the City mixed reviews or have a neutral opinion.

When asked how Albuquerque is doing addressing the issue of homelessness compared to other big cities in the country, 19% of residents say Albuquerque is doing a *better job*, while 53% feel Albuquerque is doing *about the same* and 18% believe Albuquerque is doing *a worse job* addressing homelessness.

Residents were read a list of different City policies and programs being implemented to address the issue of homelessness in Albuquerque and asked if they have heard or read anything about each initiative. While there is a relatively high level of awareness of opening new homeless shelters in the City, more needs to be done to increase awareness of some of the other initiatives.

- 67% say they have heard or read about opening new homeless shelters in the City.
- 50% have heard or read something about **providing housing to families through the One Albuquerque Housing Fund**.
- 49% have heard or read about the City keeping the Westside emergency center open 24 hours a day, every day of the year.
- 47% have heard or read about the City offering City services and housing to those living in homeless camps.
- 26% have heard or read about the City **providing a mobile showering unit for homeless residents**.

COMMUNITY SAFETY DEPARTMENT

Residents were informed the City of Albuquerque has proposed the creation of a new department called the Community Safety Department and, once fully staffed, the Department will send trained professionals to non-violent calls relating to public intoxication, drug use, homelessness, and mental health issues, without the police department. Residents were then asked if they have heard or read anything about the Community Safety Department prior to being surveyed.

Residents were informed that with the Community Safety Department responding to such issues as abandoned cars, discarded needles, addiction, non-violent mental health issues, and similar situations, police officers will be able to spend more time dealing with violent and other serious crimes. After being given this information, residents were asked if they feel the creation of the Community Safety Department is a *good idea* or a *bad idea*.

Three-in-four residents (75%) feel the creation of the Community Safety Department is a *good idea*, compared to just 17% who feel it is a *bad idea* and 7% who say it *depends*. These results show there is strong public support for the concept of a Community Safety Department in Albuquerque.

Overall, approximately two-fifths (41%) of the survey respondents say they had heard or read something about the Community Safety Department, prior to the survey, though the majority (59%) have not.

SUMMARY OF RESULTS



SUMMARY OF RESULTS



City residents were asked to rate the overall quality of life in Albuquerque. As shown above, 11% of City residents rate the quality of life in Albuquerque as being *excellent*, while 48% rate the quality of life as *good* and 27% give a *fair* rating. Twelve percent of residents feel the quality of life in Albuquerque is either *poor* (8%) or *very poor* (4%).

The percentage of residents who rate the quality of life in Albuquerque as being either *good* or *excellent* has improved slightly from 54% in 2018 to 59% currently.



Residents were asked if they are *very hopeful, somewhat hopeful, somewhat concerned*, or *very concerned* about the direction of the City in 2020. As shown above, half of the residents surveyed say they are either *somewhat hopeful* (33%) or *very hopeful* (17%) about the direction of the City, while 49% say they are either *somewhat concerned* (26%) or *very concerned* (23%).

The 50% of residents who say they are hopeful about the direction of the City is a slight improvement over the 46% observed four months ago.

PRIORITY LEVEL FOR VARIOUS CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE SERVICES OR PROGRAMS TOTAL SAMPLE (N=300) RANKED BY HIGHEST PERCENTAGE "VERY HIGH PRIORITY"												
	Very High Priority 5	4	3	2	VERY LOW PRIORITY 1	DK/ WS	Mean †					
ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS TO CREATE JOBS	62%	23%	10%	2%	2%	*	4.4					
IMPROVE PROGRAMS FOR HOMELESS INDIVIDUALS AND FAMILIES, SUBSTANCE ABUSE, AND INDIVIDUALS WITH MENTAL HEALTH ISSUES	58%	26%	11%	2%	3%	-	4.3					
HIRE MORE POLICE OFFICERS AND FUND PUBLIC SAFETY NEEDS	52%	20%	15%	8%	5%	1%	4.1					
OFFER MORE SUMMER SCHOOL AND AFTER-SCHOOL PROGRAMS FOR KIDS	44%	29%	17%	5%	3%	2%	4.1					
IMPROVE ROADS AND STREETS	39%	29%	19%	7%	6%	*	3.9					
REDUCE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT BY INVESTING IN MORE ENERGY EFFICIENT CITY FACILITIES AND EXPANDING RENEWABLE ENERGY USE	33%	22%	27%	7%	10%	1%	3.6					
IMPROVE PARKS AND RECREATIONAL PROGRAMS	28%	29%	29%	9%	5%	1%	3.7					
IMPROVE PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION SERVICES	25%	19%	27%	14%	13%	2%	3.3					

*LESS THAN 1% REPORTED.

† The mean score is derived by taking the average score based on the 5-point scale. The Very High Priority response is assigned a value of 5; the Very Low Priority response is assigned a value of 1. The Don't Know/Won't Say responses are excluded from the calculation of the mean.

Residents were read a list of local government services and programs provided by the City of Albuquerque and asked to rate how much of a priority each service should be when Albuquerque officials plan for the City's budget on a 5-point scale where a score of 5 means a *very high priority* and a score of 1 means a *very low priority*.

As shown above, 85% of residents rate **economic development programs to create jobs** as being a high priority (a score of 4 or 5), with 62% who rate this as a *very high priority*. Just 4% rate this as a low priority as indicated by a score of 1 or 2.

Over four-fifths (84%) of residents rate **improving programs for homeless individuals and families**, **substance abuse**, **and individuals with mental health issues** as a high priority, with 58% who rate this as a *very high priority*.

Just under three-quarters (72%) of residents feel **hiring more police officers and funding public safety needs** is a high priority, with 52% who rate this as a *very high priority*. Thirteen percent of residents feel this should be a low priority, while 15% give a neutral or mixed rating of 3.

Approximately three-quarters (73%) of residents rate offering more summer school and after-school programs for kids as a high priority, with 44% who rate this as a very high priority.

Approximately two-thirds (68%) of residents believe that **improving roads and streets** should be a high priority when planning the City budget (39% say it should be *a very high priority*), while approximately one-fifth (19%) have a neutral opinion and 13% feel this is a low priority.

The majority of residents (55%) feel **reducing environmental impact by investing in more energy efficient facilities and expanding renewable energy use** should be a high priority (33% feel this should be a *very high priority*), while 27% have a neutral opinion and 17% rate this as a low priority.

Nearly three-fifths (57%) of residents say **improving parks and recreational programs** should be a high priority (28% believe it should be a *very high priority*), while 14% feel this should be a low priority when planning the City's budget.

Finally, less than half (44%) of residents believe **improving public transportation services** should be a high priority when planning for the City's budget, with 25% saying this should be a *very high priority*. Twenty-seven percent of residents feel improving public transportation is a low priority, and another 27% give a neutral rating.



⁺ The mean score is derived by taking the average score based on the 5-point scale. The <u>Strongly Agree</u> response is assigned a value of 5; the <u>Strongly Disagree</u> response is assigned a value of 1. The <u>Don't Know/Won't Say</u> responses are excluded from the calculation of the mean.

Residents were asked to rate how strongly they either agree or disagree that **Albuquerque City Government is responsive to community needs** using a 5-point scale where 5 is *strongly agree* and 1 is *strongly disagree*.

Approximately half (48%) of the residents surveyed agree Albuquerque City Government is responsive to community needs, as indicated by a score of 4 or 5, with 18% who *strongly agree*. Twenty-six percent of residents have neutral or mixed feelings as indicated by a score of 3, while 25% disagree that City Government is responsive to community needs (a score of 1 or 2).



⁺ THE MEAN SCORE IS DERIVED BY TAKING THE AVERAGE SCORE BASED ON THE 5-POINT SCALE. THE <u>Strongly Agree</u> response is assigned a value of 5; the <u>Strongly Disagree</u> response is assigned a value of 1. The <u>Don't Know/Won't Say</u> responses are excluded from the calculation of the mean.

The percentage of residents who feel Albuquerque City Government is responsive to community needs has improved dramatically when compared to previous studies. Currently, 48% of residents feel City Government is responsive compared to 28% observed in 2018 and 33% observed in 2016.

The improvement in perceived City responsiveness to community needs appears to be correlated to how City Government has handled the COVID-19 Pandemic. Residents who feel the City has done a good job handling the pandemic and has communicated well during the pandemic are much more likely to agree the City is responsive to community needs overall. Conversely, residents who feel the City has done a poor job handling and the pandemic and communicating are much more likely to disagree the City Government is responsive to community needs.



⁺ The mean score is derived by taking the average score based on the 5-point scale. The <u>Excellent</u> response is assigned a value of 5; the <u>Very Poor</u> response is assigned a value of 1. The <u>Don't Know/Won't Say</u> responses are excluded from the calculation of the mean.

	SEPT. 2020	DEC. 2020
MEAN [†]	3.6	3.4

Residents were asked to rate **how well Albuquerque City Government has dealt with the Coronavirus/COVID-19 pandemic** using a 5-point scale where 5 is *excellent* and 1 is *very poor*. As shown above, the majority of residents (54%) give City Government positive scores of 4 or 5 for the way it has handled the Coronavirus (22% give an *excellent* rating). In comparison, 20% are critical of the way the pandemic has been handled by City Government as indicated by a score of 1 or 2, while 26% give a neutral or mixed rating of 3.

Overall, these results are similar to those observed in the September survey, in which 61% gave positive ratings for how City Government has dealt with the Coronavirus/COVID-19 pandemic and 16% were critical of the response. It is important to note the current survey was conducted during a period of new statewide restrictions and a significant increase in the number of people testing positive for COVID-19, which may account for the slightly lower scores.

Agree/Disagree with Various Statements Relating to City Government and Coronavirus Outbreak Total Sample (n=300) Ranked by Highest Percentage "Strongly Agree"												
	Strongly Agree 5	4	3	2	STRONGLY DISAGREE 1	Don't Know	Mean†					
SHOULD PROVIDE MORE FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE AND AID PROGRAMS TO RESIDENTS WHO HAVE BEEN UNABLE TO PAY FOR HOUSING AND OTHER NECESSITIES DUE TO THE PANDEMIC	54%	25%	11%	4%	5%	1%	4.2					
Should provide direct payments to businesses that have been hurt economically by the pandemic	53%	26%	14%	4%	1%	1%	4.3					
HAS DONE A GOOD JOB OF COMMUNICATING WITH THE PUBLIC DURING THE OUTBREAK	35%	26%	19%	11%	7%	2%	3.7					
HAS DONE A GOOD JOB KEEPING CITY SERVICES RUNNING DURING THE OUTBREAK	28%	32%	22%	8%	7%	3%	3.7					
HAS DONE A GOOD JOB HELPING BUSINESS OWNERS DURING THE OUTBREAK	10%	17%	28%	19%	22%	4%	2.7					

⁺ The mean score is derived by taking the average score based on the 5-point scale. The <u>Strongly Agree</u> response is assigned a value of 5; the <u>Strongly Disagree</u> response is assigned a value of 1. The <u>Don't Know/Won't Say</u> responses are excluded from the calculation of the mean.

Residents were asked to rate how strongly they either agree or disagree with various statements pertaining to Albuquerque City Government and the Coronavirus outbreak using a 5-point scale where 5 is *strongly agree* and 1 is *strongly disagree*.

As shown above, approximately four-fifths (79%) of residents agree Albuquerque City Government should **provide more financial assistance and aid programs to residents who have been unable to pay for housing and other necessities due to the pandemic** as indicated by a score of 4 or 5, with 54% who *strongly agree*. Less than one-in-ten (9%) residents disagree (a score of 1 or 2), while 11% have neutral or mixed feelings.

Seventy-nine percent of residents also agree Albuquerque City Government should **provide direct payments to businesses that have been hurt economically by the pandemic,** with 53% who *strongly agree*. Just 5% of residents disagree, while 14% have neutral or mixed feelings.

Just over three-fifths (61%) of residents agree City Government has done a good job of communicating with the public during the outbreak (35% *strongly agree*), compared to just 18% who disagree, while 19% have neutral or mixed feelings.

Three-in-five residents also agree City Government has done a good job keeping City services running during the outbreak (28% strongly agree) compared to 15% who disagree.

Finally, 27% of residents agree City Government has done a good job helping business owners during the outbreak, though 41% disagree and 28% have a neutral opinion.



One-in-three residents say they are aware that City-operated childcare services have been open for children of essential workers during the COVID pandemic.

It should be noted than women (44%) are more than twice as likely as men (21%) to be aware that City-operated childcare services have been open.



FEELINGS OF PERSONAL SAFETY

Residents were asked to rate how safe they feel outside in their neighborhood alone during the day and alone at night. As shown above, 84% of residents say they feel either *very safe* (60%) or *somewhat safe* (24%) **alone in their neighborhood during the day**, compared to just 9% who feel unsafe.



It is also observed that approximately two-thirds (68%) of residents say they feel either *very safe* (30%) or *somewhat safe* (38%) **alone in their neighborhood at night**, though 24% say they feel either *somewhat unsafe* (14%) or *very unsafe* (10%).



Looking at tracking results, the 68% of residents who say they feel **safe alone outside at night** in their neighborhood is very similar to the results observed in 2019 (65%), though down from 73% in 2018 and a high of 82% observed in 2014.



The 84% who currently say they feel either *very safe* or *somewhat safe* outside **alone during the day** is similar to results observed in 2019 and 2018, though down from earlier studies.

Overall Effectiveness of Various Ways to Make Your Neighborhood Safer 2020 Total Sample (n=300) Ranked by Highest Percentage "Very Effective"												
Very Very Very Very Very Very Neffective DK/ Effective 5 4 3 2 1 WS Mean												
More street lighting	55%	21%	14%	6%	4%	-	4.2					
NEIGHBORS WORKING TOGETHER TO REPORT CRIME OR SUSPICIOUS ACTIVITIES	52%	25%	13%	4%	5%	*	4.2					
More police officers patrolling your area either by car, walking or biking	47%	22%	17%	7%	7%	*	3.9					
MORE NEARBY RETAIL STORES OR RESTAURANTS	20%	16%	33%	16%	14%	1%	3.1					
More Neighborhood social events	19%	25%	29%	14%	13%	1%	3.2					

*LESS THAN 1% REPORTED.

⁺ The mean score is derived by taking the average score based on the 5-point scale. The Very Effective response is assigned a value of 5; the Very Ineffective response is assigned a value of 1. The Don't Know/Won't Say responses are excluded from the calculation of the mean.

City residents were read various things that can be done to make neighborhoods safer and asked to rate how effective they feel each one is using a 5-point scale where a score of 5 is *very effective* and a score of 1 is *very ineffective*.

Approximately three-quarters (76%) of residents rate **more street lighting** as an effective way to make neighborhoods safer (as indicated by a score of 4 or 5), with 55% who rate this a *very effective*. Just 10% do not believe more street lighting is an effective way to make neighborhoods safer (a score of 1 or 2), while 14% have neutral or mixed feelings (a score of 3).

Just over three-quarters (77%) of residents believe **neighbors working together to report crime and suspicious activity** is an effective way of making neighborhoods safer, with 52% who feel this is *very effective*. Just 9% do not feel this is an effective way to make neighborhoods safer, while 13% have neutral or mixed feelings.

Approximately seven-in-ten residents (69%) feel **more police officers patrolling your area either by car, walking or biking** would be effective. Fourteen percent do not believe this would be effective, while 17% have neutral or mixed feelings.

Over one-third (36%) of residents rate **more nearby retail stores and restaurants** as an effective way to make neighborhoods safer, while 33% have a neutral opinion and 30% rate this as being ineffective.

Less than half (44%) of City residents rate **more neighborhood social events** as an effective way to make neighborhoods safer, while 29% have a neutral opinion and 27% feel this is ineffective. It is important to note that the current COVID-19 crisis and lockdowns may be impacting residents' feelings about having more neighborhood social events as an effective strategy.

EVALUATION OF ALBUQUERQUE'S POLICE DEPARTMENT: THE ALBUQUERQUE POLICE DEPARTMENT IS RESPECTFUL IN ITS TREATMENT OF CITIZENS TRACKING												
	Strongly Agree 5	AGREE DISAGREE DK/										
2020 (N=300)	25%	23%	31%	11%	6%	3%	3.5					
2018 (N=600)	21%	30%	26%	11%	7%	4%	3.5					
2016 (N=402)	26%	32%	20%	10%	9%	3%	3.6					
2015 (N=409)	29%	28%	22%	10%	10%	2%	3.6					
2014 (N=416)	18%	22%	21%	15%	21%	2%	3.0					
2011 (N=406)	23%	27%	23%	11%	12%	4%	3.4					

⁺ The mean score is derived by taking the average score based on the 5-point scale. The <u>Strongly Agree</u> response is assigned a value of 5; the <u>Strongly Disagree</u> response is assigned a value of 1. The <u>Don't</u> <u>Know/Won't Say</u> responses are excluded from the calculation of the mean.

Residents were asked to rate how strongly they either agree or disagree that the Albuquerque Police Department (APD) is **respectful in its treatment of citizens** using a 5-point scale where 5 is *strongly agree* and 1 is *strongly disagree*.

Approximately half (48%) of residents agree APD is respectful in its treatment of citizens as indicated be a score of 4 or 5 (25% *strongly agree*). However, 17% disagree (a score of 1 or 2), while 31% have neutral or mixed feelings.

Overall, these results are similar to those observed in the 2018 survey, though lower than ratings observed in 2015 and 2016 when public opinion of the Albuquerque Police Department was at a high point.



When asked how much trust they have in the police officers in Albuquerque, 37% of City residents say they have a *great deal of trust*, while 45% say they have *some trust* and 16% say they have *hardly any trust* in Albuquerque police officers.

It is interesting to note that residents age 50 and over (50%) are much more likely than those between the ages of 18 and 49 to say they have a *great deal of trust* in Albuquerque police officers.

Overall, residents currently place slightly less trust in Albuquerque police officers than was observed in 2018 when 42% said they had *a great deal* of trust and 47% had *some trust*, while just 10% said they had *hardly any trust* in APD officers.



The mean score is derived by taking the average score based on the 5-point scale. The <u>Excellent</u> response is assigned a value of 5; the <u>Very Poor</u> response is assigned a value of 1. The <u>Don't Know/Won't Say</u> responses are excluded from the calculation of the mean.

Residents were informed that APD is working with the U.S. Department of Justice to implement new policies and reforms designed to reduce the use of force and encourage policing that ensures residents' constitutional rights. City residents were then asked to rate how well of a job they believe APD is doing making these changes using a 5-point scale where a score of 5 is *excellent* and a score of 1 is *very poor*.

As shown above, 48% of City residents say APD is doing well (a score of 4 or 5) implementing new policies and reforms, with 14% who say APD is doing an *excellent* job. Twenty-nine percent of residents have a neutral opinion, and 16% say APD is doing a poor job (a score of 1 or 2).

Overall, the 48% of residents who give APD positive reviews for implementing the new policies and reforms is an increase when compared to previous studies, rising from 44% in 2018 and 40% in 2019.

PERCEIVED CHANGES IN CRIME RATE FOR VARIOUS TYPES OF CRIMES IN ALBUQUERQUE 2020 TOTAL SAMPLE (N=300) RANKED BY HIGHEST PERCENTAGE "GOING UP"													
Going Not Going Don't Up Changing Down Know													
VIOLENT CRIMES	66%	21%	10%	2%									
CRIMES INVOLVING GUNS	61%	23%	12%	4%									
AUTO THEFTS	56%	24%	17%	3%									
CRIMES AGAINST CHILDREN	53%	25%	9%	13%									
Home burglaries	49%	24%	22%	5%									

Residents were asked if they feel different types of crimes in Albuquerque are *going up*, *going down*, or *not changing*. As shown above, 66% of residents believe **violent crimes** are *going up*, while just 10% say violent crimes are *going down* in Albuquerque and 21% feel it *is not changing*.

Approximately three-fifths (61%) also believe that **crimes involving guns** are *going up*, compared to 12% who feel crimes involving guns are *going down* and 23% say it is *not changing*.

The majority of residents (56%) believe **auto thefts** are going up, while 17% say auto thefts are going down and 24% feel this is not changing.

Just over half (53%) of the residents surveyed believe **crimes against children** are *going up* compared to 9% who feel these are *going down* and 25% who feel this is *not changing*. Women (61%) are more likely than men (45%) to believe crimes against children are *going up*.

Finally, approximately half of residents surveyed (49%) feel **home burglaries** are *going up* in Albuquerque, compared to 22% who say home burglaries are *going down* and 24% say this is *not changing*. Women (56%) are more likely than men (43%) to believe home burglaries are *going up*.

Perceived Ch4	PERCEIVED CHANGES IN CRIME RATE FOR VARIOUS TYPES OF CRIMES IN ALBUQUERQUE 2020 TOTAL SAMPLE (N=300) RANKED BY HIGHEST PERCENTAGE "GOING UP"														
		Going Up	Not Changing	Going Down	Don't Know										
VIOLENT CRIMES	DEC. 2020 (N=300)	66%	21%	10%	2%										
	2019 (N=607)	64%	23%	9%	5%										
CRIMES INVOLVING GUNS	DEC. 2020 (N=300)	61%	23%	12%	4%										
	2019 (N=607)	62%	25%	9%	3%										
AUTO THEFTS	DEC. 2020 (N=300)	56%	24%	17%	3%										
	2019 (N=607)	51%	27%	17%	5%										
C RIMES AGAINST CHILDREN	DEC. 2020 (N=300)	53%	25%	9%	13%										
	2019 (N=607)	53%	26%	11%	11%										
Home burglaries	DEC. 2020 (N=300)	49%	24%	22%	5%										
	2019 (N=607)	43%	31%	18%	8%										

Overall, there has been little change in how residents perceive the different types of crimes when compared to the 2019 community survey, even though the official crime data shows that rates for most crimes have actually gone down in the past year.



⁺ The mean score is derived by taking the average score based on the 5-point scale. The <u>Excellent</u> response is assigned a value of 5; the <u>Very Poor</u> response is assigned a value of 1. The <u>Don't</u> Know/Won't Say responses are excluded from the calculation of the mean.

Residents were asked to rate how well the City of Albuquerque is addressing the issue of homelessness using a 5-point scale where 5 is *excellent* and 1 is *very poor*.

As shown above, 29% of residents give the City positive marks for addressing the homelessness issue (as indicated by a score of 4 or 5). Over one-third (36%) feel the City is doing a poor job of addressing homelessness as indicated by a score of 1 or 2, while 34% give the City a middle rating of 3.

These results are a big improvement when compared to the 2019 survey when just 13% gave the City positive marks for addressing the issue of homelessness and 59% gave poor ratings.



When asked how Albuquerque is doing addressing the issue of homelessness compared to other big cities in the country, 19% of residents say Albuquerque is doing a *better job*, while 53% feel Albuquerque is doing *about the same* and 18% believe Albuquerque is doing *a worse job* addressing homelessness.

Awareness of New Policies and Programs the City Has Developed to Address Homelessness Total Sample (n=300) Ranked by Highest Percentage "Aware"											
	Aware	Not Aware	Won't Say								
OPENING NEW HOMELESS SHELTERS IN THE CITY	67%	33%	*								
PROVIDING HOUSING TO FAMILIES THROUGH THE ONE ALBUQUERQUE HOUSING FUND	50%	49%	1%								
KEEPING THE WESTSIDE EMERGENCY CENTER OPEN 24 HOURS A DAY, EVERY DAY OF THE YEAR	49%	51%	-								
OFFERING CITY SERVICES AND HOUSING TO THOSE LIVING IN HOMELESS CAMPS	47%	52%	*								
PROVIDING A MOBILE SHOWERING UNIT FOR HOMELESS RESIDENTS	26%	73%	*								

*LESS THAN 1% REPORTED.

Residents were read a list of different City policies and programs being implemented to address the issue of homelessness in Albuquerque and asked if they have heard or read anything about each initiative.

As shown above, two-thirds of residents say they have heard or read about **the opening of new homeless shelters in the City** and half the residents surveyed have heard or read something about **providing housing to families through the One Albuquerque Housing Fund**.

Approximately half of residents have heard or read about the **City keeping the Westside emergency center open 24 hours a day, every day of the year** (49%) and **offering City services and housing to those living in homeless camps** (47%).

Just 26% of residents say they have heard or read about the City **providing a mobile showering unit for homeless residents**.



Residents were informed the City of Albuquerque has proposed the creation of a new department called the Community Safety Department and once fully staffed, the Department will send trained professionals with police to non-violent calls relating to public intoxication, drug use, homelessness, and mental health issues. Residents were then asked if they have heard or read anything about the Community Safety Department prior to being surveyed.

Overall, approximately two-fifths (41%) of the survey respondents say they had heard or read something about the Community Safety Department, though the majority (59%) have not.



Residents were also informed that with the Community Safety Department responding to such issues as abandoned cars, discarded needles, addiction, non-violent mental health issues, and similar situations, police officers will be able to spend more time dealing with violent and other serious crimes. After being given this information, residents were asked if they feel the creation of the Community Safety Department is a good idea or a bad idea.

Three-in-four residents feel the creation of the Community Safety Department is a *good idea*, compared to just 17% who feel it is a *bad idea* and 7% who say it *depends*.

Types of Recreational, Cultural, Performing Arts or Sports Related Attractions or Amenities Needed in Albuquerque Total Sample (N=300) Top 12 Unaided Responses

Performing arts center/concert venue	15%
MORE ACTIVITIES FOR YOUTH AND TEENS	13%
PROFESSIONAL SPORTS TEAMS	12%
LIVE MUSIC VENUES	9%
SPORTS FIELDS FOR RECREATIONAL USE	8%
PARKS FOR RECREATIONAL USE	7%
ACCESSIBLE BIKE/WALKING PATHS	6%
Soccer stadium/United stadium	6%
RECREATIONAL ACTIVITIES	5%
SPORTS ARENA OR SPORTS COMPLEX	3%
SUMER AND AFTER-SCHOOL PROGRAMS FOR YOUTH AND TEENS	3%
NOTHING IN PARTICULAR/DON'T KNOW	36%

When City residents were asked in an unaided manner, what types of recreational, cultural, performing arts, or sports related attractions or amenities they feel are needed in Albuquerque, 15% mention a **performing arts center**, 13% mention **more activities for youth and teens**, while 12% say a **professional sports team** is needed, 9% mention **live music venues** and 8% mention **sports fields for recreational use**.

Over one-in-three residents (36%) say there is either nothing in particular that is needed or did not offer a response.



Residents were informed that Albuquerque has a professional soccer team called New Mexico United and the team is temporarily playing its games at the Isotopes baseball park. Residents were then asked if they support or oppose building a <u>new multipurpose arena</u> that could be used for New Mexico United soccer games and other events in addition to soccer games.

As shown above, the large majority (63%) of residents say they *support* building a new multi-purpose arena that could be used for New Mexico United soccer games and other events, compared to 23% who are *opposed*.

Support for the multipurpose arena is strongest among men, residents under the age of 65, and Hispanic residents.



Residents were asked how many days, if any, they exercise for 30 minutes or more in a typical week. Twenty percent of residents say they typically exercise either six days (6%) or seven days (14%) a week, while 17% say they exercise five days a week.

Thirty percent of resident say they exercise either four days (12%) or three days (18%) a week, while 9% say they exercise two days and 5% exercise one day a week.

Sixteen percent of residents say they do not exercise in a typical week and 4% did not offer a response.

On average, residents exercise for at least 30 minutes 3.5 days in a typical week.

DATA TABLES



OVERALL QUALITY OF LIFE IN ALBUQUERQUE

QUESTION 1: HOW WOULD YOU RATE THE OVERALL QUALITY OF LIFE IN ALBUQUERQUE? WOULD YOU SAY IT IS EXCELLENT, GOOD, FAIR, POOR, OR VERY POOR?

		Gen	IDER	Етни	IICITY		Α	GE		HOUSEHOLD INCOME					
	TOTAL SAMPLE (N=300)	Male	Female	HISPANIC	Anglo	18 to 34 Years	35 to 49 Years	50 to 64 Years	65 Years and Over	Less Than \$35,000	\$35,000 то \$49,999	\$50,000 то \$74,999	\$75,000 то \$99,999	\$100,000 Or More	
EXCELLENT	11%	13%	9%	10%	13%	12%	5%	11%	18%	16%	12%	9%	6%	10%	
GOOD	48%	44%	53%	49%	46%	40%	55%	49%	51%	47%	51%	45%	40%	67%	
Fair	27%	27%	28%	30%	26%	36%	28%	26%	17%	18%	24%	37%	40%	15%	
Poor	8%	10%	7%	6%	10%	7%	8%	10%	9%	12%	9%	2%	11%	5%	
Very poor	4%	6%	3%	5%	5%	5%	4%	4%	6%	8%	4%	6%	2%	2%	

			Education				LENGTH OF RESIDENCY IN THE CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE			OVERALL QUALITY OF LIFE IN ALBUQUERQUE				Direction the City is Going in 2020			
	Total Sample (n=300)	High School Graduate or Less	Some College/ Associate		Graduate Degree	Less Than 10 Years	10 to 19 Years	20 Years or More		GOOD	Fair	Poor/ Very Poor	Very Hopeful	Somewhat Hopeful	Some what Concerned	Very Concerned	
Excellent	11%	11%	12%	17%	2%	11%	11%	11%	100%	-	-	-	26%	8%	6%	10%	
GOOD	48%	36%	50%	48%	62%	48%	55%	47%	-	100%	-	-	66%	63%	44%	18%	
Fair	27%	33%	30%	20%	26%	18%	27%	29%	-	-	100%	-	8%	24%	43%	30%	
Poor	8%	10%	5%	12%	10%	19%	3%	8%	-	-	-	66%	-	3%	7%	25%	
Very poor	4%	11%	3%	3%	-	4%	4%	5%	-	-	-	34%	-	1%	-	17%	

	TOTAL	REGION								
	Sample (n=300)	WESTSIDE/ SW MESA	NORTH VALLEY/ DOWNTOWN	FAR NE HEIGHTS	MID HEIGHTS	UNM/ SOUTHEAST				
EXCELLENT	11%	8%	15%	15%	12%	11%				
GOOD	48%	47%	40%	46%	54%	53%				
Fair	27%	31%	22%	27%	26%	27%				
Poor	8%	10%	11%	8%	6%	6%				
Very poor	4%	5%	12%	4%	2%	3%				

FEELINGS ABOUT DIRECTION THE CITY IS HEADING

QUESTION 2: HOW DO YOU FEEL ABOUT THE DIRECTION THE CITY IS GOING IN 2020? ARE YOU....

		Gen	IDER	Ethnicity Age						HOUSEHOLD INCOME						
	Total Sample (n=300)	Male	Female	HISPANIC	Anglo	18 to 34 Years	35 to 49 Years	50 to 64 Years	65 Years and Over	Less Than \$35,000	\$35,000 то \$49,999	\$50,000 то \$74,999	\$75,000 то \$99,999	\$100,000 Or More		
VERY HOPEFUL	17%	18%	16%	19%	15%	11%	21%	17%	23%	14%	12%	16%	19%	32%		
Somewhat hopeful	33%	34%	33%	32%	32%	41%	28%	34%	28%	40%	42%	34%	24%	30%		
SOMEWHAT CONCERNED	26%	27%	25%	30%	25%	24%	31%	23%	25%	21%	26%	25%	30%	21%		
VERY CONCERNED	23%	21%	25%	19%	27%	24%	20%	27%	22%	25%	19%	25%	27%	17%		

			Education				OF RESIDENC		Overall	QUALITY OF	LIFE IN ALBUC	QUERQUE	DIRECTION THE CITY IS GOING IN 2020			
	Total Sample (n=300)	High School Graduate or Less	Some College/ Associate		Graduate Degree	Less Than 10 Years	10 to 19 Years	20 Years or More	Excellent	GOOD	Fair	Poor/ Very Poor	Very Hopeful	Somewhat Hopeful	Some what Concerned	VERY CONCERNED
VERY HOPEFUL	17%	5%	19%	25%	21%	28%	5%	19%	40%	23%	5%	-	100%	-	-	-
Somewhat hopeful	33%	24%	37%	39%	32%	24%	50%	30%	25%	44%	30%	10%	-	100%	-	-
Somewhat concerned	26%	35%	24%	19%	27%	28%	29%	25%	14%	24%	40%	14%	-	-	100%	-
VERY CONCERNED	23%	36%	20%	16%	19%	20%	16%	25%	21%	8%	25%	76%	-	-	-	100%

	TOTAL	REGION								
	Sample (n=300)	WESTSIDE/ SW MESA	NORTH VALLEY/ DOWNTOWN	FAR NE HEIGHTS	Mid Heights	UNM/ SOUTHEAST				
VERY HOPEFUL	17%	12%	15%	25%	10%	25%				
Somewhat hopeful	33%	34%	47%	28%	37%	29%				
Somewhat concerned	26%	30%	2%	19%	32%	31%				
VERY CONCERNED	23%	24%	36%	26%	19%	14%				

PRIORITY LEVEL FOR VARIOUS CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE SERVICES OR PROGRAMS: IMPROVE PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION SERVICES

QUESTION 3: I'D LIKE TO READ YOU A LIST OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT SERVICES AND PROGRAMS PROVIDED BY THE CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE. FOR EACH ONE PLEASE RATE HOW MUCH OF A PRIORITY IT SHOULD BE WHEN ALBUQUERQUE OFFICIALS PLAN FOR THE CITY'S BUDGET. PLEASE USE A 5-POINT SCALE WHERE 5 MEANS YOU THINK IT SHOULD BE A VERY HIGH PRIORITY AND 1 MEANS IT SHOULD BE A VERY LOW PRIORITY. **IMPROVE PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION SERVICES.**

		GEN	IDER	Етнлісіту Аде						HOUSEHOLD INCOME							
	TOTAL SAMPLE (N=300)	Male	Female	HISPANIC	Anglo	18 to 34 Years	35 to 49 Years	50 to 64 Years	65 Years and Over	Less Than \$35,000	\$35,000 то \$49,999	\$50,000 то \$74,999	\$75,000 то \$99,999	\$100,000 Or More			
5 - Very high priority	25%	23%	27%	29%	21%	16%	29%	25%	33%	41%	28%	22%	16%	21%			
4	19%	16%	22%	15%	17%	17%	24%	19%	16%	22%	16%	16%	21%	17%			
3	27%	27%	27%	27%	28%	38%	23%	24%	21%	22%	30%	34%	24%	26%			
2	14%	12%	16%	14%	16%	15%	10%	13%	16%	7%	12%	14%	24%	18%			
1 - VERY LOW PRIORITY	13%	21%	6%	14%	15%	15%	12%	17%	9%	9%	13%	13%	16%	18%			
Don't know/won't say	2%	2%	2%	1%	3%	-	2%	2%	4%	-	-	1%	-	-			
Mean †	3.3	3.1	3.5	3.3	3.1	3.0	3.5	3.2	3.5	3.8	3.3	3.2	3.0	3.0			

			Education			-	OF RESIDENC		Overall Quality of Life in Albuquerque			QUERQUE	Direction the City is Going in 2020				
	Total Sample (n=300)	High School Graduate or Less	Some College/ Associate	4-Year College Graduate	GRADUATE DEGREE	Less Than 10 Years	10 to 19 Years	20 Years or More	Excellent	GOOD	Fair	Poor/ Very Poor	Very Hopeful	Somewhat Hopeful	Some what Concerned	Very Concerned	
5 - Very high priority	25%	32%	23%	23%	22%	12%	20%	28%	24%	30%	19%	21%	35%	21%	25%	23%	
4	19%	11%	23%	19%	21%	34%	22%	16%	21%	24%	12%	13%	23%	28%	13%	10%	
3	27%	29%	26%	30%	22%	38%	32%	25%	14%	28%	33%	22%	28%	33%	25%	20%	
2	14%	9%	15%	12%	20%	10%	7%	16%	17%	9%	22%	12%	7%	9%	21%	17%	
1 - VERY LOW PRIORITY	13%	19%	9%	14%	13%	7%	14%	14%	20%	8%	13%	30%	4%	8%	12%	29%	
Don't know/won't say	2%	-	3%	2%	2%	-	4%	2%	4%	2%	1%	2%	3%	1%	4%	1%	
MEAN †	3.3	3.3	3.4	3.3	3.2	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.1	3.6	3.0	2.8	3.8	3.4	3.2	2.8	

	TOTAL	REGION								
	Sample (n=300)	WESTSIDE/ SW MESA	NORTH VALLEY/ DOWNTOWN	FAR NE Heights	Mid Heights	UNM/ SOUTHEAST				
5 - Very high priority	25%	28%	36%	19%	14%	28%				
4	19%	20%	15%	12%	24%	21%				
3	27%	19%	25%	32%	33%	33%				
2	14%	19%	3%	24%	12%	3%				
1 - VERY LOW PRIORITY	13%	11%	22%	10%	16%	14%				
Don't know/won't say	2%	3%	-	3%	1%	1%				
MEAN †	3.3	3.4	3.4	3.1	3.1	3.5				

⁺ The mean score is derived by taking the average score based on the 5-point scale. The Very High Priority response is assigned a value of 5; the Very Low Priority response is assigned a value of 1. The Don't Know/Won't Say responses are excluded from the calculation of the mean.
PRIORITY LEVEL FOR VARIOUS CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE SERVICES OR PROGRAMS: IMPROVE ROADS AND STREETS

QUESTION 4: I'D LIKE TO READ YOU A LIST OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT SERVICES AND PROGRAMS PROVIDED BY THE CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE. FOR EACH ONE PLEASE RATE HOW MUCH OF A PRIORITY IT SHOULD BE WHEN ALBUQUERQUE OFFICIALS PLAN FOR THE CITY'S BUDGET. PLEASE USE A 5-POINT SCALE WHERE 5 MEANS YOU THINK IT SHOULD BE A VERY HIGH PRIORITY AND 1 MEANS IT SHOULD BE A VERY LOW PRIORITY. **IMPROVE ROADS AND STREETS**

		Gen	IDER	Етни	IICITY		A	GE			Но	USEHOLD INCO	DME	
	TOTAL SAMPLE (N=300)	Male	Female	HISPANIC	Anglo	18 to 34 Years	35 to 49 Years	50 to 64 Years	65 Years and Over	Less Than \$35,000	\$35,000 то \$49,999	\$50,000 то \$74,999	\$75,000 то \$99,999	\$100,000 Or More
5 - Very high priority	39%	39%	39%	47%	31%	31%	34%	42%	49%	48%	50%	36%	17%	24%
4	29%	27%	31%	27%	30%	30%	30%	33%	22%	21%	17%	34%	42%	38%
3	19%	15%	22%	16%	20%	20%	20%	17%	16%	18%	17%	20%	27%	19%
2	7%	7%	7%	5%	10%	14%	6%	3%	6%	7%	12%	6%	6%	4%
1 - VERY LOW PRIORITY	6%	11%	1%	5%	9%	5%	10%	5%	5%	5%	4%	4%	8%	13%
Don't know/won't say	*	1%	-	-	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	-	2%
MEAN †	3.9	3.8	4.0	4.1	3.7	3.7	3.7	4.0	4.1	4.0	4.0	3.9	3.5	3.6

			EDUC	ATION		_	OF RESIDENC		Overall	QUALITY OF	LIFE IN ALBU	QUERQUE	D	IRECTION THE CI	ty is Going in 2	2020
	Total Sample (n=300)	High School Graduate or Less	Some College/ Associate	4-Year College Graduate	GRADUATE DEGREE	Less Than 10 Years	10 to 19 Years	20 Years or More	Excellent	GOOD	Fair	Poor/ Very Poor	Very Hopeful	Somewhat Hopeful	Some what Concerned	Very Concerned
5 - Very high priority	39%	51%	44%	28%	20%	53%	37%	37%	39%	41%	36%	37%	37%	43%	38%	35%
4	29%	20%	29%	30%	43%	20%	33%	29%	30%	36%	23%	17%	33%	29%	35%	19%
3	19%	19%	13%	27%	19%	7%	18%	20%	21%	15%	27%	13%	22%	18%	16%	20%
2	7%	5%	8%	6%	12%	18%	7%	6%	3%	5%	11%	14%	3%	8%	5%	11%
1 - VERY LOW PRIORITY	6%	5%	6%	9%	6%	3%	5%	7%	7%	4%	3%	18%	4%	2%	5%	15%
Don't know/won't say	*	-	1%	-	-	-	-	*	-	1%	-	-	2%	-	-	-
Mean †	3.9	4.1	4.0	3.6	3.6	4.0	3.9	3.8	3.9	4.0	3.8	3.4	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.5

	TOTAL			REGION		
	Sample (n=300)	WESTSIDE/ SW MESA	NORTH VALLEY/ DOWNTOWN	FAR NE Heights	Mid Heights	UNM/ Southeast
5 - Very high priority	39%	42%	46%	34%	30%	42%
4	29%	33%	24%	31%	35%	17%
3	19%	13%	-	25%	20%	30%
2	7%	10%	21%	3%	3%	4%
1 - VERY LOW PRIORITY	6%	2%	9%	7%	11%	6%
Don't know/won't say	*	-	-	-	-	1%
Mean †	3.9	4.0	3.8	3.8	3.7	3.8

*LESS THAN 1% REPORTED.

⁺ THE MEAN SCORE IS DERIVED BY TAKING THE AVERAGE SCORE BASED ON THE 5-POINT SCALE. THE VERY HIGH PRIORITY RESPONSE IS ASSIGNED A VALUE OF 5; THE VERY LOW PRIORITY RESPONSE IS ASSIGNED A VALUE OF 1. THE DON'T KNOW/WON'T SAY RESPONSES ARE EXCLUDED FROM THE CALCULATION OF THE MEAN.

PRIORITY LEVEL FOR VARIOUS CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE SERVICES OR PROGRAMS: IMPROVE PARKS AND RECREATION PROGRAMS

QUESTION 5: I'D LIKE TO READ YOU A LIST OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT SERVICES AND PROGRAMS PROVIDED BY THE CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE. FOR EACH ONE PLEASE RATE HOW MUCH OF A PRIORITY IT SHOULD BE WHEN ALBUQUERQUE OFFICIALS PLAN FOR THE CITY'S BUDGET. PLEASE USE A 5-POINT SCALE WHERE 5 MEANS YOU THINK IT SHOULD BE A VERY HIGH PRIORITY AND 1 MEANS IT SHOULD BE A VERY LOW PRIORITY. **IMPROVE PARKS AND RECREATION PROGRAMS**

		Gen	IDER	Етни	IICITY		А	GE			Но	USEHOLD INCO	DME	
	TOTAL SAMPLE (N=300)	Male	Female	HISPANIC	Anglo	18 to 34 Years	35 to 49 Years	50 to 64 Years	65 Years and Over	Less Than \$35,000	\$35,000 то \$49,999	\$50,000 то \$74,999	\$75,000 то \$99,999	\$100,000 Or More
5 - Very high priority	28%	30%	25%	32%	25%	31%	29%	27%	23%	27%	30%	30%	13%	37%
4	29%	29%	29%	31%	27%	29%	28%	32%	27%	32%	30%	26%	32%	29%
3	29%	25%	34%	23%	32%	28%	29%	26%	35%	32%	28%	32%	30%	26%
2	9%	10%	7%	7%	10%	10%	7%	9%	8%	7%	7%	6%	16%	6%
1 - VERY LOW PRIORITY	5%	6%	4%	6%	6%	3%	6%	6%	6%	2%	4%	6%	7%	2%
Don't know/won't say	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	-	1%	-	1%	-	2%	-
MEAN †	3.7	3.7	3.6	3.8	3.6	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.5	3.8	3.8	3.7	3.3	3.9

			EDUC	ATION		_	OF RESIDENC		Overall	. QUALITY OF	LIFE IN ALBU	QUERQUE	D	IRECTION THE C	ty is Going in 2	2020
	Total Sample (n=300)	HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATE OR LESS	Some College/ Associate	4-Year College Graduate	GRADUATE DEGREE	Less Than 10 Years	10 to 19 Years	20 Years or More	Excellent	GOOD	Fair	Poor/ Very Poor	Very Hopeful	Somewhat Hopeful	Some what Concerned	Very Concerned
5 - Very high priority	28%	39%	29%	22%	14%	13%	28%	30%	38%	28%	24%	25%	35%	25%	25%	28%
4	29%	23%	29%	29%	37%	25%	28%	30%	23%	31%	29%	26%	27%	37%	25%	23%
3	29%	30%	28%	30%	33%	40%	41%	25%	21%	30%	32%	30%	24%	31%	33%	28%
2	9%	6%	9%	8%	11%	18%	4%	8%	11%	7%	9%	9%	9%	5%	9%	12%
1 - VERY LOW PRIORITY	5%	2%	3%	11%	4%	3%	-	6%	6%	3%	6%	10%	4%	1%	8%	9%
Don't know/won't say	1%	-	1%	-	2%	-	-	1%	-	1%	-	-	2%	1%	-	-
Mean †	3.7	3.9	3.7	3.4	3.5	3.3	3.8	3.7	3.8	3.8	3.6	3.5	3.8	3.8	3.5	3.5

	TOTAL			Region		
	SAMPLE (N=300)	WESTSIDE/ SW MESA	NORTH VALLEY/ DOWNTOWN	FAR NE Heights	Mid Heights	UNM/ Southeast
5 - Very high priority	28%	30%	33%	24%	31%	23%
4	29%	35%	28%	21%	23%	29%
3	29%	26%	32%	29%	31%	33%
2	9%	6%	5%	15%	9%	8%
1 - VERY LOW PRIORITY	5%	3%	-	10%	5%	6%
Don't know/won't say	1%	-	3%	-	2%	-
MEAN †	3.7	3.8	3.9	3.3	3.7	3.5

PRIORITY LEVEL FOR VARIOUS CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE SERVICES OR PROGRAMS: ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS TO CREATE JOBS

QUESTION 6: I'D LIKE TO READ YOU A LIST OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT SERVICES AND PROGRAMS PROVIDED BY THE CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE. FOR EACH ONE PLEASE RATE HOW MUCH OF A PRIORITY IT SHOULD BE WHEN ALBUQUERQUE OFFICIALS PLAN FOR THE CITY'S BUDGET. PLEASE USE A 5-POINT SCALE WHERE 5 MEANS YOU THINK IT SHOULD BE A VERY HIGH PRIORITY AND 1 MEANS IT SHOULD BE A VERY LOW PRIORITY. ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS TO CREATE JOBS

		Gen	IDER	Етни	IICITY		A	GE			Но	USEHOLD INCO	DME	
	TOTAL SAMPLE (N=300)	Male	Female	HISPANIC	Anglo	18 to 34 Years	35 to 49 Years	50 to 64 Years		Less Than \$35,000	\$35,000 то \$49,999	\$50,000 то \$74,999	\$75,000 то \$99,999	\$100,000 Or More
5 - Very high priority	62%	61%	64%	70%	52%	58%	65%	72%	54%	63%	72%	58%	57%	60%
4	23%	21%	24%	18%	27%	28%	22%	18%	23%	17%	20%	32%	21%	25%
3	10%	12%	8%	8%	15%	7%	7%	7%	20%	15%	6%	3%	15%	13%
2	2%	1%	3%	2%	2%	5%	1%	2%	-	-	1%	3%	5%	-
1 - VERY LOW PRIORITY	2%	4%	*	1%	3%	2%	5%	1%	1%	3%	-	4%	2%	2%
Don't know/won't say	*	-	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	1%	2%	-	-	-	-
Mean †	4.4	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.2	4.3	4.4	4.6	4.3	4.4	4.6	4.4	4.3	4.4

			EDUC	ATION		-	OF RESIDENC		Overall	QUALITY OF	LIFE IN ALBU	QUERQUE	D	IRECTION THE CI	ty is Going in 2	2020
	Total Sample (n=300)	High School Graduate or Less	Some College/ Associate	4-Year College Graduate	Graduate Degree	Less Than 10 Years	10 to 19 Years	20 Years or More	Excellent	GOOD	Fair	Poor/ Very Poor	Very Hopeful	Somewhat Hopeful	Some what Concerned	Very Concerned
5 - Very high priority	62%	64%	69%	59%	48%	59%	57%	64%	60%	61%	63%	66%	59%	63%	62%	66%
4	23%	23%	17%	29%	26%	29%	27%	21%	29%	25%	22%	10%	27%	25%	25%	12%
3	10%	6%	10%	9%	20%	12%	11%	10%	11%	10%	11%	7%	12%	9%	11%	9%
2	2%	3%	3%	1%	2%	-	6%	2%	-	2%	3%	3%	-	2%	1%	6%
1 - VERY LOW PRIORITY	2%	5%	-	2%	5%	-	-	3%	-	1%	-	15%	2%	-	1%	8%
Don't know/won't say	*	-	1%	-	-	-	-	*	-	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	-
MEAN †	4.4	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.1	4.5	4.3	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.5	4.1	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.2

	TOTAL			Region		
	Sample (n=300)	WESTSIDE/ SW MESA	NORTH VALLEY/ DOWNTOWN	FAR NE Heights	Mid Heights	UNM/ Southeast
5 - Very high priority	62%	61%	74%	63%	63%	58%
4	23%	22%	14%	20%	28%	26%
3	10%	14%	6%	12%	5%	9%
2	2%	2%	4%	3%	4%	-
1 - VERY LOW PRIORITY	2%	2%	2%	1%	-	5%
Don't know/won't say	*	-	-	-	-	2%
Mean †	4.4	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.5	4.4

*LESS THAN 1% REPORTED.

⁺ THE MEAN SCORE IS DERIVED BY TAKING THE AVERAGE SCORE BASED ON THE 5-POINT SCALE. THE VERY HIGH PRIORITY RESPONSE IS ASSIGNED A VALUE OF 5; THE VERY LOW PRIORITY RESPONSE IS ASSIGNED A VALUE OF 1. THE DON'T KNOW/WON'T SAY RESPONSES ARE EXCLUDED FROM THE CALCULATION OF THE MEAN.

PRIORITY LEVEL FOR VARIOUS CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE SERVICES OR PROGRAMS: HIRE MORE POLICE OFFICERS AND FUND PUBLIC SAFETY NEEDS

QUESTION 7: I'D LIKE TO READ YOU A LIST OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT SERVICES AND PROGRAMS PROVIDED BY THE CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE. FOR EACH ONE PLEASE RATE HOW MUCH OF A PRIORITY IT SHOULD BE WHEN ALBUQUERQUE OFFICIALS PLAN FOR THE CITY'S BUDGET. PLEASE USE A 5-POINT SCALE WHERE 5 MEANS YOU THINK IT SHOULD BE A VERY HIGH PRIORITY AND 1 MEANS IT SHOULD BE A VERY LOW PRIORITY. **HIRE MORE POLICE OFFICERS AND FUND PUBLIC SAFETY NEEDS**

		GEN	NDER	Етни	NICITY		А	GE			Но	USEHOLD INCO	OME	
	TOTAL SAMPLE (N=300)	Male	Female	HISPANIC	Anglo	18 to 34 Years	35 to 49 Years	50 to 64 Years	65 Years and Over	Less Than \$35,000	\$35,000 то \$49,999	\$50,000 то \$74,999	\$75,000 то \$99,999	\$100,000 Or More
5 - Very high priority	52%	53%	52%	54%	51%	40%	50%	61%	62%	51%	52%	55%	48%	55%
4	20%	18%	21%	16%	22%	24%	19%	19%	16%	21%	17%	16%	25%	21%
3	15%	14%	16%	18%	11%	25%	10%	9%	13%	11%	11%	22%	14%	15%
2	8%	8%	7%	8%	8%	8%	15%	5%	1%	11%	10%	6%	7%	5%
1 - VERY LOW PRIORITY	5%	7%	3%	4%	6%	3%	7%	6%	5%	6%	10%	1%	6%	4%
Don't know/won't say	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	-	-	-	3%	-	-	-	-	-
MEAN †	4.1	4.0	4.1	4.1	4.1	3.9	3.9	4.3	4.3	4.0	3.9	4.2	4.0	4.2

			EDUC	ATION		-	OF RESIDENC		Overall	QUALITY OF	LIFE IN ALBUG	QUERQUE	D	IRECTION THE CI	ty is Going in 2	2020
	Total Sample (n=300)	High School Graduate or Less	Some College/ Associate	4-Year College Graduate	GRADUATE DEGREE	Less Than 10 Years	10 to 19 Years	20 Years or More	Excellent	GOOD	Fair	Poor/ Very Poor	Very Hopeful	Somewhat Hopeful	Some what Concerned	VERY CONCERNED
5 - Very high priority	52%	58%	51%	46%	55%	57%	36%	56%	55%	49%	51%	63%	53%	41%	55%	67%
4	20%	18%	21%	21%	17%	18%	29%	18%	21%	23%	17%	12%	14%	29%	16%	16%
3	15%	11%	17%	15%	17%	18%	25%	12%	14%	15%	16%	10%	16%	16%	15%	10%
2	8%	6%	7%	12%	5%	6%	2%	9%	2%	7%	10%	10%	11%	7%	9%	3%
1 - VERY LOW PRIORITY	5%	7%	3%	6%	6%	-	7%	5%	5%	5%	6%	6%	3%	7%	5%	4%
Don't know/won't say	1%	-	2%	-	-	-	-	1%	2%	1%	-	-	3%	-	-	-
MEAN †	4.1	4.1	4.1	3.9	4.1	4.3	3.8	4.1	4.2	4.1	4.0	4.2	4.1	3.9	4.1	4.4

	TOTAL			Region		
	SAMPLE (N=300)	WESTSIDE/ SW MESA	NORTH VALLEY/ DOWNTOWN	FAR NE Heights	Mid Heights	UNM/ Southeast
5 - Very high priority	52%	56%	60%	49%	49%	49%
4	20%	23%	10%	13%	28%	20%
3	15%	12%	25%	20%	15%	9%
2	8%	8%	4%	5%	6%	10%
1 - VERY LOW PRIORITY	5%	1%	-	12%	1%	11%
Don't know/won't say	1%	-	-	1%	-	1%
MEAN †	4.1	4.2	4.3	3.8	4.2	3.9

Priority Level for Various City of Albuquerque Services or Programs:

IMPROVE PROGRAMS FOR HOMELESS INDIVIDUALS AND FAMILIES, SUBSTANCE ABUSE, AND INDIVIDUALS WITH MENTAL HEALTH ISSUES

QUESTION 8: I'D LIKE TO READ YOU A LIST OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT SERVICES AND PROGRAMS PROVIDED BY THE CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE. FOR EACH ONE PLEASE RATE HOW MUCH OF A PRIORITY IT SHOULD BE WHEN ALBUQUERQUE OFFICIALS PLAN FOR THE CITY'S BUDGET. PLEASE USE A 5-POINT SCALE WHERE 5 MEANS YOU THINK IT SHOULD BE A VERY HIGH PRIORITY AND 1 MEANS IT SHOULD BE A VERY LOW PRIORITY. **. IMPROVE PROGRAMS FOR HOMELESS INDIVIDUALS AND FAMILIES, SUBSTANCE ABUSE, AND INDIVIDUALS WITH MENTAL HEALTH ISSUES**

		Gen	IDER	Етни	IICITY		A	GE			Но	USEHOLD INCO	DME	
	TOTAL SAMPLE (N=300)	Male	Female	HISPANIC	Anglo	18 to 34 Years	35 to 49 Years	50 to 64 Years	65 Years and Over	Less Than \$35,000	\$35,000 то \$49,999	\$50,000 то \$74,999	\$75,000 то \$99,999	\$100,000 Or More
5 - Very high priority	58%	60%	57%	60%	59%	55%	61%	62%	56%	64%	58%	66%	63%	48%
4	26%	22%	30%	24%	26%	28%	28%	22%	24%	25%	26%	25%	21%	24%
3	11%	12%	10%	13%	9%	16%	6%	9%	12%	11%	10%	6%	7%	22%
2	2%	2%	2%	3%	2%	1%	3%	1%	4%	-	2%	1%	9%	-
1 - VERY LOW PRIORITY	3%	4%	1%	1%	3%	-	3%	5%	3%	-	4%	2%	-	6%
MEAN †	4.3	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.5	4.3	4.5	4.4	4.1

			Educ	ATION		-	OF RESIDENC		Overall	QUALITY OF	LIFE IN ALBUG	QUERQUE	D	IRECTION THE CI	ty is Going in 2	2020
	Total Sample (n=300)	High School Graduate or Less	Some College/ Associate	4-Year College Graduate	Graduate Degree	Less Than 10 Years	10 to 19 Years	20 Years or More	Excellent	GOOD	Fair	Poor/ Very Poor	Very Hopeful	Somewhat Hopeful	Some what Concerned	VERY CONCERNED
5 - Very high priority	58%	65%	57%	61%	46%	50%	49%	62%	48%	60%	63%	52%	70%	58%	58%	52%
4	26%	28%	27%	21%	25%	32%	36%	23%	30%	25%	22%	35%	18%	28%	24%	30%
3	11%	6%	12%	9%	17%	15%	15%	9%	20%	10%	12%	5%	10%	11%	13%	9%
2	2%	-	2%	4%	4%	-	-	3%	2%	3%	1%	2%	-	2%	4%	1%
1 - VERY LOW PRIORITY	3%	-	1%	5%	8%	3%	-	3%	-	3%	3%	6%	2%	1%	1%	9%
Mean †	4.3	4.6	4.4	4.3	4.0	4.2	4.3	4.4	4.2	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.5	4.4	4.3	4.1

	TOTAL			Region		
	Sample (n=300)	WESTSIDE/ SW MESA	NORTH VALLEY/ DOWNTOWN	FAR NE Heights	Mid Heights	UNM/ Southeast
5 - Very high priority	58%	54%	74%	46%	65%	68%
4	26%	29%	15%	29%	23%	24%
3	11%	13%	6%	17%	7%	7%
2	2%	2%	2%	4%	4%	-
1 - VERY LOW PRIORITY	3%	2%	3%	5%	-	2%
MEAN †	4.3	4.3	4.6	4.1	4.5	4.6

PRIORITY LEVEL FOR VARIOUS CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE SERVICES OR PROGRAMS: OFFER MORE SUMMER SCHOOL AND AFTER-SCHOOL PROGRAMS FOR KIDS

QUESTION 9: I'D LIKE TO READ YOU A LIST OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT SERVICES AND PROGRAMS PROVIDED BY THE CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE. FOR EACH ONE PLEASE RATE HOW MUCH OF A PRIORITY IT SHOULD BE WHEN ALBUQUERQUE OFFICIALS PLAN FOR THE CITY'S BUDGET. PLEASE USE A 5-POINT SCALE WHERE 5 MEANS YOU THINK IT SHOULD BE A VERY HIGH PRIORITY AND 1 MEANS IT SHOULD BE A VERY LOW PRIORITY. **OFFER MORE SUMMER SCHOOL AND AFTER-SCHOOL PROGRAMS FOR KIDS**

		Gen	NDER	Етни	IICITY		A	GE			Но	USEHOLD INCO	OME	
	TOTAL SAMPLE (N=300)	Male	Female	HISPANIC	Anglo	18 to 34 Years	35 to 49 Years	50 to 64 Years	65 Years and Over	Less Than \$35,000	\$35,000 то \$49,999	\$50,000 то \$74,999	\$75,000 то \$99,999	\$100,000 Or More
5 - Very high priority	44%	43%	45%	50%	37%	47%	47%	50%	30%	49%	46%	51%	35%	38%
4	29%	25%	33%	33%	28%	27%	37%	24%	28%	26%	25%	28%	40%	33%
3	17%	18%	16%	11%	21%	16%	12%	17%	24%	21%	16%	13%	14%	21%
2	5%	7%	2%	2%	7%	8%	3%	1%	7%	2%	5%	7%	6%	2%
1 - VERY LOW PRIORITY	3%	4%	3%	3%	4%	2%	1%	4%	6%	3%	6%	1%	4%	2%
Don't know/won't say	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	-	-	4%	5%	-	3%	-	-	4%
MEAN †	4.1	4.0	4.2	4.3	3.9	4.1	4.2	4.2	3.7	4.2	4.0	4.2	4.0	4.1

			EDUC	ATION		-	OF RESIDENC		Overall	QUALITY OF	LIFE IN ALBUG	QUERQUE	D	IRECTION THE C	ITY IS GOING IN 2	2020
	Total Sample (n=300)	High School Graduate or Less	Some College/ Associate	4-Year College Graduate	Graduate Degree	Less Than 10 Years	10 to 19 Years	20 Years or More	Excellent	GOOD	Fair	Poor/ Very Poor	Very Hopeful	Somewhat Hopeful	Some what Concerned	Very Concerned
5 - Very high priority	44%	57%	38%	46%	35%	23%	56%	44%	45%	42%	52%	34%	41%	43%	45%	47%
4	29%	27%	34%	24%	29%	34%	33%	28%	34%	29%	30%	25%	30%	29%	36%	22%
3	17%	11%	16%	22%	22%	26%	11%	17%	13%	20%	9%	28%	21%	16%	16%	16%
2	5%	1%	6%	5%	8%	10%	-	5%	3%	4%	5%	8%	3%	7%	2%	6%
1 - VERY LOW PRIORITY	3%	4%	2%	3%	4%	3%	-	4%	3%	2%	5%	3%	2%	2%	1%	8%
Don't know/won't say	2%	-	3%	1%	2%	4%	-	2%	2%	3%	-	2%	3%	3%	-	1%
Mean †	4.1	4.3	4.0	4.1	3.9	3.7	4.5	4.0	4.2	4.1	4.2	3.8	4.1	4.1	4.2	4.0

	TOTAL			Region		
	Sample (n=300)	WESTSIDE/ SW MESA	NORTH VALLEY/ DOWNTOWN	FAR NE Heights	MID HEIGHTS	UNM/ Southeast
5 - Very high priority	44%	47%	43%	37%	42%	52%
4	29%	33%	35%	26%	22%	29%
3	17%	12%	13%	25%	26%	11%
2	5%	5%	2%	6%	4%	3%
1 - VERY LOW PRIORITY	3%	2%	4%	3%	5%	3%
Don't know/won't say	2%	-	3%	4%	1%	3%
MEAN †	4.1	4.2	4.1	3.9	3.9	4.3

PRIORITY LEVEL FOR VARIOUS CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE SERVICES OR PROGRAMS: REDUCE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT BY INVESTING IN MORE ENERGY EFFICIENT CITY FACILITIES AND EXPANDING RENEWABLE ENERGY USE

QUESTION 10: I'D LIKE TO READ YOU A LIST OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT SERVICES AND PROGRAMS PROVIDED BY THE CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE. FOR EACH ONE PLEASE RATE HOW MUCH OF A PRIORITY IT SHOULD BE WHEN ALBUQUERQUE OFFICIALS PLAN FOR THE CITY'S BUDGET. PLEASE USE A 5-POINT SCALE WHERE 5 MEANS YOU THINK IT SHOULD BE A VERY HIGH PRIORITY AND 1 MEANS IT SHOULD BE A VERY LOW PRIORITY. **REDUCE** ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT BY INVESTING IN MORE ENERGY EFFICIENT CITY FACILITIES AND EXPANDING RENEWABLE ENERGY USE

		Gen	IDER	Етни	IICITY		A	GE			Но	USEHOLD INCO	DME	
	TOTAL SAMPLE (N=300)	Male	Female	HISPANIC	Anglo	18 to 34 Years	35 to 49 Years	50 to 64 Years	65 Years and Over	Less Than \$35,000	\$35,000 то \$49,999	\$50,000 то \$74,999	\$75,000 то \$99,999	\$100,000 Or More
5 - Very high priority	33%	31%	35%	40%	27%	29%	31%	37%	36%	33%	40%	29%	32%	32%
4	22%	24%	19%	23%	20%	20%	28%	23%	16%	21%	23%	25%	17%	28%
3	27%	24%	31%	25%	28%	37%	27%	21%	23%	31%	16%	30%	24%	27%
2	7%	8%	7%	5%	9%	5%	10%	6%	10%	4%	9%	2%	17%	9%
1 - VERY LOW PRIORITY	10%	12%	7%	6%	16%	10%	5%	11%	12%	9%	11%	14%	10%	4%
Don't know/won't say	1%	-	2%	2%	*	-	-	1%	3%	2%	-	-	-	-
Mean †	3.6	3.5	3.7	3.9	3.3	3.5	3.7	3.7	3.5	3.7	3.7	3.5	3.4	3.8

			EDUC	ATION			OF RESIDENC		Overall	QUALITY OF	LIFE IN ALBUC	QUERQUE	D	IRECTION THE C	ty is Going in 2	2020
	Total Sample (n=300)	High School Graduate or Less	Some College/ Associate	4-Year College Graduate	Graduate Degree	Less Than 10 Years	10 to 19 Years	20 Years or More	Excellent	GOOD	Fair	Poor/ Very Poor	Very Hopeful	Somewhat Hopeful	Some what Concerned	VERY CONCERNED
5 - Very high priority	33%	28%	33%	39%	34%	31%	28%	35%	33%	42%	26%	15%	56%	38%	21%	22%
4	22%	19%	25%	17%	26%	32%	29%	18%	15%	28%	17%	14%	18%	27%	19%	20%
3	27%	33%	26%	25%	26%	22%	41%	25%	33%	20%	37%	29%	24%	24%	42%	20%
2	7%	5%	9%	10%	4%	12%	-	9%	11%	4%	8%	17%	2%	6%	9%	11%
1 - VERY LOW PRIORITY	10%	14%	6%	10%	10%	3%	2%	12%	7%	4%	13%	25%	-	3%	9%	27%
Don't know/won't say	1%	2%	1%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	2%	-	-	-	2%	1%	-
Mean †	3.6	3.4	3.7	3.6	3.7	3.8	3.8	3.6	3.6	4.0	3.4	2.8	4.3	3.9	3.3	3.0

	TOTAL			REGION		
	SAMPLE (N=300)	WESTSIDE/ SW MESA	NORTH VALLEY/ DOWNTOWN	FAR NE Heights	Mid Heights	UNM/ Southeast
5 - Very high priority	33%	32%	40%	36%	31%	30%
4	22%	31%	26%	15%	17%	16%
3	27%	22%	8%	25%	34%	42%
2	7%	7%	16%	11%	4%	4%
1 - VERY LOW PRIORITY	10%	8%	5%	14%	12%	9%
Don't know/won't say	1%	1%	4%	-	1%	-
Mean †	3.6	3.7	3.8	3.5	3.5	3.5

EVALUATION OF CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE'S: ALBUQUERQUE CITY GOVERNMENT IS RESPONSIVE TO COMMUNITY NEEDS

QUESTION 11: PLEASE RATE HOW STRONGLY YOU EITHER AGREE OR DISAGREE WITH THE FOLLOWING STATEMENTS USING A 5-POINT SCALE WHERE 5 IS STRONGLY AGREE AND 1 IS STRONGLY DISAGREE. ALBUQUERQUE CITY GOVERNMENT IS RESPONSIVE TO COMMUNITY NEEDS.

		Gen	IDER	Етни	IICITY		A	GE			Но	USEHOLD INCO	OME	
	TOTAL SAMPLE (N=300)	Male	Female	HISPANIC	Anglo	18 to 34 Years	35 to 49 Years	50 to 64 Years	65 Years and Over	Less Than \$35,000	\$35,000 то \$49,999	\$50,000 то \$74,999	\$75,000 то \$99,999	\$100,000 Or More
5 – Strongly Agree	18%	18%	18%	21%	16%	16%	25%	15%	17%	19%	24%	19%	18%	13%
4	30%	27%	33%	29%	32%	33%	32%	23%	33%	23%	36%	37%	23%	29%
3	26%	25%	26%	27%	23%	25%	21%	34%	19%	24%	19%	19%	26%	36%
2	13%	15%	11%	11%	15%	13%	10%	13%	17%	13%	8%	14%	18%	15%
1 – STRONGLY DISAGREE	12%	13%	12%	12%	11%	14%	10%	15%	10%	21%	9%	9%	15%	7%
Don't know/won't say	2%	3%	*	1%	3%	-	2%	1%	4%	-	4%	3%	-	-
MEAN †	3.3	3.2	3.4	3.4	3.3	3.2	3.5	3.1	3.3	3.1	3.6	3.4	3.1	3.3

			EDUC	ATION		-	OF RESIDENC		Overall	QUALITY OF	LIFE IN ALBUG	QUERQUE	D	IRECTION THE C	ty is Going in 2	2020
	Total Sample (n=300)	HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATE OR LESS	Some College/ Associate	4-Year College Graduate	Graduate Degree	Less Than 10 Years	10 to 19 Years	20 Years or More	Excellent	GOOD	Fair	Poor/ Very Poor	Very Hopeful	Somewhat Hopeful	Some what Concerned	Very Concerned
5 – Strongly Agree	18%	15%	22%	21%	12%	20%	17%	18%	33%	20%	16%	3%	30%	21%	16%	8%
4	30%	27%	28%	31%	37%	28%	38%	28%	30%	39%	26%	3%	44%	42%	23%	9%
3	26%	22%	28%	27%	20%	21%	28%	26%	13%	26%	34%	17%	16%	29%	28%	25%
2	13%	15%	10%	11%	19%	19%	10%	13%	11%	9%	13%	27%	4%	5%	22%	20%
1 – Strongly Disagree	12%	19%	11%	9%	9%	13%	8%	13%	10%	5%	9%	48%	2%	4%	7%	38%
Don't know/won't say	2%	2%	1%	1%	3%	-	-	2%	3%	1%	2%	2%	4%	-	4%	-
MEAN †	3.3	3.0	3.4	3.5	3.2	3.2	3.5	3.3	3.7	3.6	3.3	1.8	4.0	3.7	3.2	2.3

	TOTAL			Region		
	Sample (n=300)	WESTSIDE/ SW MESA	NORTH VALLEY/ DOWNTOWN	FAR NE Heights	Mid Heights	UNM/ Southeast
5 – Strongly Agree	18%	18%	27%	16%	13%	20%
4	30%	27%	30%	31%	39%	23%
3	26%	33%	18%	29%	21%	19%
2	13%	8%	10%	13%	16%	20%
1 – STRONGLY DISAGREE	12%	14%	16%	9%	7%	14%
Don't know/won't say	2%	-	-	1%	2%	4%
Mean †	3.3	3.3	3.4	3.3	3.4	3.2

*LESS THAN 1% REPORTED.

⁺ The Mean score is derived by taking the average score based on the 5-point scale. The <u>Strongly Agree</u> response is assigned a value of 5; the <u>Strongly Disagree</u> response is assigned a value of 1. The <u>Don't Know/Won't Say</u> responses are excluded from the calculation of the mean.

EVALUATION OF ALBUQUERQUE'S POLICE DEPARTMENT: THE ALBUQUERQUE POLICE DEPARTMENT IS RESPECTFUL IN ITS TREATMENT OF CITIZENS

QUESTION 12: PLEASE RATE HOW STRONGLY YOU EITHER AGREE OR DISAGREE WITH THE FOLLOWING STATEMENTS USING A 5-POINT SCALE WHERE 5 IS STRONGLY AGREE AND 1 IS STRONGLY DISAGREE. THE ALBUQUERQUE POLICE DEPARTMENT IS RESPECTFUL IN ITS TREATMENT OF CITIZENS.

		Gen	IDER	Етни	ICITY		A	GE			Но	USEHOLD INCO	DME	
	TOTAL SAMPLE (N=300)	Male	Female	HISPANIC	Anglo	18 to 34 Years	35 to 49 Years	50 to 64 Years	65 Years and Over		\$35,000 то \$49,999	\$50,000 то \$74,999	\$75,000 то \$99,999	\$100,000 Or More
5 – Strongly Agree	25%	24%	26%	23%	28%	18%	26%	28%	30%	23%	24%	24%	21%	32%
4	23%	25%	22%	28%	20%	22%	20%	27%	26%	22%	23%	28%	27%	22%
3	31%	29%	33%	27%	33%	44%	21%	32%	24%	37%	29%	31%	29%	29%
2	11%	11%	10%	11%	9%	13%	13%	9%	6%	11%	16%	8%	10%	9%
1 – Strongly Disagree	6%	8%	5%	9%	5%	3%	15%	1%	8%	8%	4%	7%	10%	2%
Don't know/won't say	3%	3%	4%	2%	5%	-	4%	3%	6%	-	3%	2%	3%	6%
Mean †	3.5	3.5	3.6	3.5	3.6	3.4	3.3	3.7	3.7	3.4	3.5	3.6	3.4	3.8

			EDUC	ATION		-	OF RESIDENC		Overall	QUALITY OF	LIFE IN ALBU	QUERQUE	D	IRECTION THE CI	ty is Going in 2	2020
	Total Sample (n=300)	High School Graduate or Less	Some College/ Associate	4-Year College Graduate	Graduate Degree	Less Than 10 Years	10 to 19 Years	20 Years or More	Excellent	GOOD	Fair	Poor/ Very Poor	Very Hopeful	Somewhat Hopeful	Some what Concerned	Very Concerned
5 – Strongly Agree	25%	22%	26%	24%	30%	26%	12%	28%	45%	22%	23%	24%	33%	21%	25%	26%
4	23%	15%	31%	26%	15%	25%	11%	26%	32%	26%	19%	15%	22%	27%	22%	20%
3	31%	42%	24%	28%	33%	30%	50%	27%	12%	34%	33%	32%	24%	32%	35%	32%
2	11%	7%	12%	12%	11%	16%	20%	8%	-	10%	17%	8%	4%	15%	10%	9%
1 – Strongly Disagree	6%	12%	3%	7%	6%	-	4%	8%	2%	5%	5%	20%	10%	2%	8%	9%
Don't know/won't say	3%	2%	3%	4%	4%	3%	3%	3%	8%	3%	3%	-	8%	3%	-	4%
Mean †	3.5	3.3	3.7	3.5	3.5	3.6	3.1	3.6	4.3	3.5	3.4	3.1	3.7	3.5	3.5	3.5

	TOTAL			Region		
	Sample (n=300)	WESTSIDE/ SW MESA	NORTH VALLEY/ DOWNTOWN	FAR NE Heights	Mid Heights	UNM/ SOUTHEAST
5 – Strongly Agree	25%	27%	25%	33%	25%	13%
4	23%	25%	19%	24%	22%	25%
3	31%	30%	27%	23%	37%	37%
2	11%	8%	14%	12%	15%	9%
1 – Strongly Disagree	6%	7%	10%	3%	1%	12%
Don't know/won't say	3%	3%	5%	4%	-	4%
MEAN †	3.5	3.6	3.4	3.7	3.5	3.2

⁺ The mean score is derived by taking the average score based on the 5-point scale. The <u>Strongly Agree</u> response is assigned a value of 5; the <u>Strongly Disagree</u> response is assigned a value of 1. The <u>Don't Know/Won't Say</u> responses are excluded from the calculation of the mean.

QUESTION 13: ON A DIFFERENT TOPIC, OVERALL, HOW GOOD A JOB DO YOU FEEL THE CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE GOVERNMENT HAS DONE IN DEALING WITH THE CORONAVIRUS/COVID-19 PANDEMIC? PLEASE USE A 5-POINT SCALE WHERE 5 IS EXCELLENT AND 1 IS VERY POOR.

		Gen	IDER	Етни	IICITY		А	GE			Но	USEHOLD INCO	DME	
	TOTAL SAMPLE (N=300)	Male	Female	HISPANIC	Anglo	18 to 34 Years	35 to 49 Years	50 to 64 Years	65 Years and Over	Less Than \$35,000	\$35,000 то \$49,999	\$50,000 то \$74,999	\$75,000 то \$99,999	\$100,000 Or More
5 – Excellent	22%	22%	21%	26%	19%	22%	17%	23%	26%	26%	24%	22%	16%	23%
4	32%	31%	33%	35%	26%	22%	41%	35%	29%	27%	31%	33%	31%	40%
3	26%	23%	28%	20%	32%	28%	22%	25%	28%	20%	34%	23%	27%	22%
2	8%	10%	7%	9%	8%	10%	9%	8%	7%	10%	1%	11%	6%	8%
1 – VERY POOR	12%	13%	11%	9%	15%	18%	10%	10%	8%	16%	8%	10%	19%	6%
Don't know/won't say	1%	1%	*	1%	1%	-	1%	-	2%	1%	2%	-	-	-
Mean †	3.4	3.4	3.5	3.6	3.3	3.2	3.5	3.5	3.6	3.4	3.6	3.5	3.2	3.7

			EDUC	ATION		_	OF RESIDENC		Overall	QUALITY OF	LIFE IN ALBUG	QUERQUE	D	IRECTION THE C	ty is Going in 2	2020
	Total Sample (n=300)	HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATE OR LESS	Some College/ Associate	4-Year College Graduate	Graduate Degree	Less Than 10 Years	10 to 19 Years	20 Years or More	Excellent	GOOD	Fair	Poor/ Very Poor	Very Hopeful	Somewhat Hopeful	Some what Concerned	VERY CONCERNED
5 – Excellent	22%	16%	21%	32%	17%	24%	21%	21%	44%	25%	13%	5%	55%	22%	13%	5%
4	32%	30%	32%	28%	38%	27%	25%	34%	23%	40%	31%	12%	29%	44%	25%	25%
3	26%	24%	28%	25%	26%	31%	34%	23%	22%	23%	34%	20%	13%	27%	37%	21%
2	8%	8%	9%	9%	7%	-	7%	10%	2%	4%	14%	18%	3%	2%	17%	11%
1 – VERY POOR	12%	22%	9%	5%	11%	18%	12%	11%	8%	6%	8%	45%	-	3%	8%	38%
Don't know/won't say	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	-	-	1%	-	2%	-	-	-	2%	-	-
MEAN †	3.4	3.1	3.5	3.7	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.5	3.9	3.8	3.3	2.1	4.4	3.8	3.2	2.5

	TOTAL			Region		
	Sample (n=300)	WESTSIDE/ SW MESA	NORTH VALLEY/ DOWNTOWN	FAR NE Heights	Mid Heights	UNM/ Southeast
5 – Excellent	22%	21%	16%	28%	18%	23%
4	32%	34%	40%	19%	32%	33%
3	26%	26%	24%	33%	21%	24%
2	8%	9%	3%	11%	14%	2%
1 – VERY POOR	12%	9%	15%	9%	11%	18%
Don't know/won't say	1%	-	2%	-	3%	-
Mean †	3.4	3.5	3.4	3.5	3.3	3.4

*LESS THAN 1% REPORTED.

⁺ THE MEAN SCORE IS DERIVED BY TAKING THE AVERAGE SCORE BASED ON THE 5-POINT SCALE. THE EXCELLENT RESPONSE IS ASSIGNED A VALUE OF 5; THE VERY POOR RESPONSE IS ASSIGNED A VALUE OF 1. THE DON'T KNOW/WON'T SAY RESPONSES ARE EXCLUDED FROM THE CALCULATION OF THE MEAN.

AGREE/DISAGREE WITH VARIOUS STATEMENTS RELATING TO CITY GOVERNMENT AND CORONAVIRUS OUTBREAK: HAS DONE A GOOD JOB OF COMMUNICATING WITH THE PUBLIC DURING THE OUTBREAK

QUESTION 14: THINKING ONLY OF ALBUQUERQUE CITY GOVERNMENT AND NOT STATE OR FEDERAL GOVERNMENT, PLEASE RATE HOW STRONGLY YOU EITHER AGREE OR DISAGREE WITH THE FOLLOWING STATEMENTS RELATING TO THE CORONAVIRUS OUTBREAK USING A 5-POINT SCALE WHERE 5 IS STRONGLY AGREE AND 1 IS STRONGLY DISAGREE. ALBUQUERQUE CITY GOVERNMENT: HAS DONE A GOOD JOB OF COMMUNICATING WITH THE PUBLIC DURING THE OUTBREAK.

		Gen	IDER	Етни	IICITY		A	GE			Но	USEHOLD INCO	DME	
	TOTAL SAMPLE (N=300)	Male	Female	HISPANIC	Anglo	18 to 34 Years	35 to 49 Years	50 to 64 Years	65 Years and Over	Less Than \$35,000	\$35,000 то \$49,999	\$50,000 то \$74,999	\$75,000 то \$99,999	\$100,000 Or More
5 – Strongly Agree	35%	29%	42%	45%	28%	34%	39%	36%	33%	35%	40%	42%	29%	35%
4	26%	28%	24%	25%	26%	21%	27%	26%	28%	24%	27%	24%	27%	34%
3	19%	20%	18%	14%	24%	16%	17%	24%	18%	17%	19%	13%	27%	13%
2	11%	12%	9%	10%	11%	21%	6%	4%	11%	12%	7%	14%	12%	8%
1 – STRONGLY DISAGREE	7%	9%	6%	4%	10%	6%	9%	6%	9%	7%	5%	7%	5%	8%
Don't know/won't say	2%	3%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	5%	-	5%	3%	-	-	2%
Mean †	3.7	3.6	3.9	4.0	3.5	3.6	3.8	3.9	3.7	3.7	3.9	3.8	3.6	3.8

			EDUC	ATION		-	OF RESIDENC		Overall	QUALITY OF	LIFE IN ALBUG	QUERQUE	D	IRECTION THE CI	ty is Going in 2	2020
	Total Sample (n=300)	High School Graduate or Less	Some College/ Associate	4-Year College Graduate	GRADUATE DEGREE	Less Than 10 Years	10 to 19 Years	20 Years or More	Excellent	GOOD	Fair	Poor/ Very Poor	Very Hopeful	Somewhat Hopeful	Some what Concerned	Very Concerned
5 – Strongly Agree	35%	32%	39%	43%	22%	40%	29%	36%	41%	40%	35%	16%	61%	38%	30%	20%
4	26%	15%	29%	27%	32%	21%	23%	27%	26%	30%	30%	2%	26%	34%	27%	11%
3	19%	21%	13%	19%	29%	22%	23%	18%	8%	20%	17%	28%	13%	15%	19%	28%
2	11%	17%	11%	3%	10%	3%	20%	9%	9%	6%	16%	18%	-	4%	20%	18%
1 – Strongly Disagree	7%	13%	6%	5%	4%	11%	4%	8%	8%	2%	4%	35%	-	3%	3%	23%
Don't know/won't say	2%	2%	1%	3%	2%	4%	2%	2%	8%	2%	-	-	-	5%	1%	-
MEAN †	3.7	3.4	3.8	4.0	3.6	3.8	3.5	3.8	3.9	4.0	3.8	2.5	4.5	4.1	3.6	2.9

	TOTAL			Region		
	SAMPLE (N=300)	WESTSIDE/ SW MESA	NORTH VALLEY/ DOWNTOWN	FAR NE Heights	Mid Heights	UNM/ Southeast
5 – Strongly Agree	35%	38%	30%	44%	30%	31%
4	26%	28%	26%	18%	25%	30%
3	19%	15%	23%	21%	24%	16%
2	11%	11%	7%	10%	14%	10%
1 – STRONGLY DISAGREE	7%	8%	13%	5%	4%	6%
Don't know/won't say	2%	-	-	2%	2%	6%
Mean †	3.7	3.8	3.5	3.9	3.6	3.7

AGREE/DISAGREE WITH VARIOUS STATEMENTS RELATING TO CITY GOVERNMENT AND CORONAVIRUS OUTBREAK: HAS DONE A GOOD JOB HELPING BUSINESS OWNERS DURING THE OUTBREAK

QUESTION 15: THINKING ONLY OF ALBUQUERQUE CITY GOVERNMENT AND NOT STATE OR FEDERAL GOVERNMENT, PLEASE RATE HOW STRONGLY YOU EITHER AGREE OR DISAGREE WITH THE FOLLOWING STATEMENTS RELATING TO THE CORONAVIRUS OUTBREAK USING A 5-POINT SCALE WHERE 5 IS STRONGLY AGREE AND 1 IS STRONGLY DISAGREE. ALBUQUERQUE CITY GOVERNMENT: HAS DONE A GOOD JOB HELPING BUSINESS OWNERS DURING THE OUTBREAK.

		Gen	IDER	Етни	IICITY		A	GE			Но	USEHOLD INCO	OME	
	TOTAL SAMPLE (N=300)	Male	Female	HISPANIC	Anglo	18 to 34 Years	35 to 49 Years	50 to 64 Years		Less Than \$35,000	\$35,000 то \$49,999	\$50,000 то \$74,999	\$75,000 то \$99,999	\$100,000 Or More
5 – Strongly Agree	10%	8%	12%	13%	5%	11%	8%	8%	15%	16%	7%	8%	4%	18%
4	17%	16%	18%	20%	17%	18%	13%	21%	15%	15%	16%	19%	19%	23%
3	28%	26%	29%	29%	29%	19%	34%	27%	32%	20%	32%	34%	32%	20%
2	19%	20%	17%	17%	20%	18%	20%	20%	16%	27%	17%	14%	17%	10%
1 – Strongly Disagree	22%	26%	19%	18%	25%	30%	20%	21%	17%	22%	20%	24%	22%	27%
Don't know/won't say	4%	4%	4%	3%	4%	5%	4%	2%	5%	-	7%	2%	5%	2%
MEAN †	2.7	2.6	2.9	2.9	2.5	2.6	2.7	2.7	2.9	2.8	2.7	2.7	2.6	2.9

			EDUC	ATION		-	OF RESIDENC		Overall	QUALITY OF	LIFE IN ALBUG	QUERQUE	D	IRECTION THE CI	ty is Going in 2	2020
	Total Sample (n=300)	High School Graduate or Less	Some College/ Associate	4-Year College Graduate	GRADUATE DEGREE	Less Than 10 Years	10 to 19 Years	20 Years or More	Excellent	GOOD	Fair	Poor/ Very Poor	Very Hopeful	Somewhat Hopeful	Some what Concerned	VERY CONCERNED
5 – Strongly Agree	10%	13%	11%	8%	7%	12%	10%	10%	23%	12%	5%	3%	28%	6%	6%	8%
4	17%	8%	21%	19%	18%	13%	20%	17%	26%	19%	14%	8%	24%	21%	15%	10%
3	28%	19%	33%	33%	23%	21%	24%	29%	24%	30%	35%	8%	36%	35%	29%	11%
2	19%	18%	19%	19%	19%	14%	25%	17%	3%	22%	22%	13%	6%	22%	25%	15%
1 – Strongly Disagree	22%	41%	13%	17%	22%	30%	17%	23%	22%	10%	23%	68%	-	11%	22%	56%
Don't know/won't say	4%	2%	3%	4%	10%	10%	4%	3%	3%	6%	2%	-	5%	6%	3%	1%
MEAN †	2.7	2.3	3.0	2.8	2.7	2.6	2.8	2.7	3.2	3.0	2.6	1.7	3.8	2.9	2.6	2.0

	TOTAL			REGION		
	SAMPLE (N=300)	WESTSIDE/ SW MESA	NORTH VALLEY/ DOWNTOWN	FAR NE Heights	Mid Heights	UNM/ Southeast
5 – Strongly Agree	10%	9%	7%	15%	8%	11%
4	17%	19%	18%	19%	11%	18%
3	28%	36%	26%	21%	34%	19%
2	19%	17%	22%	18%	16%	23%
1 – Strongly Disagree	22%	17%	24%	22%	24%	27%
Don't know/won't say	4%	2%	3%	7%	7%	3%
MEAN †	2.7	2.9	2.6	2.9	2.6	2.6

AGREE/DISAGREE WITH VARIOUS STATEMENTS RELATING TO CITY GOVERNMENT AND CORONAVIRUS OUTBREAK: HAS DONE A GOOD JOB KEEPING CITY SERVICES RUNNING DURING THE OUTBREAK

QUESTION 16: THINKING ONLY OF ALBUQUERQUE CITY GOVERNMENT AND NOT STATE OR FEDERAL GOVERNMENT, PLEASE RATE HOW STRONGLY YOU EITHER AGREE OR DISAGREE WITH THE FOLLOWING STATEMENTS RELATING TO THE CORONAVIRUS OUTBREAK USING A 5-POINT SCALE WHERE 5 IS STRONGLY AGREE AND 1 IS STRONGLY DISAGREE. ALBUQUERQUE CITY GOVERNMENT: HAS DONE A GOOD JOB KEEPING CITY SERVICES RUNNING DURING THE OUTBREAK.

		GEN	IDER	Етни	IICITY		A	GE			Но	USEHOLD INCO	DME	
	TOTAL SAMPLE (N=300)	Male	Female	HISPANIC	Anglo	18 to 34 Years	35 to 49 Years	50 to 64 Years	65 Years and Over	Less Than \$35,000	\$35,000 то \$49,999	\$50,000 то \$74,999	\$75,000 то \$99,999	\$100,000 Or More
5 – Strongly Agree	28%	25%	30%	31%	24%	23%	32%	35%	21%	20%	33%	28%	22%	41%
4	32%	36%	29%	32%	35%	27%	33%	28%	42%	35%	32%	27%	48%	32%
3	22%	19%	24%	21%	25%	21%	15%	25%	26%	23%	19%	25%	17%	8%
2	8%	7%	9%	9%	5%	13%	10%	3%	6%	12%	7%	10%	9%	4%
1 – Strongly Disagree	7%	8%	6%	5%	7%	11%	7%	6%	4%	10%	7%	6%	2%	6%
Don't know/won't say	3%	5%	2%	2%	4%	6%	3%	2%	1%	-	2%	4%	2%	9%
Mean †	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.8	3.7	3.4	3.8	3.8	3.7	3.4	3.8	3.6	3.8	4.1

			EDUC	ATION		-	OF RESIDENC		Overall	QUALITY OF	LIFE IN ALBUG	QUERQUE	D	IRECTION THE CI	ty is Going in 2	2020
	Total Sample (n=300)	High School Graduate or Less	Some College/ Associate	4-Year College Graduate	GRADUATE DEGREE	Less Than 10 Years	10 to 19 Years	20 Years or More	Excellent	GOOD	Fair	Poor/ Very Poor	Very Hopeful	Somewhat Hopeful	Some what Concerned	VERY CONCERNED
5 – Strongly Agree	28%	16%	30%	45%	20%	16%	26%	29%	38%	33%	24%	5%	46%	32%	22%	14%
4	32%	32%	31%	25%	44%	40%	36%	30%	27%	38%	30%	19%	39%	37%	29%	23%
3	22%	26%	27%	15%	13%	11%	18%	24%	25%	17%	30%	17%	9%	22%	32%	20%
2	8%	10%	7%	8%	8%	18%	6%	7%	3%	4%	7%	30%	2%	4%	11%	16%
1 – Strongly Disagree	7%	11%	5%	5%	8%	11%	11%	6%	-	4%	8%	23%	2%	2%	5%	20%
Don't know/won't say	3%	4%	1%	1%	7%	4%	2%	3%	7%	2%	1%	6%	2%	3%	1%	7%
Mean †	3.7	3.3	3.7	4.0	3.6	3.3	3.6	3.7	4.1	3.9	3.6	2.5	4.3	4.0	3.5	2.9

	TOTAL			Region		
	Sample (n=300)	WESTSIDE/ SW MESA	NORTH VALLEY/ DOWNTOWN	FAR NE Heights	Mid Heights	UNM/ SOUTHEAST
5 – Strongly Agree	28%	23%	31%	33%	24%	30%
4	32%	37%	25%	25%	42%	28%
3	22%	22%	22%	24%	18%	23%
2	8%	12%	10%	7%	2%	8%
1 – Strongly Disagree	7%	3%	3%	6%	12%	11%
Don't know/won't say	3%	3%	8%	5%	1%	-
MEAN †	3.7	3.7	3.8	3.8	3.7	3.6

Agree/Disagree with Various Statements Relating to City Government and Coronavirus Outbreak: Should Provide More Financial Assistance and Aid Programs to Residents Who Have Been Unable to Pay Housing and Other Necessities Due to the Pandemic

QUESTION 17: THINKING ONLY OF ALBUQUERQUE CITY GOVERNMENT AND NOT STATE OR FEDERAL GOVERNMENT, PLEASE RATE HOW STRONGLY YOU EITHER AGREE OR DISAGREE WITH THE FOLLOWING STATEMENTS RELATING TO THE CORONAVIRUS OUTBREAK USING A 5-POINT SCALE WHERE 5 IS STRONGLY AGREE AND 1 IS STRONGLY DISAGREE. ALBUQUERQUE CITY GOVERNMENT: **Should provide more financial assistance and aid programs to residents who HAVE BEEN UNABLE TO PAY HOUSING AND OTHER NECESSITIES DUE TO THE PANDEMIC.**

		Gen	IDER	Етни	ICITY		А	GE			Но	USEHOLD INCO	OME	
	TOTAL SAMPLE (N=300)	Male	FEMALE	HISPANIC	Anglo	18 to 34 Years	35 to 49 Years	50 to 64 Years	65 Years and Over	Less Than \$35,000	\$35,000 то \$49,999	\$50,000 то \$74,999	\$75,000 то \$99,999	\$100,000 Or More
5 – Strongly Agree	54%	48%	59%	56%	50%	58%	53%	56%	47%	63%	64%	55%	49%	35%
4	25%	26%	24%	25%	28%	19%	29%	23%	29%	24%	16%	20%	25%	42%
3	11%	10%	13%	10%	13%	15%	7%	10%	13%	8%	9%	16%	12%	15%
2	4%	5%	3%	3%	4%	3%	3%	2%	9%	2%	4%	3%	8%	3%
1 – Strongly Disagree	5%	9%	1%	5%	4%	5%	8%	6%	-	3%	6%	4%	6%	4%
Don't know/won't say	1%	2%	*	1%	1%	-	-	3%	1%	-	1%	1%	-	2%
Mean †	4.2	4.0	4.4	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.4	4.3	4.2	4.0	4.0

			EDUC	ATION			OF RESIDENC		Overall	QUALITY OF	LIFE IN ALBUG	QUERQUE	D	IRECTION THE CI	ty is Going in 2	2020
	Total Sample (n=300)	High School Graduate or Less	Some College/ Associate	4-Year College Graduate	GRADUATE DEGREE	Less Than 10 Years	10 to 19 Years	20 Years or More	Excellent	GOOD	Fair	Poor/ Very Poor	Very Hopeful	Somewhat Hopeful	Some what Concerned	Very Concerned
5 – Strongly Agree	54%	56%	60%	58%	34%	45%	61%	53%	47%	59%	54%	37%	55%	63%	54%	40%
4	25%	19%	25%	26%	31%	30%	27%	24%	29%	27%	19%	27%	32%	24%	28%	18%
3	11%	10%	9%	12%	18%	18%	8%	11%	5%	7%	18%	18%	4%	7%	12%	22%
2	4%	3%	4%	2%	7%	4%	2%	4%	6%	4%	1%	8%	3%	1%	4%	7%
1 – Strongly Disagree	5%	11%	2%	-	8%	3%	2%	6%	12%	1%	6%	10%	2%	4%	1%	12%
Don't know/won't say	1%	-	1%	2%	2%	-	-	2%	-	2%	1%	-	4%	1%	-	1%
Mean †	4.2	4.1	4.4	4.4	3.8	4.1	4.4	4.2	3.9	4.4	4.1	3.7	4.4	4.4	4.3	3.7

	TOTAL			Region		
	SAMPLE (N=300)	WESTSIDE/ SW MESA	NORTH VALLEY/ DOWNTOWN	FAR NE Heights	Mid Heights	UNM/ SOUTHEAST
5 – Strongly Agree	54%	52%	52%	65%	44%	56%
4	25%	26%	16%	19%	39%	23%
3	11%	14%	16%	10%	12%	5%
2	4%	5%	2%	4%	-	7%
1 – Strongly Disagree	5%	2%	12%	1%	5%	6%
Don't know/won't say	1%	1%	3%	-	-	3%
Mean †	4.2	4.2	4.0	4.4	4.2	4.2

*LESS THAN 1% REPORTED.

Agree/Disagree with Various Statements Relating to City Government and Coronavirus Outbreak: Should Provide More Direct Payments to Businesses That Have Been Hurt Economically by the Pandemic

QUESTION 18: THINKING ONLY OF ALBUQUERQUE CITY GOVERNMENT AND NOT STATE OR FEDERAL GOVERNMENT, PLEASE RATE HOW STRONGLY YOU EITHER AGREE OR DISAGREE WITH THE FOLLOWING STATEMENTS RELATING TO THE CORONAVIRUS OUTBREAK USING A 5-POINT SCALE WHERE 5 IS STRONGLY AGREE AND 1 IS STRONGLY DISAGREE. ALBUQUERQUE CITY GOVERNMENT: **Should provide more direct payments to businesses that have been hurt economically by the pandemic.**

		GEN	NDER	Етни	IICITY		А	GE			Но	USEHOLD INCO	OME	
	Total Sample (n=300)	Male	FEMALE	HISPANIC	Anglo	18 to 34 Years	35 to 49 Years	50 to 64 Years	65 Years and Over	Less Than \$35,000	\$35,000 то \$49,999	\$50,000 то \$74,999	\$75,000 то \$99,999	\$100,000 Or More
5 – Strongly Agree	53%	52%	54%	61%	46%	58%	54%	55%	44%	59%	65%	52%	51%	33%
4	26%	26%	26%	22%	31%	28%	20%	29%	29%	32%	16%	30%	28%	33%
3	14%	13%	14%	11%	15%	9%	19%	10%	17%	8%	13%	11%	11%	24%
2	4%	5%	3%	2%	6%	2%	5%	3%	8%	-	4%	5%	10%	3%
1 – Strongly Disagree	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	-	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	3%	-	2%
Don't know/won't say	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	-	1%	1%	-	1%	-	-	5%
Mean †	4.3	4.2	4.3	4.4	4.2	4.5	4.2	4.4	4.1	4.5	4.4	4.2	4.2	4.0

			EDUC	ATION		-	OF RESIDENC		Overall	QUALITY OF	LIFE IN ALBU	QUERQUE	D	IRECTION THE C	ty is Going in 2	2020
	Total Sample (n=300)	High School Graduate or Less	Some College/ Associate	4-Year College Graduate	Graduate Degree	Less Than 10 Years	10 to 19 Years	20 Years or More	Excellent	GOOD	Fair	Poor/ Very Poor	Very Hopeful	Somewhat Hopeful	Some what Concerned	VERY CONCERNED
5 – Strongly Agree	53%	59%	59%	58%	26%	56%	54%	52%	53%	51%	58%	49%	48%	56%	54%	52%
4	26%	25%	25%	24%	35%	22%	24%	27%	31%	28%	26%	17%	34%	24%	27%	23%
3	14%	13%	12%	13%	20%	15%	19%	13%	11%	14%	12%	20%	8%	16%	14%	13%
2	4%	2%	3%	3%	12%	3%	1%	5%	5%	4%	2%	10%	4%	2%	2%	11%
1 – STRONGLY DISAGREE	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	-	-	2%	-	*	2%	4%	1%	-	2%	2%
Don't know/won't say	1%	-	-	1%	5%	4%	1%	1%	-	2%	-	-	4%	2%	-	-
Mean †	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.4	3.8	4.4	4.3	4.2	4.3	4.3	4.4	4.0	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.1

	TOTAL			Region		
	Sample (n=300)	WESTSIDE/ SW MESA	NORTH VALLEY/ DOWNTOWN	FAR NE Heights	Mid Heights	UNM/ Southeast
5 – Strongly Agree	53%	53%	58%	54%	45%	57%
4	26%	29%	6%	30%	34%	23%
3	14%	12%	22%	11%	17%	13%
2	4%	3%	8%	3%	3%	5%
1 – STRONGLY DISAGREE	1%	3%	-	1%	-	-
Don't know/won't say	1%	-	5%	2%	-	2%
Mean †	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.4	4.2	4.3

*LESS THAN 1% REPORTED.

AWARENESS OF CITY-OPERATED CHILDCARE SERVICES FOR ESSENTIAL WORKERS DURING THE COVID PANDEMIC

QUESTION 19: DO YOU HAPPEN TO KNOW WHETHER OR NOT THE CITY-OPERATED CHILDCARE SERVICES HAVE BEEN OPEN FOR CHILDREN OF ESSENTIAL WORKERS DURING THE COVID PANDEMIC?

		GEN	IDER	Етни	IICITY		A	GE			Но	USEHOLD INCO	DME	
	TOTAL SAMPLE (N=300)	Male	Female	HISPANIC	Anglo	18 to 34 Years	35 to 49 Years	50 to 64 Years	65 Years and Over	Less Than \$35,000	\$35,000 то \$49,999	\$50,000 то \$74,999	\$75,000 то \$99,999	\$100,000 Or More
Yes, open	33%	21%	44%	30%	34%	36%	32%	31%	31%	29%	30%	36%	32%	49%
NO, NOT OPEN	17%	22%	11%	19%	14%	16%	27%	16%	7%	17%	28%	13%	16%	10%
Don't know/won't say	51%	57%	45%	51%	52%	47%	42%	54%	62%	54%	42%	51%	52%	41%

			EDUC	ATION			OF RESIDENC		Overall		LIFE IN ALBUC	QUERQUE	D	IRECTION THE C	ty is Going in 2	2020
	Total Sample (n=300)	High School Graduate or Less	Some College/ Associate	4-Year College Graduate	Graduate Degree	Less Than 10 Years	10 to 19 Years	20 Years or More	Excellent	GOOD	Fair	Poor/ Very Poor	Very Hopeful	Somewhat Hopeful	Some what Concerned	VERY CONCERNED
Yes, open	33%	29%	29%	37%	40%	35%	34%	32%	38%	36%	33%	14%	32%	29%	32%	37%
NO, NOT OPEN	17%	20%	13%	22%	14%	10%	17%	18%	13%	18%	16%	14%	18%	20%	14%	14%
Don't know/won't say	51%	51%	58%	42%	46%	56%	50%	50%	48%	46%	50%	72%	50%	51%	54%	48%

	TOTAL			REGION		
	SAMPLE (N=300)	WESTSIDE/ SW MESA	NORTH VALLEY/ DOWNTOWN	FAR NE Heights	Mid Heights	UNM/ SOUTHEAST
Yes, open	33%	34%	31%	35%	38%	24%
NO, NOT OPEN	17%	12%	16%	22%	12%	21%
Don't know/won't say	51%	53%	52%	42%	50%	55%

FEELINGS OF PERSONAL SAFETY OUTSIDE ALONE AT NIGHT IN YOUR NEIGHBORHOOD

QUESTION 20: IN YOUR NEIGHBORHOOD, HOW SAFE DO YOU FEEL BEING OUTSIDE AT NIGHT BY YOURSELF? DO YOU FEEL...

		Gen	IDER	Етни	IICITY		A	GE			Но	USEHOLD INCO	OME	
	Total Sample (n=300)	Male	Female	HISPANIC	Anglo	18 to 34 Years	35 to 49 Years	50 to 64 Years	65 Years and Over	Less Than \$35,000	\$35,000 то \$49,999	\$50,000 то \$74,999	\$75,000 то \$99,999	\$100,000 Or More
Very Safe	30%	34%	26%	27%	31%	27%	39%	28%	25%	16%	30%	35%	24%	51%
Somewhat Safe	38%	37%	38%	40%	39%	34%	32%	42%	45%	31%	48%	37%	42%	34%
Neither Safe nor Unsafe	8%	7%	9%	11%	4%	5%	9%	8%	9%	2%	10%	9%	12%	2%
Somewhat Unsafe	14%	10%	17%	13%	14%	19%	12%	14%	9%	27%	6%	14%	18%	4%
VERY UNSAFE	10%	12%	9%	9%	10%	15%	9%	6%	11%	24%	6%	4%	5%	8%
Don't know/won't say	1%	-	1%	-	1%	-	-	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-

			EDUC	ATION		-	OF RESIDENC		Overall	QUALITY OF	LIFE IN ALBUG	QUERQUE	D	IRECTION THE C	ty is Going in 2	2020
	Total Sample (n=300)	HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATE OR LESS	Some College/ Associate	4-Year College Graduate	GRADUATE DEGREE	Less Than 10 Years	10 to 19 Years	20 Years or More		GOOD	Fair	Poor/ Very Poor	Very Hopeful	Somewhat Hopeful	Some what Concerned	Very Concerned
Very Safe	30%	18%	31%	36%	37%	49%	20%	30%	25%	38%	21%	23%	43%	39%	21%	18%
Somewhat Safe	38%	29%	37%	46%	42%	22%	48%	37%	40%	43%	34%	21%	38%	42%	43%	24%
Neither Safe nor Unsafe	8%	9%	7%	6%	7%	5%	7%	8%	5%	3%	16%	9%	5%	4%	9%	14%
Somewhat Unsafe	14%	22%	15%	8%	7%	13%	19%	12%	5%	13%	16%	18%	9%	8%	10%	30%
Very Unsafe	10%	22%	7%	4%	7%	11%	5%	12%	20%	2%	12%	30%	2%	7%	16%	15%
Don't know/won't say	1%	-	2%	-	-	-	-	1%	5%	-	-	-	3%	-	-	-

	TOTAL			REGION		
	Sample (n=300)	WESTSIDE/ SW MESA	NORTH VALLEY/ DOWNTOWN	FAR NE HEIGHTS	Mid Heights	UNM/ SOUTHEAST
Very Safe	30%	33%	28%	37%	27%	21%
Somewhat Safe	38%	37%	25%	33%	48%	42%
Neither Safe nor Unsafe	8%	9%	13%	9%	8%	2%
Somewhat Unsafe	14%	12%	21%	8%	11%	22%
VERY UNSAFE	10%	9%	12%	10%	6%	14%
Don't know/won't say	1%	-	-	3%	-	-

FEELINGS OF PERSONAL SAFETY OUTSIDE ALONE DURING THE DAY IN YOUR NEIGHBORHOOD

QUESTION 21: IN YOUR NEIGHBORHOOD, HOW SAFE DO YOU FEEL BEING OUTSIDE DURING THE DAY BY YOURSELF? DO YOU FEEL...

		GEN	IDER	Етни	IICITY	1	A	GE			Но	USEHOLD INCO	OME	
	TOTAL SAMPLE (N=300)	Male	Female	HISPANIC	Anglo	18 to 34 Years	35 to 49 Years	50 to 64 Years	65 Years and Over	Less Than \$35,000	\$35,000 то \$49,999	\$50,000 то \$74,999	\$75,000 то \$99,999	\$100,000 Or More
Very Safe	60%	62%	58%	59%	60%	50%	66%	61%	64%	45%	62%	66%	68%	73%
Somewhat Safe	24%	21%	28%	27%	22%	33%	14%	26%	25%	36%	23%	23%	17%	14%
NEITHER SAFE NOR UNSAFE	5%	7%	3%	5%	4%	6%	7%	3%	5%	5%	6%	2%	4%	9%
Somewhat Unsafe	5%	5%	6%	2%	9%	10%	7%	3%	2%	6%	4%	7%	5%	4%
VERY UNSAFE	4%	5%	3%	5%	4%	1%	6%	5%	5%	8%	3%	3%	7%	-
Don't know/won't say	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	-	3%	-	-	2%	-	-	-

			EDUC	ATION		_	OF RESIDENC		Overall	QUALITY OF	LIFE IN ALBUG	QUERQUE	D	IRECTION THE C	ty is Going in 2	2020
	Total Sample (n=300)	High School Graduate or Less	Some College/ Associate	4-Year College Graduate	GRADUATE DEGREE	Less Than 10 Years	10 to 19 Years	20 Years or More	Excellent	GOOD	Fair	Poor/ Very Poor	Very Hopeful	Somewhat Hopeful	Some what Concerned	VERY CONCERNED
Very Safe	60%	44%	60%	70%	71%	71%	46%	62%	57%	69%	55%	40%	80%	73%	41%	48%
Somewhat Safe	24%	29%	26%	20%	20%	11%	41%	22%	14%	24%	28%	28%	12%	19%	36%	29%
Neither Safe nor Unsafe	5%	7%	5%	4%	6%	9%	4%	5%	4%	1%	10%	14%	2%	3%	5%	11%
Somewhat Unsafe	5%	11%	6%	3%	-	-	4%	6%	11%	2%	6%	11%	2%	2%	9%	10%
VERY UNSAFE	4%	7%	3%	3%	3%	9%	2%	4%	10%	3%	1%	8%	3%	3%	7%	3%
Don't know/won't say	1%	2%	1%	-	-	-	3%	*	3%	1%	-	-	2%	-	2%	-

	TOTAL			Region		
	Sample (n=300)	WESTSIDE/ SW MESA	NORTH VALLEY/ DOWNTOWN	FAR NE Heights	Mid Heights	UNM/ Southeast
Very Safe	60%	63%	51%	62%	63%	56%
Somewhat Safe	24%	22%	13%	24%	33%	26%
Neither Safe nor Unsafe	5%	6%	12%	4%	2%	5%
Somewhat Unsafe	5%	5%	14%	6%	-	6%
Very Unsafe	4%	3%	9%	2%	2%	7%
Don't know/won't say	1%	2%	-	2%	-	-

*LESS THAN 1% REPORTED.

OVERALL EFFECTIVENESS OF VARIOUS WAYS TO MAKE YOUR NEIGHBORHOOD SAFER: MORE POLICE OFFICERS PATROLLING YOUR AREA EITHER BY CAR, WALKING OR BIKING

QUESTION 22: THERE ARE DIFFERENT THINGS THAT CAN BE DONE TO MAKE NEIGHBORHOODS SAFER. PLEASE RATE HOW EFFECTIVE YOU FEEL EACH OF THE FOLLOWING WOULD BE IN MAKING YOUR NEIGHBORHOOD SAFER USING A 5-POINT SCALE WHERE 5 IS VERY EFFECTIVE AND 1 IS VERY INEFFECTIVE. MORE POLICE OFFICERS PATROLLING YOUR AREA EITHER BY CAR, WALKING OR BIKING.

		Gen	IDER	Етни	IICITY	1	А	GE		1	Но	USEHOLD INCO	OME	
	TOTAL SAMPLE (N=300)	Male	Female	HISPANIC	Anglo	18 to 34 Years	35 to 49 Years	50 to 64 Years	65 Years and Over	Less Than \$35,000	\$35,000 то \$49,999	\$50,000 то \$74,999	\$75,000 то \$99,999	\$100,000 Or More
5 – VERY EFFECTIVE	47%	47%	46%	49%	46%	38%	42%	53%	57%	55%	37%	44%	55%	48%
4	22%	20%	23%	22%	22%	21%	19%	29%	18%	16%	27%	22%	18%	28%
3	17%	19%	16%	13%	18%	27%	17%	9%	13%	17%	19%	21%	10%	12%
2	7%	5%	10%	9%	7%	7%	10%	7%	7%	4%	7%	8%	13%	4%
1 - VERY INEFFECTIVE	7%	8%	5%	7%	5%	8%	12%	2%	4%	8%	11%	6%	2%	8%
Don't know/won't say	*	-	*	-	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	2%	-
Mean †	3.9	3.9	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.7	3.7	4.2	4.2	4.1	3.7	3.9	4.1	4.0

			EDUC	ATION		_	OF RESIDENC		Overall	QUALITY OF	LIFE IN ALBU	QUERQUE	D	IRECTION THE CI	ty is Going in 2	2020
	Total Sample (n=300)	High School Graduate or Less	Some College/ Associate	4-Year College Graduate	Graduate Degree	Less Than 10 Years	10 to 19 Years	20 Years or More	Excellent	GOOD	Fair	Poor/ Very Poor	Very Hopeful	Somewhat Hopeful	Some what Concerned	Very Concerned
5 – VERY EFFECTIVE	47%	57%	42%	48%	39%	60%	31%	49%	57%	42%	47%	52%	44%	40%	53%	52%
4	22%	17%	23%	24%	26%	13%	26%	22%	16%	24%	19%	25%	22%	24%	21%	19%
3	17%	19%	20%	10%	19%	10%	31%	15%	19%	16%	21%	15%	13%	20%	17%	18%
2	7%	2%	7%	12%	10%	12%	2%	8%	2%	9%	7%	6%	15%	6%	4%	7%
1 - VERY INEFFECTIVE	7%	5%	9%	6%	5%	4%	9%	6%	6%	9%	5%	2%	6%	10%	5%	3%
Don't know/won't say	*	-	-	-	1%	-	-	*	-	*	-	-	-	1%	-	-
MEAN †	3.9	4.2	3.8	4.0	3.9	4.1	3.7	4.0	4.2	3.8	4.0	4.2	3.8	3.8	4.1	4.1

	TOTAL			REGION		
	Sample (n=300)	WESTSIDE/ SW MESA	NORTH VALLEY/ DOWNTOWN	FAR NE Heights	Mid Heights	UNM/ SOUTHEAST
5 – VERY EFFECTIVE	47%	40%	48%	44%	58%	51%
4	22%	25%	24%	26%	15%	16%
3	17%	20%	13%	18%	15%	16%
2	7%	10%	15%	3%	8%	4%
1 - VERY INEFFECTIVE	7%	5%	-	10%	4%	11%
Don't know/won't say	*	-	-	-	-	1%
Mean †	3.9	3.9	4.0	3.9	4.2	3.9

*LESS THAN 1% REPORTED.

⁺ THE MEAN SCORE IS DERIVED BY TAKING THE AVERAGE SCORE BASED ON THE 5-POINT SCALE. THE VERY EFFECTIVE RESPONSE IS ASSIGNED A VALUE OF 5; THE VERY INEFFECTIVE RESPONSE IS ASSIGNED A VALUE OF 1. THE DON'T KNOW/WON'T SAY RESPONSES ARE EXCLUDED FROM THE CALCULATION OF THE MEAN.

OVERALL EFFECTIVENESS OF VARIOUS WAYS TO MAKE YOUR NEIGHBORHOOD SAFER: MORE STREET LIGHTING

QUESTION 23: THERE ARE DIFFERENT THINGS THAT CAN BE DONE TO MAKE NEIGHBORHOODS SAFER. PLEASE RATE HOW EFFECTIVE YOU FEEL EACH OF THE FOLLOWING WOULD BE IN MAKING YOUR NEIGHBORHOOD SAFER USING A 5-POINT SCALE WHERE 5 IS VERY EFFECTIVE AND 1 IS VERY INEFFECTIVE. MORE STREET LIGHTING.

		Gen	IDER	Етни	IICITY	1	A	GE		1	Но	USEHOLD INCO	DME	
	Total Sample (n=300)	Male	Female	HISPANIC	Anglo	18 to 34 Years	35 to 49 Years	50 to 64 Years	65 Years and Over	Less Than \$35,000	\$35,000 то \$49,999	\$50,000 то \$74,999	\$75,000 то \$99,999	\$100,000 Or More
5 – VERY EFFECTIVE	55%	55%	55%	60%	52%	59%	56%	58%	47%	71%	53%	48%	53%	45%
4	21%	21%	21%	19%	23%	20%	21%	19%	25%	13%	23%	22%	23%	26%
3	14%	16%	12%	12%	15%	7%	14%	13%	24%	8%	14%	13%	18%	18%
2	6%	3%	9%	6%	8%	9%	6%	6%	2%	6%	5%	10%	6%	7%
1 - VERY INEFFECTIVE	4%	5%	3%	4%	3%	5%	3%	3%	2%	2%	5%	7%	-	5%
Mean †	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.3	4.1	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.1	4.4	4.1	4.0	4.2	4.0

			EDUC	ATION		_	OF RESIDENC		Overall	QUALITY OF	LIFE IN ALBUC	QUERQUE	D	IRECTION THE C	ty is Going in 2	2020
	Total Sample (n=300)	HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATE OR LESS	Some College/ Associate		Graduate Degree	Less Than 10 Years	10 to 19 Years	20 Years or More	Excellent	GOOD	Fair	Poor/ Very Poor	Very Hopeful	Somewhat Hopeful	Some what Concerned	VERY CONCERNED
5 – VERY EFFECTIVE	55%	56%	59%	56%	45%	44%	53%	57%	54%	59%	46%	59%	50%	58%	55%	53%
4	21%	19%	22%	19%	29%	26%	25%	20%	21%	18%	25%	26%	26%	14%	25%	25%
3	14%	12%	11%	18%	16%	13%	12%	14%	25%	14%	13%	6%	15%	18%	11%	11%
2	6%	13%	3%	4%	6%	6%	8%	6%	-	5%	11%	6%	3%	6%	7%	7%
1 - VERY INEFFECTIVE	4%	-	6%	4%	4%	11%	2%	3%	-	4%	5%	3%	6%	4%	2%	4%
MEAN †	4.2	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.0	3.8	4.2	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.0	4.3	4.1	4.2	4.2	4.1

	TOTAL			Region		
	Sample (n=300)	WESTSIDE/ SW MESA	NORTH VALLEY/ DOWNTOWN	FAR NE Heights	Mid Heights	UNM/ SOUTHEAST
5 – VERY EFFECTIVE	55%	53%	44%	61%	60%	54%
4	21%	20%	21%	16%	25%	25%
3	14%	15%	18%	11%	13%	13%
2	6%	9%	6%	9%	-	4%
1 - VERY INEFFECTIVE	4%	3%	12%	4%	2%	3%
Mean †	4.2	4.1	3.8	4.2	4.4	4.2

OVERALL EFFECTIVENESS OF VARIOUS WAYS TO MAKE YOUR NEIGHBORHOOD SAFER: MORE NEIGHBORHOOD SOCIAL EVENTS

QUESTION 24: THERE ARE DIFFERENT THINGS THAT CAN BE DONE TO MAKE NEIGHBORHOODS SAFER. PLEASE RATE HOW EFFECTIVE YOU FEEL EACH OF THE FOLLOWING WOULD BE IN MAKING YOUR NEIGHBORHOOD SAFER USING A 5-POINT SCALE WHERE 5 IS VERY EFFECTIVE AND 1 IS VERY INEFFECTIVE. MORE NEIGHBORHOOD SOCIAL EVENTS

		GEN	IDER	Етни	IICITY	1	А	GE			Но	USEHOLD INCO	DME	
	TOTAL SAMPLE (N=300)	Male	Female	HISPANIC	Anglo	18 to 34 Years	35 to 49 Years	50 to 64 Years		Less Than \$35,000	\$35,000 то \$49,999	\$50,000 то \$74,999	\$75,000 то \$99,999	\$100,000 Or More
5 – Very Effective	19%	17%	21%	18%	19%	13%	12%	29%	22%	31%	20%	11%	16%	12%
4	25%	21%	29%	27%	23%	26%	26%	26%	23%	23%	30%	19%	30%	31%
3	29%	32%	25%	33%	28%	31%	34%	23%	26%	26%	21%	42%	27%	30%
2	14%	15%	12%	11%	15%	17%	12%	13%	9%	9%	11%	16%	22%	9%
1 - VERY INEFFECTIVE	13%	14%	12%	11%	12%	11%	16%	9%	17%	9%	20%	12%	6%	16%
Don't know/won't say	1%	1%	*	-	2%	1%	-	-	2%	1%	-	-	-	2%
MEAN †	3.2	3.1	3.3	3.3	3.2	3.1	3.1	3.5	3.2	3.6	3.2	3.0	3.3	3.2

			EDUC	ATION		_	OF RESIDENC		Overall	QUALITY OF	LIFE IN ALBU	QUERQUE	D	IRECTION THE CI	ty is Going in 2	2020
	Total Sample (n=300)	HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATE OR LESS	Some College/ Associate	4-Year College Graduate	GRADUATE DEGREE	Less Than 10 Years	10 to 19 Years	20 Years or More	Excellent	GOOD	Fair	Poor/ Very Poor	Very Hopeful	Somewhat Hopeful	Some what Concerned	VERY CONCERNED
5 – VERY EFFECTIVE	19%	21%	18%	22%	12%	10%	13%	21%	29%	14%	21%	24%	21%	19%	14%	20%
4	25%	27%	24%	23%	27%	30%	26%	24%	28%	29%	22%	15%	20%	28%	27%	23%
3	29%	29%	32%	18%	35%	32%	30%	28%	10%	28%	40%	21%	36%	26%	36%	20%
2	14%	13%	12%	18%	11%	14%	19%	12%	11%	15%	9%	20%	10%	13%	13%	18%
1 - VERY INEFFECTIVE	13%	10%	13%	18%	13%	11%	12%	14%	19%	13%	8%	19%	11%	12%	11%	19%
Don't know/won't say	1%	-	1%	1%	2%	4%	1%	*	2%	1%	-	-	3%	1%	-	-
MEAN †	3.2	3.4	3.2	3.1	3.2	3.1	3.1	3.3	3.4	3.2	3.4	3.0	3.3	3.3	3.2	3.1

	TOTAL			Region		
	SAMPLE (N=300)	WESTSIDE/ SW MESA	NORTH VALLEY/ DOWNTOWN	FAR NE Heights	Mid Heights	UNM/ Southeast
5 – VERY EFFECTIVE	19%	16%	29%	19%	9%	27%
4	25%	27%	23%	16%	37%	23%
3	29%	31%	25%	30%	22%	31%
2	14%	17%	9%	7%	21%	11%
1 - VERY INEFFECTIVE	13%	9%	14%	25%	11%	8%
Don't know/won't say	1%	-	-	3%	-	1%
MEAN †	3.2	3.2	3.4	3.0	3.1	3.5

*LESS THAN 1% REPORTED.

[†] THE MEAN SCORE IS DERIVED BY TAKING THE AVERAGE SCORE BASED ON THE 5-POINT SCALE. THE VERY EFFECTIVE RESPONSE IS ASSIGNED A VALUE OF 5; THE VERY INEFFECTIVE RESPONSE IS ASSIGNED A VALUE OF 1. THE DON'T KNOW/WON'T SAY RESPONSES ARE EXCLUDED FROM THE CALCULATION OF THE MEAN.

OVERALL EFFECTIVENESS OF VARIOUS WAYS TO MAKE YOUR NEIGHBORHOOD SAFER: NEIGHBORS WORKING TOGETHER TO REPORT CRIME AND SUSPICIOUS ACTIVITIES

QUESTION 25: THERE ARE DIFFERENT THINGS THAT CAN BE DONE TO MAKE NEIGHBORHOODS SAFER. PLEASE RATE HOW EFFECTIVE YOU FEEL EACH OF THE FOLLOWING WOULD BE IN MAKING YOUR NEIGHBORHOOD SAFER USING A 5-POINT SCALE WHERE 5 IS VERY EFFECTIVE AND 1 IS VERY INEFFECTIVE. NEIGHBORS WORKING TOGETHER TO REPORT CRIME AND SUSPICIOUS ACTIVITIES.

		Gen	IDER	Етни	ICITY		A	GE		1	Но	USEHOLD INCO	DME	
	TOTAL SAMPLE (N=300)	Male	Female	HISPANIC	Anglo	18 to 34 Years	35 to 49 Years	50 to 64 Years	65 Years and Over	Less Than \$35,000	\$35,000 то \$49,999	\$50,000 то \$74,999	\$75,000 то \$99,999	\$100,000 Or More
5 – VERY EFFECTIVE	52%	52%	52%	50%	55%	49%	45%	62%	55%	50%	57%	53%	40%	59%
4	25%	23%	27%	27%	23%	33%	24%	21%	19%	15%	22%	30%	33%	23%
3	13%	10%	15%	12%	14%	11%	18%	9%	13%	20%	6%	13%	17%	9%
2	4%	6%	2%	4%	6%	5%	5%	1%	6%	6%	6%	2%	6%	2%
1 - VERY INEFFECTIVE	5%	8%	3%	8%	2%	2%	8%	7%	4%	9%	9%	2%	2%	6%
Don't know/won't say	*	-	*	-	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	2%	-
Mean †	4.2	4.1	4.2	4.1	4.2	4.2	3.9	4.3	4.2	3.9	4.1	4.3	4.0	4.3

			EDUC	ATION		-	OF RESIDENC		Overall	QUALITY OF	LIFE IN ALBUG	QUERQUE	D	IRECTION THE C	ty is Going in 2	2020
	Total Sample (n=300)	High School Graduate or Less	Some College/ Associate	4-Year College Graduate	Graduate Degree	Less Than 10 Years	10 to 19 Years	20 Years or More	Excellent	GOOD	Fair	Poor/ Very Poor	Very Hopeful	Somewhat Hopeful	Some what Concerned	Very Concerned
5 – VERY EFFECTIVE	52%	52%	61%	50%	39%	57%	40%	55%	70%	48%	51%	56%	57%	54%	51%	47%
4	25%	26%	22%	21%	36%	22%	37%	23%	13%	27%	29%	20%	27%	23%	21%	31%
3	13%	9%	12%	17%	15%	15%	11%	13%	9%	13%	14%	13%	11%	9%	16%	16%
2	4%	4%	2%	7%	5%	3%	2%	5%	-	3%	6%	8%	2%	4%	6%	6%
1 - VERY INEFFECTIVE	5%	8%	4%	5%	3%	4%	10%	4%	8%	7%	1%	3%	4%	9%	6%	1%
Don't know/won't say	*	-	-	-	1%	-	-	*	-	*	-	-	-	1%	-	-
MEAN †	4.2	4.1	4.3	4.0	4.1	4.2	4.0	4.2	4.4	4.1	4.2	4.2	4.3	4.1	4.1	4.2

	TOTAL			Region		
	Sample (n=300)	WESTSIDE/ SW MESA	NORTH VALLEY/ DOWNTOWN	FAR NE Heights	Mid Heights	UNM/ SOUTHEAST
5 – VERY EFFECTIVE	52%	52%	55%	57%	58%	43%
4	25%	24%	31%	24%	25%	24%
3	13%	16%	8%	11%	10%	15%
2	4%	5%	-	-	5%	9%
1 - VERY INEFFECTIVE	5%	4%	6%	8%	2%	8%
Don't know/won't say	*	-	-	-	-	1%
Mean †	4.2	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.3	3.9

*LESS THAN 1% REPORTED.

[†] THE MEAN SCORE IS DERIVED BY TAKING THE AVERAGE SCORE BASED ON THE 5-POINT SCALE. THE VERY EFFECTIVE RESPONSE IS ASSIGNED A VALUE OF 5; THE VERY INEFFECTIVE RESPONSE IS ASSIGNED A VALUE OF 1. THE DON'T KNOW/WON'T SAY RESPONSES ARE EXCLUDED FROM THE CALCULATION OF THE MEAN.

OVERALL EFFECTIVENESS OF VARIOUS WAYS TO MAKE YOUR NEIGHBORHOOD SAFER: MORE NEARBY RETAIL STORES OR RESTAURANTS

QUESTION 26: THERE ARE DIFFERENT THINGS THAT CAN BE DONE TO MAKE NEIGHBORHOODS SAFER. PLEASE RATE HOW EFFECTIVE YOU FEEL EACH OF THE FOLLOWING WOULD BE IN MAKING YOUR NEIGHBORHOOD SAFER USING A 5-POINT SCALE WHERE 5 IS VERY EFFECTIVE AND 1 IS VERY INEFFECTIVE. MORE NEARBY RETAIL STORES OR RESTAURANTS

		Gen	IDER	Етни	IICITY		А	GE		1	Но	USEHOLD INCO	DME	
	TOTAL SAMPLE (N=300)	Male	Female	HISPANIC	Anglo	18 to 34 Years	35 to 49 Years	50 to 64 Years		Less Than \$35,000	\$35,000 то \$49,999	\$50,000 то \$74,999	\$75,000 то \$99,999	\$100,000 Or More
5 – Very Effective	20%	23%	17%	22%	19%	17%	24%	24%	15%	27%	26%	17%	16%	10%
4	16%	13%	19%	20%	10%	19%	14%	13%	17%	23%	13%	10%	16%	19%
3	33%	34%	31%	30%	40%	35%	33%	31%	29%	24%	31%	41%	42%	36%
2	16%	17%	16%	16%	16%	15%	14%	18%	19%	17%	14%	16%	17%	14%
1 - VERY INEFFECTIVE	14%	13%	15%	10%	14%	13%	13%	15%	16%	7%	15%	15%	9%	20%
Don't know/won't say	1%	-	2%	1%	1%	-	1%	-	4%	2%	1%	2%	-	-
MEAN †	3.1	3.2	3.1	3.3	3.0	3.1	3.2	3.1	2.9	3.5	3.2	3.0	3.1	2.9

			EDUC	ATION		-	OF RESIDENC		Overall	QUALITY OF	LIFE IN ALBUG	QUERQUE	D	IRECTION THE CI	ty is Going in 2	2020
	Total Sample (n=300)	High School Graduate or Less	Some College/ Associate	4-Year College Graduate	GRADUATE DEGREE	Less Than 10 Years	10 to 19 Years	20 Years or More	Excellent	GOOD	Fair	Poor/ Very Poor	Very Hopeful	Somewhat Hopeful	Some what Concerned	Very Concerned
5 – Very Effective	20%	23%	20%	25%	9%	14%	22%	20%	22%	18%	24%	18%	26%	19%	23%	14%
4	16%	22%	12%	12%	19%	24%	15%	15%	15%	17%	12%	19%	21%	11%	15%	20%
3	33%	31%	36%	24%	40%	19%	39%	33%	29%	34%	36%	25%	22%	38%	35%	31%
2	16%	9%	20%	20%	16%	28%	10%	17%	14%	18%	19%	8%	17%	24%	15%	6%
1 - VERY INEFFECTIVE	14%	14%	12%	17%	15%	11%	14%	14%	17%	13%	7%	29%	12%	9%	10%	28%
Don't know/won't say	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	3%	-	1%	3%	*	2%	-	2%	-	1%	1%
MEAN †	3.1	3.3	3.1	3.1	2.9	3.0	3.2	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.3	2.9	3.3	3.1	3.3	2.9

	TOTAL			Region		
	Sample (n=300)	WESTSIDE/ SW MESA	NORTH VALLEY/ DOWNTOWN	FAR NE Heights	Mid Heights	UNM/ SOUTHEAST
5 – VERY EFFECTIVE	20%	18%	21%	19%	20%	23%
4	16%	16%	8%	16%	13%	22%
3	33%	33%	25%	30%	45%	30%
2	16%	19%	27%	12%	14%	14%
1 - VERY INEFFECTIVE	14%	12%	19%	21%	7%	10%
Don't know/won't say	1%	1%	-	1%	1%	2%
Mean †	3.1	3.1	2.9	3.0	3.3	3.3

*LESS THAN 1% REPORTED.

[†] THE MEAN SCORE IS DERIVED BY TAKING THE AVERAGE SCORE BASED ON THE 5-POINT SCALE. THE VERY EFFECTIVE RESPONSE IS ASSIGNED A VALUE OF 5; THE VERY INEFFECTIVE RESPONSE IS ASSIGNED A VALUE OF 1. THE DON'T KNOW/WON'T SAY RESPONSES ARE EXCLUDED FROM THE CALCULATION OF THE MEAN.

LEVEL OF TRUST IN ALBUQUERQUE POLICE OFFICERS

QUESTION 27: HOW MUCH TRUST DO YOU HAVE IN THE POLICE OFFICERS IN ALBUQUERQUE...A GREAT DEAL, SOME, OR HARDLY ANY?

		GEN	IDER	Етни	IICITY	1	A	GE			Но	USEHOLD INCO	DME	
	TOTAL SAMPLE (N=300)	Male	Female	HISPANIC	Anglo	18 to 34 Years	35 to 49 Years	50 to 64 Years	65 Years and Over	Less Than \$35,000	\$35,000 то \$49,999	\$50,000 то \$74,999	\$75,000 то \$99,999	\$100,000 Or More
A GREAT DEAL	37%	38%	36%	34%	41%	25%	28%	48%	51%	32%	28%	34%	44%	53%
Some	45%	43%	48%	47%	43%	57%	43%	41%	37%	47%	54%	50%	38%	37%
HARDLY ANY	16%	16%	16%	17%	15%	17%	27%	7%	12%	22%	17%	15%	14%	10%
Don't know/won't say	2%	3%	*	1%	1%	1%	2%	3%	-	-	1%	1%	4%	-

			EDUC	ATION			OF RESIDENC		Overall	QUALITY OF	LIFE IN ALBU	QUERQUE	D	IRECTION THE CI	ty is Going in 2	2020
	Total Sample (n=300)	High School Graduate or Less	Some College/ Associate	4-Year College Graduate	GRADUATE DEGREE	Less Than 10 Years	10 to 19 Years	20 Years or More	Excellent	GOOD	Fair	Poor/ Very Poor	Very Hopeful	Somewhat Hopeful	Some what Concerned	Very Concerned
A GREAT DEAL	37%	22%	41%	43%	43%	32%	24%	41%	56%	36%	28%	44%	43%	34%	30%	45%
Some	45%	52%	47%	40%	38%	49%	54%	43%	30%	49%	53%	28%	36%	54%	51%	33%
HARDLY ANY	16%	26%	12%	13%	15%	16%	20%	15%	7%	15%	17%	25%	18%	11%	19%	18%
Don't know/won't say	2%	-	-	4%	4%	3%	1%	1%	7%	-	1%	3%	3%	1%	-	3%

	TOTAL			Region		
	Sample (n=300)	WESTSIDE/ SW MESA	NORTH VALLEY/ DOWNTOWN	FAR NE Heights	Mid Heights	UNM/ SOUTHEAST
A GREAT DEAL	37%	35%	30%	47%	46%	28%
Some	45%	53%	37%	38%	45%	45%
HARDLY ANY	16%	11%	28%	13%	9%	27%
Don't know/won't say	2%	1%	6%	2%	-	-

*LESS THAN 1% REPORTED.

JOB PERFORMANCE OF APD IN IMPLEMENTING NEW POLICIES AND REFORMS TO REDUCE USE OF FORCE AND ENSURING RESIDENTS' CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS

QUESTION 28: THE ALBUQUERQUE POLICE DEPARTMENT IS WORKING WITH THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE TO IMPLEMENT NEW POLICIES AND REFORMS DESIGNED TO REDUCE THE USE OF FORCE AND ENCOURAGE POLICING THAT ENSURES RESIDENTS' CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS. HOW WELL DO YOU BELIEVE APD IS DOING IN MAKING THESE CHANGES? PLEASE USE A 5-POINT SCALE WHERE 5 IS EXCELLENT AND 1 IS VERY POOR.

		Gen	IDER	Етни	ICITY		Α	GE			Но	USEHOLD INCO	DME	
	TOTAL SAMPLE (N=300)	Male	Female	HISPANIC	Anglo	18 to 34 Years	35 to 49 Years	50 to 64 Years	65 Years and Over	Less Than \$35,000	\$35,000 то \$49,999	\$50,000 то \$74,999	\$75,000 то \$99,999	\$100,000 Or More
5 – Excellent	14%	14%	14%	13%	15%	15%	10%	14%	18%	14%	6%	15%	10%	29%
4	34%	28%	39%	37%	30%	36%	32%	37%	30%	31%	42%	30%	37%	23%
3	29%	30%	28%	26%	30%	24%	30%	32%	31%	25%	27%	34%	28%	26%
2	8%	10%	7%	8%	9%	9%	10%	6%	7%	10%	11%	8%	7%	8%
1 - Very Poor	8%	9%	7%	7%	8%	9%	11%	4%	8%	11%	10%	4%	9%	4%
Don't know/won't say	7%	10%	5%	9%	8%	8%	8%	7%	7%	8%	4%	9%	9%	9%
Mean †	3.4	3.3	3.5	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.2	3.5	3.4	3.3	3.2	3.5	3.3	3.7

			EDUC	ATION		-	OF RESIDENC		Overall	QUALITY OF	LIFE IN ALBU	QUERQUE	D	IRECTION THE C	ty is Going in 2	2020
	Total Sample (n=300)	High School Graduate or Less	Some College/ Associate	4-Year College Graduate	GRADUATE DEGREE	Less Than 10 Years	10 to 19 Years	20 Years or More	Excellent	GOOD	Fair	Poor/ Very Poor	Very Hopeful	Somewhat Hopeful	Some what Concerned	VERY CONCERNED
5 – Excellent	14%	12%	15%	10%	20%	19%	2%	16%	38%	10%	12%	13%	20%	9%	11%	20%
4	34%	29%	43%	33%	20%	20%	35%	35%	27%	36%	38%	18%	30%	38%	36%	27%
3	29%	33%	25%	28%	29%	18%	40%	28%	12%	31%	28%	37%	25%	30%	27%	30%
2	8%	5%	6%	15%	9%	13%	8%	7%	8%	8%	7%	12%	9%	13%	7%	2%
1 - VERY POOR	8%	15%	5%	5%	8%	8%	9%	8%	12%	6%	10%	10%	10%	4%	9%	13%
Don't know/won't say	7%	6%	5%	9%	12%	22%	6%	6%	3%	9%	6%	9%	6%	6%	10%	7%
Mean †	3.4	3.2	3.6	3.3	3.4	3.4	3.1	3.5	3.7	3.4	3.4	3.1	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.4

	TOTAL			Region		
	Sample (n=300)	WESTSIDE/ SW MESA	NORTH VALLEY/ DOWNTOWN	FAR NE Heights	Mid Heights	UNM/ Southeast
5 – Excellent	14%	14%	9%	20%	12%	12%
4	34%	36%	33%	33%	40%	27%
3	29%	31%	24%	21%	34%	30%
2	8%	4%	21%	8%	5%	11%
1 - Very Poor	8%	9%	11%	8%	3%	10%
Don't know/won't say	7%	6%	2%	11%	5%	10%
Mean †	3.4	3.4	3.1	3.6	3.6	3.2

PERCEIVED CHANGES IN CRIME RATE FOR VARIOUS TYPES OF CRIMES IN ALBUQUERQUE: AUTO THEFTS

QUESTION 29: DO YOU BELIEVE THE CRIME RATES FOR THE FOLLOWING TYPES OF CRIMES ARE GENERALLY GOING UP, GOING DOWN, OR ARE NOT CHANGING IN ALBUQUERQUE. AUTO THEFTS.

		Gen	IDER	Етни	IICITY		Α	GE			Но	JSEHOLD INCO	DME	
	Total Sample (n=300)	Male	Female	HISPANIC	Anglo	18 to 34 Years	35 to 49 Years	50 to 64 Years	65 Years and Over	Less Than \$35,000	\$35,000 то \$49,999	\$50,000 то \$74,999	\$75,000 то \$99,999	\$100,000 Or More
GOING UP	56%	55%	57%	46%	67%	48%	57%	64%	57%	50%	58%	55%	58%	49%
GOING DOWN	17%	20%	14%	22%	11%	21%	17%	12%	18%	18%	21%	18%	13%	17%
Not Changing	24%	22%	25%	27%	20%	27%	21%	24%	21%	28%	16%	24%	21%	32%
Don't know/won't say	3%	3%	4%	5%	2%	4%	5%	1%	4%	3%	5%	2%	8%	2%

			EDUC	ATION		_	OF RESIDENC		Overali	QUALITY OF	LIFE IN ALBUC	QUERQUE	D	IRECTION THE CI	ty is Going in 2	2020
	Total Sample (n=300)	High School Graduate or Less	Some College/ Associate		Graduate Degree	Less Than 10 Years	10 to 19 Years	20 Years or More	Excellent	GOOD	Fair	Poor/ Very Poor	Very Hopeful	Somewhat Hopeful	Some what Concerned	Very Concerned
	56%	67%	51%	51%	56%	53%	47%	59%	59%	51%	59%	70%	41%	49%	66%	67%
GOING DOWN	17%	13%	20%	21%	11%	10%	26%	15%	15%	23%	11%	9%	25%	22%	13%	8%
Not Changing	24%	20%	25%	24%	28%	36%	21%	22%	26%	21%	28%	21%	30%	23%	18%	25%
Don't know/won't say	3%	-	5%	4%	5%	-	5%	3%	-	6%	2%	-	4%	6%	3%	-

	TOTAL			REGION		
	Sample (n=300)	WESTSIDE/ SW MESA	NORTH VALLEY/ DOWNTOWN	FAR NE Heights	MID HEIGHTS	UNM/ SOUTHEAST
GOING UP	56%	60%	52%	53%	51%	58%
GOING DOWN	17%	19%	16%	19%	12%	16%
NOT CHANGING	24%	19%	29%	21%	33%	23%
Don't know/won't say	3%	2%	3%	7%	4%	3%

PERCEIVED CHANGES IN CRIME RATE FOR VARIOUS TYPES OF CRIMES IN ALBUQUERQUE: HOME BURGLARIES

QUESTION 30: DO YOU BELIEVE THE CRIME RATES FOR THE FOLLOWING TYPES OF CRIMES ARE GENERALLY GOING UP, GOING DOWN, OR ARE NOT CHANGING IN ALBUQUERQUE. HOME BURGLARIES

		Gen	IDER	Етни	IICITY		A	GE			Но	USEHOLD INCO	DME	
	TOTAL SAMPLE (N=300)	Male	Female	HISPANIC	Anglo	18 to 34 Years	35 to 49 Years	50 to 64 Years	65 Years and Over	Less Than \$35,000	\$35,000 то \$49,999	\$50,000 то \$74,999	\$75,000 то \$99,999	\$100,000 Or More
	49%	43%	56%	48%	51%	49%	44%	55%	51%	49%	57%	43%	46%	47%
GOING DOWN	22%	26%	18%	26%	19%	22%	31%	19%	15%	23%	23%	31%	15%	23%
NOT CHANGING	24%	28%	20%	20%	26%	23%	21%	23%	26%	22%	19%	22%	26%	29%
Don't know/won't say	5%	4%	6%	6%	4%	5%	4%	3%	9%	7%	1%	4%	13%	2%

			EDUC	ATION		-	OF RESIDENC		Overall	QUALITY OF	LIFE IN ALBUC	QUERQUE	D	RECTION THE CI	ty is Going in 2	2020
	Total Sample (n=300)	High School Graduate or Less	Some College/ Associate	4-Year College Graduate	GRADUATE DEGREE	Less Than 10 Years	10 to 19 Years	20 Years or More	Excellent	GOOD	Fair	Poor/ Very Poor	Very Hopeful	Somewhat Hopeful	Some what Concerned	VERY CONCERNED
	49%	55%	48%	46%	47%	44%	32%	54%	37%	46%	57%	60%	40%	41%	57%	59%
GOING DOWN	22%	24%	19%	26%	21%	22%	40%	17%	29%	30%	14%	4%	23%	25%	22%	16%
Not Changing	24%	17%	28%	21%	27%	34%	25%	22%	35%	18%	25%	33%	25%	29%	19%	21%
Don't know/won't say	5%	3%	5%	7%	6%	-	3%	6%	-	7%	5%	3%	11%	5%	1%	5%

	TOTAL			Region		
	Sample (n=300)	WESTSIDE/ SW MESA	NORTH VALLEY/ DOWNTOWN	FAR NE Heights	Mid Heights	UNM/ SOUTHEAST
GOING UP	49%	56%	37%	56%	38%	46%
GOING DOWN	22%	20%	27%	19%	27%	22%
NOT CHANGING	24%	19%	30%	20%	30%	26%
Don't know/won't say	5%	5%	6%	5%	4%	6%

PERCEIVED CHANGES IN CRIME RATE FOR VARIOUS TYPES OF CRIMES IN ALBUQUERQUE: CRIME INVOLVING GUNS

QUESTION 31: DO YOU BELIEVE THE CRIME RATES FOR THE FOLLOWING TYPES OF CRIMES ARE GENERALLY GOING UP, GOING DOWN, OR ARE NOT CHANGING IN ALBUQUERQUE. CRIME INVOLVING GUNS

		Gen	IDER	Етни	IICITY		A	GE			Ho	USEHOLD INCO	DME	
	TOTAL SAMPLE (N=300)	Male	Female	HISPANIC	Anglo	18 to 34 Years	35 to 49 Years	50 to 64 Years	65 Years and Over	Less Than \$35,000	\$35,000 то \$49,999	\$50,000 то \$74,999	\$75,000 то \$99,999	\$100,000 Or More
GOING UP	61%	58%	65%	61%	60%	60%	53%	68%	65%	56%	63%	67%	50%	65%
GOING DOWN	12%	13%	11%	15%	9%	16%	20%	7%	4%	8%	12%	16%	19%	10%
NOT CHANGING	23%	27%	20%	21%	26%	19%	22%	24%	28%	31%	21%	16%	23%	25%
Don't know/won't say	4%	2%	5%	3%	5%	5%	5%	2%	3%	5%	4%	1%	8%	-

			EDUC	ATION		_	OF RESIDENC		Overall		LIFE IN ALBUC	QUERQUE	D	IRECTION THE CI	ty is Going in 2	2020
	Total Sample (n=300)	High School Graduate or Less	Some College/ Associate	4-Year College Graduate	GRADUATE DEGREE	Less Than 10 Years	10 to 19 Years	20 Years or More	Excellent	GOOD	Fair	Poor/ Very Poor	Very Hopeful	Somewhat Hopeful	Some what Concerned	VERY CONCERNED
	61%	73%	60%	50%	60%	47%	62%	63%	58%	61%	68%	50%	53%	62%	68%	57%
GOING DOWN	12%	9%	12%	19%	9%	15%	15%	11%	14%	14%	12%	4%	15%	11%	16%	7%
Not Changing	23%	17%	27%	22%	28%	31%	18%	24%	25%	21%	17%	45%	26%	22%	13%	34%
Don't know/won't say	4%	2%	2%	9%	3%	6%	6%	3%	3%	5%	4%	-	6%	4%	3%	1%

	TOTAL			REGION		
	Sample (n=300)	WESTSIDE/ SW MESA	NORTH VALLEY/ DOWNTOWN	FAR NE Heights	MID HEIGHTS	UNM/ SOUTHEAST
GOING UP	61%	64%	56%	63%	54%	62%
GOING DOWN	12%	15%	5%	12%	14%	10%
Not Changing	23%	18%	32%	21%	31%	24%
Don't know/won't say	4%	2%	6%	5%	2%	4%

PERCEIVED CHANGES IN CRIME RATE FOR VARIOUS TYPES OF CRIMES IN ALBUQUERQUE: VIOLENT CRIMES

QUESTION 32: DO YOU BELIEVE THE CRIME RATES FOR THE FOLLOWING TYPES OF CRIMES ARE GENERALLY GOING UP, GOING DOWN, OR ARE NOT CHANGING IN ALBUQUERQUE. VIOLENT CRIMES

		Gen	IDER	Етни	NICITY		Α	GE			Но	USEHOLD INCO	DME	
	TOTAL SAMPLE (N=300)	Male	Female	HISPANIC	Anglo	18 to 34 Years	35 to 49 Years	50 to 64 Years	65 Years and Over	Less Than \$35,000	\$35,000 то \$49,999	\$50,000 то \$74,999	\$75,000 то \$99,999	\$100,000 Or More
	66%	67%	66%	66%	66%	63%	68%	73%	62%	72%	63%	72%	54%	61%
GOING DOWN	10%	11%	10%	11%	10%	11%	17%	3%	11%	8%	9%	13%	8%	17%
Not Changing	21%	20%	22%	22%	20%	23%	12%	21%	26%	18%	25%	14%	29%	22%
Don't know/won't say	2%	2%	2%	2%	3%	2%	3%	3%	1%	2%	2%	1%	8%	-

			EDUC	ATION		_	OF RESIDENC		Overall	QUALITY OF	LIFE IN ALBUC	QUERQUE	D	RECTION THE CI	ty is Going in 2	2020
	Total Sample (n=300)	High School Graduate or Less	Some College/ Associate	4-Year College Graduate	GRADUATE DEGREE	Less Than 10 Years	10 to 19 Years	20 Years or More	Excellent	GOOD	Fair	Poor/ Very Poor	Very Hopeful	Somewhat Hopeful	Some what Concerned	VERY CONCERNED
	66%	78%	66%	54%	65%	53%	61%	69%	64%	61%	75%	68%	56%	59%	78%	72%
GOING DOWN	10%	4%	12%	16%	10%	12%	19%	8%	18%	13%	4%	5%	21%	11%	8%	4%
NOT CHANGING	21%	15%	23%	25%	21%	35%	15%	21%	18%	22%	18%	27%	21%	27%	11%	24%
Don't know/won't say	2%	2%	-	6%	3%	-	4%	2%	-	3%	2%	-	2%	3%	3%	-

	TOTAL			REGION		
	Sample (n=300)	WESTSIDE/ SW MESA	NORTH VALLEY/ DOWNTOWN	FAR NE Heights	Mid Heights	UNM/ SOUTHEAST
GOING UP	66%	70%	63%	68%	54%	68%
GOING DOWN	10%	8%	18%	11%	12%	10%
Not Changing	21%	21%	17%	18%	32%	19%
Don't know/won't say	2%	2%	3%	3%	2%	3%

PERCEIVED CHANGES IN CRIME RATE FOR VARIOUS TYPES OF CRIMES IN ALBUQUERQUE: CRIMES AGAINST CHILDREN

QUESTION 33: DO YOU BELIEVE THE CRIME RATES FOR THE FOLLOWING TYPES OF CRIMES ARE GENERALLY GOING UP, GOING DOWN, OR ARE NOT CHANGING IN ALBUQUERQUE. CRIMES AGAINST CHILDREN

		Gen	IDER	Етни	IICITY		Α	GE			Но	USEHOLD INCO	DME	
	TOTAL SAMPLE (N=300)	Male	Female	HISPANIC	Anglo	18 to 34 Years	35 to 49 Years	50 to 64 Years	65 Years and Over	Less Than \$35,000	\$35,000 то \$49,999	\$50,000 то \$74,999	\$75,000 то \$99,999	\$100,000 Or More
	53%	45%	61%	49%	53%	55%	58%	51%	49%	55%	60%	49%	40%	54%
GOING DOWN	9%	12%	6%	11%	8%	11%	7%	9%	10%	4%	8%	15%	9%	11%
NOT CHANGING	25%	26%	23%	23%	27%	23%	25%	25%	27%	29%	22%	17%	36%	28%
Don't know/won't say	13%	16%	10%	16%	12%	11%	11%	15%	14%	13%	10%	19%	15%	6%

			EDUC	ATION			OF RESIDENC		Overall	QUALITY OF	LIFE IN ALBUG	QUERQUE	Di	RECTION THE CI	ty is Going in 2	2020
	Total Sample (n=300)	High School Graduate or Less	Some College/ Associate	4-Year College Graduate	GRADUATE DEGREE	Less Than 10 Years	10 to 19 Years	20 Years or More	Excellent	GOOD	Fair	Poor/ Very Poor	Very Hopeful	Somewhat Hopeful	Some what Concerned	Very Concerned
	53%	53%	59%	52%	42%	37%	52%	56%	51%	49%	63%	50%	40%	53%	54%	64%
GOING DOWN	9%	6%	11%	10%	9%	6%	10%	9%	10%	11%	7%	4%	16%	7%	11%	4%
Not Changing	25%	23%	23%	21%	38%	43%	24%	22%	33%	25%	19%	31%	33%	23%	23%	21%
Don't know/won't say	13%	18%	8%	16%	11%	14%	14%	13%	6%	15%	11%	15%	11%	16%	12%	11%

	TOTAL			REGION		
	Sample (n=300)	WESTSIDE/ SW MESA	NORTH VALLEY/ DOWNTOWN	FAR NE Heights	Mid Heights	UNM/ SOUTHEAST
GOING UP	53%	52%	51%	57%	48%	57%
GOING DOWN	9%	9%	18%	8%	8%	8%
Not Changing	25%	20%	14%	26%	35%	27%
Don't know/won't say	13%	20%	17%	10%	9%	8%

CITY PERFORMANCE REGARDING ADDRESSING THE ISSUE OF HOMELESSNESS

QUESTION 34: OVERALL, HOW GOOD OF A JOB DO YOU FEEL THE CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE IS CURRENTLY DOING IN ADDRESSING THE ISSUE OF HOMELESSNESS? PLEASE USE A 5-POINT SCALE WHERE 5 IS EXCELLENT AND 1 IS VERY POOR.

		GEN	IDER	Етни	IICITY	1	А	GE			Но	USEHOLD INCO	OME	
	TOTAL SAMPLE (N=300)	Male	Female	HISPANIC	Anglo	18 to 34 Years	35 to 49 Years	50 to 64 Years	65 Years and Over		\$35,000 то \$49,999	\$50,000 то \$74,999	\$75,000 то \$99,999	\$100,000 Or More
5 – Excellent	10%	11%	9%	11%	8%	8%	17%	8%	8%	9%	11%	12%	7%	14%
4	19%	13%	25%	13%	22%	21%	20%	19%	15%	15%	14%	20%	27%	22%
3	34%	34%	34%	38%	34%	37%	25%	36%	38%	38%	41%	31%	25%	35%
2	14%	15%	12%	15%	12%	17%	12%	14%	11%	14%	9%	15%	9%	15%
1 - Very Poor	22%	26%	19%	21%	23%	17%	24%	22%	28%	23%	23%	19%	32%	15%
Don't know/won't say	1%	2%	-	1%	1%	-	3%	1%	-	-	1%	3%	-	-
Mean †	2.8	2.7	2.9	2.8	2.8	2.9	2.9	2.8	2.6	2.7	2.8	2.9	2.7	3.0

			EDUC	ATION			OF RESIDENC		Overall	QUALITY OF	LIFE IN ALBUG	QUERQUE	D	IRECTION THE C	ty is Going in 2	2020
	Total Sample (n=300)	High School Graduate or Less	Some College/ Associate	4-Year College Graduate	Graduate Degree	Less Than 10 Years	10 to 19 Years	20 Years or More	Excellent	GOOD	Fair	Poor/ Very Poor	Very Hopeful	Somewhat Hopeful	Some what Concerned	Very Concerned
5 – Excellent	10%	6%	14%	12%	4%	4%	18%	9%	21%	12%	3%	9%	12%	11%	8%	9%
4	19%	13%	16%	25%	27%	20%	33%	15%	12%	22%	22%	4%	15%	27%	18%	11%
3	34%	33%	34%	37%	32%	33%	32%	35%	32%	40%	30%	25%	40%	37%	36%	24%
2	14%	6%	16%	14%	18%	17%	9%	14%	7%	12%	18%	14%	22%	12%	13%	11%
1 - Very Poor	22%	42%	18%	10%	20%	26%	8%	26%	27%	12%	27%	48%	11%	12%	23%	46%
Don't know/won't say	1%	-	1%	3%	-	-	-	1%	3%	1%	-	-	-	2%	1%	-
Mean †	2.8	2.3	2.9	3.2	2.8	2.6	3.4	2.7	2.9	3.1	2.6	2.1	3.0	3.1	2.7	2.3

	TOTAL			REGION		
	Sample (n=300)	WESTSIDE/ SW MESA	NORTH VALLEY/ DOWNTOWN	FAR NE Heights	Mid Heights	UNM/ Southeast
5 – Excellent	10%	6%	7%	11%	14%	15%
4	19%	19%	20%	28%	17%	9%
3	34%	40%	40%	23%	37%	31%
2	14%	14%	6%	16%	14%	15%
1 - VERY POOR	22%	20%	28%	21%	15%	30%
Don't know/won't say	1%	1%	-	1%	2%	-
Mean †	2.8	2.8	2.7	2.9	3.0	2.6

⁺ THE MEAN SCORE IS DERIVED BY TAKING THE AVERAGE SCORE BASED ON THE 5-POINT SCALE. THE <u>Excellent</u> RESPONSE IS ASSIGNED A VALUE OF 5; THE <u>VERY POOR</u> RESPONSE IS ASSIGNED A VALUE OF 1. THE <u>DON'T KNOW/WON'T SAY</u> RESPONSES ARE EXCLUDED FROM THE CALCULATION OF THE MEAN.

CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE'S JOB PERFORMANCE ADDRESSING HOMELESSNESS COMPARED TO OTHER BIG CITIES

QUESTION 35: COMPARED TO OTHER BIG CITIES IN THE COUNTRY, DO YOU BELIEVE ALBUQUERQUE IS DOING A BETTER JOB, A WORSE JOB OR DOING ABOUT THE SAME IN ADDRESSING THE ISSUE OF HOMELESSNESS?

		GEN	IDER	Етни	IICITY		A	GE			Но	USEHOLD INCO	OME	
	Total Sample (n=300)	Male	Female	HISPANIC	Anglo	18 to 34 Years	35 to 49 Years	50 to 64 Years	65 Years and Over	Less Than \$35,000	\$35,000 то \$49,999	\$50,000 то \$74,999	\$75,000 то \$99,999	\$100,000 Or More
Better Job	19%	19%	18%	24%	15%	22%	26%	12%	15%	18%	20%	15%	22%	27%
Авоит тне Same	53%	54%	52%	52%	55%	47%	45%	65%	58%	52%	54%	62%	40%	47%
Worse Job	18%	20%	15%	14%	20%	25%	17%	15%	13%	21%	22%	9%	22%	16%
DEPENDS (VOL.)	2%	1%	3%	1%	3%	-	5%	2%	2%	3%	1%	3%	4%	-
Don't know/won't say	8%	5%	11%	9%	7%	7%	7%	6%	12%	7%	3%	11%	11%	9%

			EDUC	ATION		_	OF RESIDENC		Overall	QUALITY OF	LIFE IN ALBUC	QUERQUE	D	IRECTION THE CI	ty is Going in 2	2020
	Total Sample (n=300)	High School Graduate or Less	Some College/ Associate	4-Year College Graduate	GRADUATE DEGREE	Less Than 10 Years	10 to 19 Years	20 Years or More	Excellent	GOOD	Fair	Poor/ Very Poor	Very Hopeful	Somewhat Hopeful	Some what Concerned	Very Concerned
Better Job	19%	9%	21%	26%	18%	10%	23%	19%	30%	20%	19%	3%	27%	25%	14%	9%
About the Same	53%	54%	53%	52%	53%	51%	48%	55%	48%	56%	49%	56%	56%	49%	60%	49%
Worse Job	18%	28%	12%	15%	19%	18%	21%	17%	12%	15%	20%	28%	10%	16%	17%	28%
DEPENDS (VOL.)	2%	4%	3%	1%	-	6%	-	2%	3%	2%	3%	2%	-	2%	2%	4%
Don't know/won't say	8%	5%	10%	5%	10%	15%	8%	7%	8%	7%	9%	10%	7%	8%	7%	10%

	TOTAL			Region		
	Sample (n=300)	WESTSIDE/ SW MESA	NORTH VALLEY/ DOWNTOWN	FAR NE Heights	MID HEIGHTS	UNM/ SOUTHEAST
Better Job	19%	14%	17%	22%	14%	29%
About the Same	53%	63%	49%	45%	62%	40%
Worse Job	18%	14%	20%	20%	20%	18%
DEPENDS (VOL.)	2%	4%	-	-	-	4%
Don't know/won't say	8%	5%	14%	13%	4%	8%

AWARENESS OF NEW POLICIES AND PROGRAMS THE CITY HAS DEVELOPED TO ADDRESS HOMELESSNESS: KEEPING THE WESTSIDE EMERGENCY CENTER OPEN 24 HOURS A DAY, EVERY DAY OF THE YEAR

QUESTION 36: THE CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE HAS DEVELOPED SOME NEW POLICIES AND PROGRAMS TO ADDRESS THE ISSUES OF HOMELESSNESS. PLEASE TELL IF YOU HAVE HEARD OR READ ANYTHING ABOUT EACH OF THE FOLLOWING INITIATIVES OR NOT. KEEPING THE WESTSIDE EMERGENCY CENTER OPEN 24 HOURS A DAY, EVERY DAY OF THE YEAR.

		Gen	IDER	Етни	IICITY		A	GE			Но	USEHOLD INCO	DME	
	Total Sample (n=300)	Male	Female	HISPANIC	Anglo	18 to 34 Years	35 to 49 Years	50 to 64 Years	65 Years and Over	Less Than \$35,000	\$35,000 то \$49,999	\$50,000 то \$74,999	\$75,000 то \$99,999	\$100,000 Or More
Yes	49%	45%	52%	44%	51%	53%	46%	44%	54%	42%	44%	55%	46%	64%
No/Don't Know/Won't Say	51%	55%	48%	56%	49%	47%	54%	56%	46%	58%	56%	45%	54%	36%

			EDUCATION				OF RESIDENC		Overali	. QUALITY OF	LIFE IN ALBUG	QUERQUE	D	IRECTION THE CI	ty is Going in 2	2020
	TOTAL Sample	High School Graduate	Some College/	4-Year College	GRADUATE	Less Than	10 то 19	20 Years		-		Poor/	Very	Somewhat	Some what	Very
	(N=300)	OR LESS	ASSOCIATE	GRADUATE	DEGREE	10 YEARS	YEARS	OR MORE	EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR	VERY POOR	HOPEFUL	HOPEFUL	CONCERNED	CONCERNED
Yes	49%	35%	50%	54%	64%	45%	61%	47%	58%	53%	48%	28%	58%	54%	45%	39%
No/Don't Know/Won't Say	51%	65%	50%	46%	36%	55%	39%	53%	42%	47%	52%	72%	42%	46%	55%	61%

	TOTAL			Region		
	Sample (n=300)	WESTSIDE/ SW MESA	NORTH VALLEY/ DOWNTOWN	FAR NE Heights	Mid Heights	UNM/ SOUTHEAST
Yes	49%	45%	70%	53%	43%	44%
No/Don't Know/Won't Say	51%	55%	30%	47%	57%	56%

AWARENESS OF NEW POLICIES AND PROGRAMS THE CITY HAS DEVELOPED TO ADDRESS HOMELESSNESS: OPEN NEW HOMELESS SHELTERS IN THE CITY

QUESTION 37: THE CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE HAS DEVELOPED SOME NEW POLICIES AND PROGRAMS TO ADDRESS THE ISSUES OF HOMELESSNESS. PLEASE TELL IF YOU HAVE HEARD OR READ ANYTHING ABOUT EACH OF THE FOLLOWING INITIATIVES OR NOT. **OPEN NEW HOMELESS SHELTERS IN THE CITY.**

		Gen	IDER	Етни	ICITY		A	GE			Но	USEHOLD INCO	DME	
	TOTAL										\$35,000	\$50,000	\$75,000	
	SAMPLE (N=300)	MALE	FEMALE	HISPANIC	ANGLO	18 to 34 Years	35 to 49 Years	50 to 64 Years	65 YEARS AND OVER		то \$49,999	то \$74,999	то \$99,999	\$100,000 Or More
Yes	67%	64%	69%	65%	68%	61%	64%	77%	64%	60%	67%	72%	61%	79%
No/Don't Know/Won't Say	33%	36%	30%	35%	32%	39%	36%	23%	36%	40%	33%	28%	39%	21%

			EDUC	ATION		_	OF RESIDENC		Overall	QUALITY OF	LIFE IN ALBU	QUERQUE	D	IRECTION THE CI	ty is Going in 2	2020
	Total Sample (n=300)	High School Graduate or Less	Some College/ Associate	4-Year College Graduate	GRADUATE DEGREE	Less Than 10 Years	10 to 19 Years	20 Years or More	Excellent	GOOD	Fair	Poor/ Very Poor	Very Hopeful	Somewhat Hopeful	Some what Concerned	Very Concerned
Yes	67%	64%	64%	72%	68%	64%	74%	65%	57%	71%	66%	58%	69%	73%	62%	61%
No/Don't Know/Won't Say	33%	36%	36%	28%	32%	36%	26%	34%	43%	29%	34%	42%	31%	27%	37%	39%

	TOTAL			Region		
	Sample (n=300)	WESTSIDE/ SW MESA	NORTH VALLEY/ DOWNTOWN	FAR NE Heights	Mid Heights	UNM/ SOUTHEAST
Yes	67%	65%	67%	73%	57%	70%
No/Don't Know/Won't Say	33%	35%	33%	27%	43%	30%

PAGE 70

Awareness of New Policies and Programs the City Has Developed to Address Homelessness: Providing Housing to Families Through the One Albuquerque Housing Fund

QUESTION 38: THE CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE HAS DEVELOPED SOME NEW POLICIES AND PROGRAMS TO ADDRESS THE ISSUES OF HOMELESSNESS. PLEASE TELL IF YOU HAVE HEARD OR READ ANYTHING ABOUT EACH OF THE FOLLOWING INITIATIVES OR NOT. PROVIDING HOUSING TO FAMILIES THROUGH THE ONE ALBUQUERQUE HOUSING FUND

		Gen	IDER	Етни	IICITY		A	GE			Но	USEHOLD INCO	DME	
	TOTAL SAMPLE (N=300)	Male	Female	HISPANIC	Anglo	18 to 34 Years	35 to 49 Years	50 to 64 Years	65 Years and Over		\$35,000 то \$49,999	\$50,000 то \$74,999	\$75,000 то \$99,999	\$100,000 Or More
Yes	50%	50%	50%	49%	45%	53%	55%	40%	52%	53%	49%	44%	43%	59%
No/Don't Know/Won't Say	50%	50%	50%	51%	55%	47%	43%	60%	48%	47%	51%	57%	57%	41%

			EDUC	ATION		-	OF RESIDENC		Overall	QUALITY OF	LIFE IN ALBU	QUERQUE	D	IRECTION THE CI	ty is Going in 2	2020
	Total Sample (n=300)	HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATE OR LESS	Some College/ Associate		Graduate Degree	Less Than 10 Years	10 to 19 Years	20 Years or More	Excellent	GOOD	Fair	Poor/ Very Poor	Very Hopeful	Somewhat Hopeful	Some what Concerned	Very Concerned
Yes	50%	50%	49%	43%	59%	53%	57%	48%	49%	56%	48%	34%	57%	54%	50%	40%
No/Don't Know/Won't Say	50%	50%	51%	57%	41%	47%	43%	51%	46%	44%	52%	66%	43%	46%	50%	60%

	TOTAL			Region		
	Sample (n=300)	WESTSIDE/ SW MESA	NORTH VALLEY/ DOWNTOWN	FAR NE Heights	Mid Heights	UNM/ Southeast
Yes	50%	55%	55%	52%	49%	37%
No/Don't Know/Won't Say	50%	45%	45%	48%	51%	63%

Awareness of New Policies and Programs the City Has Developed to Address Homelessness: Providing a Mobile Showering Unit for Homeless Residents

QUESTION 39: THE CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE HAS DEVELOPED SOME NEW POLICIES AND PROGRAMS TO ADDRESS THE ISSUES OF HOMELESSNESS. PLEASE TELL IF YOU HAVE HEARD OR READ ANYTHING ABOUT EACH OF THE FOLLOWING INITIATIVES OR NOT. **PROVIDING A MOBILE SHOWERING UNIT FOR HOMELESS RESIDENTS**

		Gen	IDER	Етни	ICITY		A	GE			Но	USEHOLD INCO	OME	
	TOTAL SAMPLE (N=300)	Male	Female	HISPANIC	Anglo	18 to 34 Years	35 to 49 Years	50 to 64 Years	65 Years and Over		\$35,000 то \$49,999	\$50,000 то \$74,999	\$75,000 то \$99,999	\$100,000 Or More
Yes	26%	26%	26%	24%	25%	29%	26%	20%	32%	33%	30%	25%	22%	13%
No/Don't Know/Won't Say	73%	73%	74%	76%	75%	71%	74%	80%	68%	67%	70%	75%	78%	87%

						_	OF RESIDENC		Overall	QUALITY OF	LIFE IN ALBUG	QUERQUE	D	IRECTION THE CI	ty is Going in 2	2020
	Total Sample (n=300)	High School Graduate or Less	Some College/ Associate	4-Year College Graduate	GRADUATE DEGREE	Less Than 10 Years	10 to 19 Years	20 Years or More	Excellent	GOOD	Fair	Poor/ Very Poor	Very Hopeful	Somewhat Hopeful	Some what Concerned	Very Concerned
Yes	26%	34%	25%	28%	13%	16%	32%	26%	47%	23%	25%	25%	25%	30%	22%	26%
No/Don't Know/Won't Say	73%	66%	75%	72%	87%	84%	68%	73%	53%	77%	75%	75%	75%	70%	78%	74%

	TOTAL			Region		
	Sample (n=300)	WESTSIDE/ SW MESA	NORTH VALLEY/ DOWNTOWN	FAR NE Heights	Mid Heights	UNM/ SOUTHEAST
Yes	26%	24%	29%	26%	27%	29%
No/Don't Know/Won't Say	73%	76%	70%	74%	73%	71%
AWARENESS OF NEW POLICIES AND PROGRAMS THE CITY HAS DEVELOPED TO ADDRESS HOMELESSNESS: OFFERING CITY SERVICES AND HOUSING TO THOSE LIVING IN HOMELESS CAMPS

QUESTION 40: THE CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE HAS DEVELOPED SOME NEW POLICIES AND PROGRAMS TO ADDRESS THE ISSUES OF HOMELESSNESS. PLEASE TELL IF YOU HAVE HEARD OR READ ANYTHING ABOUT EACH OF THE FOLLOWING INITIATIVES OR NOT. OFFERING CITY SERVICES AND HOUSING TO THOSE LIVING IN HOMELESS CAMPS

		GEN	IDER	Етни	IICITY		A	GE			Но	USEHOLD INCO	DME	
	TOTAL										\$35,000	\$50,000	\$75,000	
	SAMPLE					18 to 34	35 то 49	50 TO 64	65 YEARS	LESS THAN	то	то	то	\$100,000
	(N=300)	MALE	FEMALE	HISPANIC	ANGLO	YEARS	YEARS	YEARS	AND OVER	\$35,000	\$49,999	\$74,999	\$99,999	OR MORE
Yes	47%	48%	46%	44%	48%	49%	48%	43%	52%	48%	51%	44%	42%	58%
No/Don't Know/Won't Say	52%	52%	54%	56%	52%	51%	52%	57%	48%	52%	49%	56%	58%	42%

			Education				OF RESIDENC		Overall	QUALITY OF	LIFE IN ALBUG	QUERQUE	D	IRECTION THE CI	ty is Going in 2	2020
	Total Sample (n=300)	High School Graduate or Less	Some College/ Associate		Graduate Degree	Less Than 10 Years	10 to 19 Years	20 Years or More	Excellent	GOOD	Fair	Poor/ Very Poor	Very Hopeful	Somewhat Hopeful	Some what Concerned	Very Concerned
Yes	47%	52%	39%	49%	57%	28%	51%	49%	63%	48%	46%	33%	49%	45%	48%	48%
No/Don't Know/Won't Say	52%	48%	61%	51%	43%	72%	49%	51%	37%	52%	54%	67%	51%	55%	52%	52%

	TOTAL			Region		
	Sample (n=300)	WESTSIDE/ SW MESA	NORTH VALLEY/ DOWNTOWN	FAR NE Heights	Mid Heights	UNM/ SOUTHEAST
Yes	47%	46%	52%	47%	46%	48%
No/Don't Know/Won't Say	52%	54%	48%	53%	54%	52%

AWARENESS OF THE PROPOSED COMMUNITY SAFETY DEPARTMENT

QUESTION 41: THE CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE HAS PROPOSED THE CREATION OF A NEW DEPARTMENT CALLED THE COMMUNITY SAFETY DEPARTMENT. ONCE FULLY STAFFED, THE DEPARTMENT WILL SEND TRAINED PROFESSIONALS TO NON-VIOLENT CALLS RELATING TO PUBLIC INTOXICATION, DRUG USE, HOMELESSNESS, AND MENTAL HEALTH ISSUES, WITHOUT THE POLICE DEPARTMENT. PRIOR TO THIS SURVEY, HAD YOU HEARD OR READ ANYTHING ABOUT THE COMMUNITY SAFETY DEPARTMENT?

		Gen	IDER	Етни	IICITY		А	GE			Но	USEHOLD INCO	DME	
	TOTAL SAMPLE (N=300)	Male	Female	HISPANIC	Anglo	18 to 34 Years	35 to 49 Years	50 to 64 Years	65 Years AND OVER	Less Than \$35,000	\$35,000 то \$49,999	\$50,000 то \$74,999	\$75,000 то \$99,999	\$100,000 Or More
Yes	41%	46%	36%	40%	42%	36%	47%	38%	46%	29%	50%	50%	35%	42%
No/Don't Know/Won't Say	59%	54%	64%	60%	58%	64%	53%	62%	54%	71%	50%	50%	65%	58%

			Education				OF RESIDENC		Overall	QUALITY OF	LIFE IN ALBUG	QUERQUE	D	IRECTION THE CI	ty is Going in 2	2020
	Total Sample (n=300)	High School Graduate or Less	Some College/ Associate		Graduate Degree	Less Than 10 Years	10 to 19 Years	20 Years or More	Excellent	GOOD	Fair	Poor/ Very Poor	Very Hopeful	Somewhat Hopeful	Some what Concerned	Very Concerned
Yes	41%	42%	33%	49%	50%	50%	42%	40%	44%	41%	35%	55%	43%	38%	42%	43%
No/Don't Know/Won't Say	59%	58%	67%	51%	50%	50%	58%	60%	56%	59%	65%	45%	57%	62%	58%	57%

	TOTAL			Region		
	Sample (n=300)	WESTSIDE/ SW MESA	NORTH VALLEY/ DOWNTOWN	FAR NE Heights	Mid Heights	UNM/ Southeast
Yes	41%	36%	58%	44%	42%	37%
No/Don't Know/Won't Say	59%	64%	42%	56%	58%	63%

GOOD/BAD IDEA CREATING THE COMMUNITY SAFETY DEPARTMENT

QUESTION 42: WITH THE COMMUNITY SAFETY DEPARTMENT RESPONDING TO ISSUES SUCH AS ABANDONED CARS, DISCARDED NEEDLES, ADDICTION, NON-VIOLENT MENTAL HEALTH ISSUES, AND SIMILAR SITUATIONS, POLICE OFFICERS WILL BE ABLE TO SPEND MORE TIME DEALING WITH VIOLENT AND OTHER SERIOUS CRIMES. KNOWING THIS, DO YOU FEEL THE CREATION OF THE COMMUNITY SAFETY DEPARTMENT IS A GOOD IDEA OR A BAD IDEA?

		Gen	IDER	Етни	IICITY		A	GE			Но	USEHOLD INCO	DME	
	TOTAL SAMPLE (N=300)	Male	Female	HISPANIC	Anglo	18 to 34 Years	35 to 49 Years	50 to 64 Years	65 Years and Over	Less Than \$35,000	\$35,000 то \$49,999	\$50,000 то \$74,999	\$75,000 то \$99,999	\$100,000 Or More
GOOD IDEA	75%	75%	74%	79%	72%	80%	70%	71%	78%	86%	77%	63%	78%	75%
BAD IDEA	17%	16%	18%	11%	19%	18%	18%	16%	13%	11%	17%	21%	17%	15%
DEPENDS (VOL.)	7%	7%	7%	8%	7%	2%	11%	9%	7%	3%	4%	12%	4%	10%
Don't know/won't say	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	-	-	4%	1%	-	1%	4%	1%	-

			EDUC	ATION		-	OF RESIDENC		Overall		LIFE IN ALBU	QUERQUE	D	IRECTION THE CI	ty is Going in 2	2020
	Total Sample (n=300)	High School Graduate or Less	Some College/ Associate	4-Year College Graduate	GRADUATE DEGREE	Less Than 10 Years	10 to 19 Years	20 Years or More	Excellent	GOOD	Fair	Poor/ Very Poor	Very Hopeful	Somewhat Hopeful	Some what Concerned	Very Concerned
GOOD IDEA	75%	74%	74%	79%	73%	93%	73%	73%	81%	81%	66%	65%	89%	86%	67%	58%
BAD IDEA	17%	19%	17%	13%	19%	7%	21%	17%	10%	12%	23%	25%	3%	11%	16%	34%
DEPENDS (VOL.)	7%	6%	8%	6%	8%	-	4%	9%	9%	6%	9%	8%	7%	3%	14%	7%
Don't know/won't say	1%	2%	1%	2%	-	-	2%	1%	-	1%	2%	2%	1%	-	3%	1%

	TOTAL			Region		
	Sample (n=300)	WESTSIDE/ SW MESA	NORTH VALLEY/ DOWNTOWN	FAR NE Heights	Mid Heights	UNM/ SOUTHEAST
GOOD IDEA	75%	70%	80%	70%	77%	86%
BAD IDEA	17%	18%	20%	19%	17%	7%
DEPENDS (VOL.)	7%	10%	-	11%	2%	7%
Don't know/won't say	1%	2%	-	-	4%	-

TYPES OF RECREATIONAL, CULTURAL, PERFORMING ARTS OR SPORTS RELATED ATTRACTIONS OR AMENITIES NEEDED IN ALBUQUERQUE

QUESTION 43: WHAT TYPES OF RECREATIONAL, CULTURAL PERFORMING ARTS, OR SPORTS RELATED ATTRACTIONS OR AMENITIES DO YOU FEEL ARE NEEDED IN ALBUQUERQUE?

PERFORMING ARTS CENTER (INCLUDES CIVIC OPERA, CONCERT VENUES, PHILHARM	IONIC.	CITY SHOULD SPEND ON HELPING SENIOR CITIZENS	*
BROADWAY SHOWS, ETC.)	15%	Dog parks	*
More activities for youth and teens (in general)	13%	WATER PARK	*
PROFESSIONAL SPORTS TEAM (NFL, MLB, NBA, ETC.)	12%	GO KART TRACK	*
Live music venues	9%	More water activities along the Rio Grande	*
SPORT FIELDS FOR RECREATIONAL USE	8%	Yoga facility	*
Parks for recreational use	7%	Art	*
Accessible bike/walking paths	6%	Balloon Fiesta	*
Soccer stadium/United stadium	6%	SUMMERFEST ON THE WESTSIDE	*
RECREATIONAL ACTIVITIES	5%	Non-religious seasonal events	*
Sports Arena or Sports Complex	3%	BUILD BATHROOMS ALONG THE BOSQUE	*
SUMMER AND AFTER-SCHOOL FOR YOUTH AND TEENS	3%	MULTI PURPOSE STADIUM	*
CULTURAL EVENTS: RELATING TO ABQ'S HISTORY/HERITAGE/ETC.	1%	SUPPORTS FOR PARENTS LIKE THE 48 HOUR FILM	*
FOCUS ON EDUCATION/GET KIDS BACK IN SCHOOLS	1%	More movie theater access	*
COMMUNITY POOLS	1%	More activities for seniors	*
ALL OF THEM: CENTRALIZED LOCATION	1%	Personal development programs	*
MUSEUMS: EDUCATIONAL EVENTS	1%	BETTER TRASH PICK UP	*
Expanded Aquarium/Botanical Gardens/Zoo	1%	SAFE PLAYGROUNDS IN NEIGHBORHOODS WITHOUT PLAYGROUNDS	*
USE THE VENUES WE ALREADY HAVE MORE OFTEN, NOT BUILD SOMETHING NEW	1%	INSTALL TRAFFIC CAMS TO IMPROVE DRIVING SAFETY	*
Amusement park/theme park	1%	EXTREME ENFORCING OF TRAFFIC LAWS	*
KIDS SPORTS: IN GENERAL	1%	COMMUNITY CENTERS FOR SENIORS	*
More sports in general	1%	Public gatherings	*
More Help for homeless	1%	Tourism activities	*
INDOOR FACILITY FOR CHILDREN	1%	COLLEGE FOOTBALLA ND BASKETBALL	*
SPORTS: BASEBALL/SOCCER/ACTIVITIES	1%	DANCE: CLASSES/DANCING	*
FAMILY ORIENTED EVENTS	*		220/
More arts in schools	*	NOTHING IN PARTICULAR	23%
VISUAL ARTS STUDIOS	*	Don't know/won't say	13%
DON'T NEED ENTERTAINMENT	*		

*LESS THAN 1% REPORTED.

SUPPORT/OPPOSE BUILDING A NEW MULTIPURPOSE ARENA THAT COULD BE USED FOR NEW MEXICO UNITED SOCCER GAMES AND OTHER EVENTS

QUESTION 44: AS YOU MAY KNOW, ALBUQUERQUE HAS A PROFESSIONAL SOCCER TEAM CALLED NEW MEXICO UNITED. THE TEAM IS TEMPORARILY PLAYING ITS GAMES AT THE ISOTOPES BASEBALL PARK. DO YOU SUPPORT OR OPPOSE BUILDING A NEW MULTIPURPOSE ARENA THAT COULD BE USED FOR NEW MEXICO UNITED SOCCER GAMES AND OTHER EVENTS IN ADDITION TO SOCCER GAMES?

		Gen	IDER	Етни	NICITY		A	GE			Но	USEHOLD INCO	DME	
	TOTAL SAMPLE (N=300)	Male	Female	HISPANIC	Anglo	18 to 34 Years	35 to 49 Years	50 to 64 Years	65 Years and Over	Less Than \$35,000	\$35,000 то \$49,999	\$50,000 то \$74,999	\$75,000 то \$99,999	\$100,000 Or More
Support	63%	71%	55%	73%	52%	73%	69%	60%	47%	60%	65%	70%	61%	75%
Oppose	23%	20%	26%	16%	30%	21%	20%	23%	28%	22%	23%	22%	28%	12%
NEITHER SUPPORT OR OPPOSE (VOL.)	7%	5%	9%	4%	10%	1%	6%	9%	14%	8%	8%	7%	1%	5%
DEPENDS (VOL.)	3%	3%	4%	2%	5%	1%	4%	5%	3%	2%	1%	-	4%	8%
Don't know/won't say	4%	2%	6%	4%	3%	4%	1%	3%	8%	9%	2%	1%	5%	-

			EDUC	ATION		-	OF RESIDENC		Overall		LIFE IN ALBUG	QUERQUE	D	IRECTION THE CI	TY IS GOING IN 2	2020
	Total Sample (n=300)	High School Graduate or Less	Some College/ Associate	4-Year College Graduate	Graduate Degree	Less Than 10 Years	10 to 19 Years	20 Years or More	Excellent	GOOD	Fair	Poor/ Very Poor	Very Hopeful	Somewhat Hopeful	Some what Concerned	Very Concerned
Support	63%	64%	62%	66%	62%	79%	69%	59%	73%	63%	60%	58%	66%	66%	59%	60%
Oppose	23%	18%	24%	22%	28%	6%	16%	27%	11%	22%	25%	30%	17%	19%	25%	30%
NEITHER SUPPORT OR OPPOSE (VOL.)	7%	9%	8%	6%	4%	4%	1%	9%	11%	7%	7%	6%	14%	8%	8%	-
DEPENDS (VOL.)	3%	-	4%	4%	5%	7%	4%	3%	3%	4%	4%	2%	3%	4%	3%	4%
Don't know/won't say	4%	9%	3%	3%	-	4%	10%	2%	3%	4%	5%	3%	-	3%	6%	6%

	TOTAL	REGION						
	Sample (n=300)	WESTSIDE/ SW MESA	NORTH VALLEY/ DOWNTOWN	FAR NE Heights	Mid Heights	UNM/ SOUTHEAST		
Support	63%	63%	74%	59%	67%	59%		
Oppose	23%	26%	5%	27%	21%	23%		
NEITHER SUPPORT OR OPPOSE (VOL.)	7%	5%	13%	4%	9%	7%		
DEPENDS (VOL.)	3%	3%	-	6%	3%	4%		
Don't know/won't say	4%	3%	8%	3%	-	7%		

NUMBER OF DAYS PER WEEK YOU EXERCISE FOR 30 MINUTES OR MORE

QUESTION 45: IN A TYPICAL WEEK, HOW MANY DAYS, IF ANY, DO YOU EXERCISE FOR 30 MINUTES OR MORE?

		GENDER ETHNICITY			1	А	GE		HOUSEHOLD INCOME					
	TOTAL SAMPLE (N=300)	Male	Female	HISPANIC	Anglo	18 to 34 Years	35 to 49 Years	50 to 64 Years	65 Years and Over	Less Than \$35,000	\$35,000 то \$49,999	\$50,000 то \$74,999	\$75,000 то \$99,999	\$100,000 Or More
1 DAY	5%	5%	5%	5%	4%	4%	5%	6%	5%	7%	6%	4%	4%	4%
2 DAYS	9%	5%	12%	8%	12%	6%	7%	7%	17%	6%	8%	9%	8%	10%
3 days	18%	20%	16%	21%	13%	22%	15%	17%	17%	23%	15%	18%	18%	16%
4 DAYS	12%	12%	11%	16%	9%	16%	14%	7%	11%	13%	12%	15%	4%	19%
5 days	17%	18%	16%	17%	15%	15%	23%	19%	8%	11%	25%	11%	21%	16%
6 days	6%	4%	8%	3%	9%	4%	3%	11%	6%	5%	1%	7%	8%	14%
7 days	14%	14%	13%	11%	17%	15%	12%	15%	10%	11%	13%	13%	19%	14%
None, no days	16%	18%	14%	17%	17%	11%	17%	16%	24%	16%	16%	20%	13%	8%
Don't know/won't say	4%	3%	5%	3%	5%	6%	5%	2%	3%	8%	3%	3%	5%	-

			EDUC	ATION		-	OF RESIDENC		Overall Quality of Life in Albuquerque				Direction the City is Going in 2020			
	Total Sample (n=300)	High School Graduate or Less	Some College/ Associate	4-Year College Graduate	GRADUATE DEGREE	Less Than 10 Years	10 to 19 Years	20 Years or More	Excellent	GOOD	Fair	Poor/ Very Poor	Very Hopeful	Somewhat Hopeful	Some what Concerned	Very Concerned
1 DAY	5%	6%	4%	5%	4%	8%	6%	5%	10%	4%	2%	9%	2%	5%	3%	9%
2 DAYS	9%	8%	6%	12%	13%	16%	11%	7%	6%	12%	5%	6%	11%	10%	9%	5%
3 DAYS	18%	16%	23%	12%	17%	24%	23%	15%	22%	19%	20%	5%	28%	18%	15%	12%
4 DAYS	12%	4%	15%	19%	7%	3%	11%	13%	9%	14%	12%	8%	12%	16%	10%	8%
5 days	17%	17%	15%	14%	23%	20%	14%	17%	8%	16%	17%	28%	13%	16%	17%	21%
6 days	6%	4%	4%	7%	12%	6%	3%	7%	5%	7%	4%	8%	5%	5%	5%	8%
7 days	14%	20%	9%	12%	17%	8%	17%	13%	18%	9%	20%	14%	12%	13%	12%	18%
None, no days	16%	19%	20%	14%	6%	6%	8%	20%	17%	16%	17%	17%	15%	13%	24%	12%
Don't know/won't say																

	TOTAL			Region		
	Sample (n=300)	WESTSIDE/ SW MESA	NORTH VALLEY/ DOWNTOWN	FAR NE Heights	MID HEIGHTS	UNM/ Southeast
1 DAY	5%	4%	4%	11%	2%	5%
2 DAYS	9%	13%	5%	10%	5%	7%
3 DAYS	18%	16%	25%	22%	16%	14%
4 days	12%	14%	15%	7%	9%	14%
5 DAYS	17%	14%	15%	21%	16%	18%
6 DAYS	6%	7%	3%	3%	10%	5%
7 days	14%	8%	11%	12%	21%	18%
NONE, NO DAYS	16%	20%	11%	13%	20%	14%
Don't know/won't say						

DEMOGRAPHICS



Demographics Total Sample (N=300)							
Gender		HOUSEHOLD INCOME					
Male	49%	Under \$20,000	8%				
Female	51%	\$20,000 - \$34,999	12%				
Age		\$35,000 - \$49,999 \$50,000 - \$74,999	22% 20%				
18 to 34 years	28%	\$75,000 to \$99,999	14%				
35 TO 49 YEARS	24%	\$100,000 OR MORE	14%				
50 to 64 years	26%	Won't say	10%				
65 years or older Won't say	21% 1%	LENGTH OF RESIDENCY IN ALBUQUERQUE					
F		FIVE YEARS OR LESS	4%				
ΕΤΗΝΙCITY		6 to 9 years	5%				
HISPANIC	45%	10 TO 19 YEARS	18%				
Anglo	43%	20 YEARS OR MORE	73%				
Black/African American	3%	REGION					
Other	3%						
NATIVE AMERICAN INDIAN	2%	WESTSIDE/SW MESA	32%				
Asian	2%	UNM/Southeast	20%				
Won't say	2%	Far NE Heights	20%				
EDUCATION			17%				
	250/	North Valley/Downtown	10%				
High school graduate or Less	25%	Don't know/won't say	1%				
Some college/associate degree/vocational certificate	36%						
College graduate (4 years)	22%						
GRADUATE WORK OR DEGREE	16%						
Won't say	1%						

QUESTIONNAIRE



HELLO. MY NAME IS (YOUR NAME). I'M CALLING FROM RESEARCH & POLLING, INC. WE ARE CONDUCTING AN IMPORTANT SURVEY ABOUT RESIDENTS' ATTITUDES AND OPINIONS RELATING TO QUALITY OF LIFE IN THE CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE THAT WILL GUIDE DECISIONS MADE BY THE CITY. YOUR HOUSEHOLD WAS SELECTED AT RANDOM, AND ALL OF YOUR ANSWERS ARE CONFIDENTIAL. MAY I PLEASE SPEAK TO SOMEONE WHO IS AT LEAST 18? (REPEAT INTRODUCTION WITH 18+ RESPONDENT, IF PERSON ANSWERING PHONE IS UNDER 18)

A. DO YOU RESIDE WITHIN ALBUQUERQUE CITY LIMITS?

- 1. Yes
- -. No (THANK & TERMINATE)
- -. DON'T KNOW/WON'T SAY (THANK & TERMINATE)
- 1. HOW WOULD YOU RATE THE OVERALL QUALITY OF LIFE IN ALBUQUERQUE? WOULD YOU SAY IT IS EXCELLENT, GOOD, FAIR, POOR, OR VERY POOR?
 - 5. EXCELLENT
 - 4. GOOD
 - 3. FAIR
 - 2. POOR
 - 1. VERY POOR
 - 6. DON'T KNOW/WON'T SAY (DO NOT READ)

2. How do you feel about the direction the city is going in 2020? Are you... (Read categories)

- 1. VERY HOPEFUL
- 2. Somewhat hopeful
- 3. SOMEWHAT CONCERNED
- 4. VERY CONCERNED
- 5. DON'T KNOW/WON'T SAY (DO NOT READ)

I'D LIKE TO READ YOU A LIST OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT SERVICES AND PROGRAMS PROVIDED BY THE CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE. FOR EACH ONE PLEASE RATE HOW MUCH OF A PRIORITY IT SHOULD BE WHEN ALBUQUERQUE OFFICIALS PLAN FOR THE CITY'S BUDGET. PLEASE USE A 5-POINT SCALE WHERE 5 MEANS YOU THINK IT SHOULD BE A VERY HIGH PRIORITY AND 1 MEANS IT SHOULD BE A VERY LOW PRIORITY. THE FIRST ONE IS:

(RANDO	MIZE Q.3-Q.10)	Very High <u>Priority</u>				Very Low <u>Priority</u>	Don't Know/ <u>Won't Say</u>	
3.	IMPROVE PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION SERVICES	5	4		2	1	6	
4.	IMPROVE ROADS AND STREETS	5	4	3	2	1	6	
5.	IMPROVE PARKS AND RECREATION PROGRAMS	5	4	3	2	1	6	
6.	ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS TO CREATE JOBS	5	4		2	1	6	
7.	HIRE MORE POLICE OFFICERS AND FUND PUBLIC SAFETY NEEDS	5	4	3	2	1	6	
8.	IMPROVE PROGRAMS FOR HOMELESS INDIVIDUALS AND FAMILIES, SUBSTANCE ABUSE, AND INDIVIDUALS WITH MENTAL HEALTH ISSUES	5	4	3	2	1	6	
9.	OFFER MORE SUMMER SCHOOL AND AFTER-SCHOOL PROGRAMS FOR KIDS	5	4	3	2		6	
10.	REDUCE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT BY INVESTING IN MORE ENERGY EFFICIENT CITY FACILITIES AND EXPANDING RENEWABLE ENERGY USE	5	4	3	2	1	6	
	ig only of Albuquerque City Government and not state or federal governmen Coronavirus outbreak using a 5-point scale where 5 is strongly agree and 1 is $\frac{1}{2}$	•				ING STATEMEN	TS RELATING	
(RANDO	MIZE Q.14-Q.16 ONLY)	Strongly <u>Agree</u>				Strongly Disagree	Don't Know/ <u>Won't Say</u>	
11.	ALBUQUERQUE CITY GOVERNMENT IS RESPONSIVE TO COMMUNITY NEEDS	 5	4	3	2	1	6	
12.	12. THE ALBUQUERQUE POLICE DEPARTMENT IS RESPECTFUL IN ITS TREATMENT OF CITIZENS							
13.	13. ON A DIFFERENT TOPIC, OVERALL, HOW GOOD OF A JOB DO YOU FEEL THE <u>CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE GOVERNMENT</u> HAS DONE IN DEALING WITH THE CORONAVIRUS/COVID-19 PANDEMIC? PLEASE USE A 5-POINT SCALE WHERE 5 IS EXCELLENT AND 1 IS VERY POOR.							
	Excellent	Very <u>Poor</u>	Don't Know/ <u>Won't Say</u>					

PLEASE I	RATE HOW	STRONGLY YOU EITHER AGREE OR DISAGREE WITH THE FOLLOWING STATEMENTS	USING A 5-POI	NT SCALE WHERE 5 IS ST	RONGLY AGREE AN	D 1 IS STRONGLY DISAG	REE. "THE FIRST	ONE IS,
(RANDO	MIZE Q.11	-Q.12)	Strongly <u>Agree</u>				Strongly <u>Disagree</u>	Don't Know/ <u>Won't Say</u>
14.	HAS DO	ONE A GOOD JOB OF COMMUNICATING WITH THE PUBLIC DURING THE OUTBREAK	5	4	3	2	1	6
15.	HAS DC	ONE A GOOD JOB HELPING BUSINESS OWNERS DURING THE OUTBREAK	5	4	3	2		6
16.	HAS DO	ONE A GOOD JOB KEEPING CITY SERVICES RUNNING DURING THE OUTBREAK	5	4	3	2		6
•	IERQUE CI	ITY GOVERNMENT Q.18)						
17.	WHO H	D PROVIDE MORE FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE AND AID PROGRAMS TO RESIDENTS AVE BEEN UNABLE TO PAY FOR HOUSING AND OTHER NECESSITIES DUE TO THE MIC	5	4	3	2	1	6
18.	Shouli	D PROVIDE DIRECT PAYMENTS TO BUSINESSES THAT HAVE BEEN HURT MICALLY BY THE PANDEMIC						
	19.	Do you happen to know whether or not the City operated childcare	SERVICES HAVE	BEEN OPEN FOR CHILD	REN OF ESSENTIAL W	ORKERS DURING THE	COVID PANDEMI	c?
	1. 2. 3. 20. 5. 4. 3. 2. 1. 6.	Yes, open No, not open Don't know/won't say In your neighborhood, how safe do you feel being outside at night by Very safe Somewhat safe Neither safe nor unsafe Somewhat unsafe Very unsafe Don't know/won't say (do not read)	y yourself? I	Do you feel(read c <i>i</i>	ATEGORIES)			
21.		R NEIGHBORHOOD, HOW SAFE DO YOU FEEL BEING OUTSIDE DURING THE DAY BY YO	OURSELF? DO	YOU FEEL (READ CATE	GORIES)			
	5. 4. 3. 2. 1. 6.	Very safe Somewhat safe Neither safe nor unsafe Somewhat unsafe Very unsafe Don't know/won't say (do not read)						

	RE DIFFERENT THINGS THAT CAN BE DONE TO MAKE NEIGHBOR IT SCALE WHERE 5 IS VERY EFFECTIVE AND $f 1$ IS VERY INEFFECTI'		RATE HOW EFFECTIVE	YOU FEEL EACH OF TH	IE FOLLOWING WOULD	BE IN MAKING YOU	IR NEIGHBORHOOD	SAFER USING
	MIZE Q.11-Q.12)	v L .	Very Effective				Very Ineffective	Don't Know/ Won't Say
22.	More Police Officers patrolling your area either by						INCITEOTIVE	<u></u>
22.	OUTBREAK			4	3	2		6
23.	More street lighting		5	4	3	2		6
24.	More Neighborhood social events		5	4	3	2		6
25.	NEIGHBORS WORKING TOGETHER TO REPORT CRIME OR SUS	PICIOUS ACTIVITIES	5	4	3	2		6
26.	MORE NEARBY RETAIL STORES OR RESTAURANTS		5	4	3	2		6
27.	How much trust do you have in the police officers in	I ALBUQUERQUEA GR	EAT DEAL, SOME, OR	HARDLY ANY?				
28.	 A GREAT DEAL SOME HARDLY ANY DON'T KNOW/WON'T SAY (DO NOT READ) THE ALBUQUERQUE POLICE DEPARTMENT IS WORKING WITH POLICING THAT ENSURES RESIDENTS' CONSTITUTIONAL RIGH VERY POOR. 			IG IN MAKING THESE				
	Excellent		Po		<u>'T SAY</u>			
	5	3	2 2		6			
D ο γου	BELIEVE THE CRIME RATES FOR THE FOLLOWING TYPES OF CRIM	IES ARE GENERALLY GOIN	NG UP, GOING DOWN,	OR ARE NOT CHANG	ING IN ALBUQUERQUE.			
(RANDOI	MIZE Q.29-Q.33)	Going <u>Up</u>	Going <u>Down</u>	Not <u>Changing</u>	Don't Know/ <u>Won't Say</u>			
29.	AUTO THEFTS		2		4			
30.	Home Burglaries		2	3	4			
31.	CRIMES INVOLVING GUNS		2		4			
32.	VIOLENT CRIMES		2	3	4			
33.	CRIMES AGAINST CHILDREN		2	3	4			
34.	OVERALL, HOW GOOD OF A JOB DO YOU FEEL THE CITY OF A 1 IS VERY POOR.	LBUQUERQUE IS CURREN	ITLY DOING IN ADDRE	SSING THE ISSUE OF H	IOMELESSNESS? PLEAS	E USE A 5 -POINT S	CALE WHERE 5 IS EX	(CELLENT AND
	<u>Excellent</u> 54	3	Ve <u>Po</u> 2	OR WON	Know/ ' <u>T Say</u> 6			

35. COMPARED TO OTHER BIG CITIES IN THE COUNTRY, DO YOU BELIEVE ALBUQUERQUE IS DOING A BETTER JOB, A WORSE JOB OR DOING ABOUT THE SAME IN ADDRESSING THE ISSUE OF HOMELESSNESS?

- 1. Better Job
- 2. ABOUT THE SAME
- 3. WORSE JOB
- 4. DEPENDS (VOL.)
- 5. DON'T KNOW/WON'T SAY (DO NOT READ)

THE CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE HAS DEVELOPED SOME NEW POLICIES AND PROGRAMS TO ADDRESS THE ISSUE OF HOMELESSNESS. PLEASE TELL IF YOU HAVE HEARD OR READ ANYTHING ABOUT EACH OF THE FOLLOWING INITIATIVES OR NOT.

(RANDO	ИIZE Q.36-Q.40)		No/	Won't
		YES	Don'T Know	SAY
36.	KEEPING THE WESTSIDE EMERGENCY CENTER OPEN 24 HOURS A DAY, EVERY DAY HE YEAR	1	2	3
37.	OPENING NEW HOMELESS SHELTERS IN THE CITY	1	2	3
38.	PROVIDING HOUSING TO FAMILIES THROUGH THE ONE ALBUQUERQUE HOUSING FUND	1	2	3
39.	PROVIDING A MOBILE SHOWERING UNIT FOR HOMELESS RESIDENTS	1	2	3
40.	OFFERING CITY SERVICES AND HOUSING TO THOSE LIVING IN HOMELESS CAMPS	1	2	3

- 41. THE CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE HAS PROPOSED THE CREATION OF A NEW DEPARTMENT CALLED THE COMMUNITY SAFETY DEPARTMENT. ONCE FULLY STAFFED, THE DEPARTMENT WILL SEND TRAINED PROFESSIONALS TO NON-VIOLENT CALLS RELATING TO PUBLIC INTOXICATION, DRUG USE, HOMELESSNESS, AND MENTAL HEALTH ISSUES, WITHOUT THE POLICE DEPARTMENT. PRIOR TO THIS SURVEY, HAD YOUR HEARD OR READ ANYTHING ABOUT THE COMMUNITY SAFETY DEPARTMENT?
 - 1. Yes
 - 2. No/don't know
 - 3. WON'T SAY
- 42. WITH THE COMMUNITY SAFETY DEPARTMENT RESPONDING TO ISSUES SUCH AS ABANDONED CARS, DISCARDED NEEDLES, ADDICTION, NON-VIOLENT MENTAL HEALTH ISSUES, AND SIMILAR SITUATIONS, POLICE OFFICERS WILL BE ABLE TO SPEND MORE TIME DEALING WITH VIOLENT AND OTHER SERIOUS CRIMES. KNOWING THIS, DO YOU FEEL THE CREATION OF THE COMMUNITY SAFETY DEPARTMENT IS A GOOD IDEA OR A BAD IDEA?
 - 1. GOOD IDEA
 - 2. BAD IDEA
 - 3. DEPENDS (VOL.)
 - 4. DON'T KNOW/WON'T SAY (DO NOT READ)

ON A DIFFERENT TOPIC...

- 43. WHAT TYPES OF RECREATIONAL, CULTURAL, PERFORMING ARTS, OR SPORTS RELATED ATTRACTIONS OR AMENITIES DO YOU FEEL ARE NEEDED IN ALBUQUERQUE? (DO NOT READ CATEGORIES) (TAKE UP TO <u>3</u> RESPONSES)
 - 001. ACCESSIBLE BIKE/WALKING PATHS
 - 002. EXPANDED AQUARIUM/BOTANICAL GARDENS/ZOO
 - 003. LIVE MUSIC VENUES
 - 004. MORE ACTIVITIES FOR YOUTH AND TEENS (IN GENERAL)
 - 005. SUMMER AND AFTER-SCHOOL FOR YOUTH AND TEENS
 - 006. PARKS FOR RECREATIONAL USE
 - 007. PERFORMING ARTS CENTER (INCLUDES CIVIC OPERA, CONCERT VENUES, PHILHARMONIC, BROADWAY SHOWS, ETC.)

- 008. PROFESSIONAL SPORTS TEAM (NFL, MLB, NBA, ETC.)
- 009. SOCCER STADIUM/UNITED STADIUM
- 010. RECREATIONAL ACTIVITIES
- 011. SPORTS ARENA OR SPORTS COMPLEX
- 012. SPORTS FIELDS FOR RECREATIONAL USE
- 013. COMMUNITY POOLS
- 44. As you may know, Albuquerque has a professional soccer team called New Mexico United. The team is temporarily playing its games at the Isotopes baseball park. Do you support or oppose building a new multipurpose arena that could be used for New Mexico United soccer games and other events in addition to soccer games?
 - 1. SUPPORT
 - 2. Oppose

46.

47.

- 3. NEITHER SUPPORT OR OPPOSE (VOL.)
- 4. DEPENDS (VOL.)
- 5. DON'T KNOW/WON'T SAY

THANK YOU FOR YOUR PATIENCE; WE ARE ALMOST DONE. NOW, I WOULD LIKE TO ASK SOME QUESTIONS FOR STATISTICAL PURPOSES ONLY. YOUR RESPONSES WILL REMAIN ANONYMOUS.

45. IN A TYPICAL WEEK, HOW MANY DAYS, IF ANY, DO YOU EXERCISE FOR 30 MINUTES OR MORE?

1.	1 DAY	6.	6 days
2.	2 DAYS	7.	7 days
3.	3 days	8.	NONE, NO DAYS
4.	4 DAYS	9.	DON'T KNOW/WON'T SAY
5.	5 days		
How	LONG HAVE YOU BEEN A RESIDENT OF THE CITY OF ALBUQ	QUERQUE? (DO NOT READ CATEGORIES)	
1.	Five years or less	4.	20 YEARS OR MORE
2.	6 to 9 years	5.	Don't know/won't say
3.	10 to 19 years		
Do yo	DU CONSIDER YOURSELF TO BE HISPANIC (INCLUDING LATI	no or Spanish), Caucasian/Anglo, Afr	ICAN AMERICAN/BLACK, NATIVE AMERICAN INDIAN, ASIAN OR OF OTHER DESCENT?
1.	HISPANIC	5.	Asian
2.	Caucasian/Anglo	6.	Other
3.	AFRICAN AMERICAN/BLACK	7.	Won't say
4.	NATIVE AMERICAN INDIAN		

48. WHICH BEST DESCRIBES YOUR AGE? (READ CATEGORIES)

- 1. 18 to 34 years
- 2. 35 to 49 years
- 3. 50 to 64 years
- 4. 65 YEARS AND OVER

49. PLEASE STOP ME WHEN I GET TO THE CATEGORY THAT MOST ACCURATELY DESCRIBES YOUR TOTAL YEARLY HOUSEHOLD INCOME. IS IT (READ CATEGORIES) 1. LESS THAN \$20.000 5. \$75,000 TO \$99,999 \$20,000 TO \$34,999 2. 6. \$100,000 OR MORE 3. \$35,000 TO \$49,999 7. WON'T SAY (DO NOT READ) \$50,000 TO \$74,999 4.

- 50. What is the highest level of education you have attained? (Read categories)
 - 1. Some high school
 - 2. HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATE
 - 3. Some college/associate degree/vocational certificate
- 51. WHAT IS YOUR ZIP CODE AT YOUR RESIDENCE? (WRITE IN ZIP CODE)
 - **ZIP CODE: 87** _____ ____ ____ 99999. DON'T KNOW/WON'T SAY

THIS CONCLUDES OUR SURVEY. THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIME. HAVE A GOOD DAY. NOTE TO INTERVIEWER, WAS RESPONDENT:

- 1. MALE
- 2. FEMALE

Respondent's Phone Number_____

INTERVIEWER NAME_____

INTERVIEWER CODE_____

POLLER: WHICH PHONE LIST?

1. LANDLINE

2. Cell Phone

- 4. 4-YEAR COLLEGE GRADUATE
- 5. GRADUATE DEGREE (MASTERS, PHD, MD, JD)
- 6. WON'T SAY (DO NOT READ)